

Chilbolton Neighbourhood Development Plan Decision Statement: 10 June 2020

1. Introduction

1.1 Under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), the Test Valley Borough Council has a statutory duty to assist communities in the preparation of neighbourhood development plans and orders and to take plans through a process of examination and referendum. The Localism Act 2011 (Part 6 chapter 3) sets out the Local Planning Authority's responsibilities under Neighbourhood Planning.

1.2 This statement confirms that the modifications proposed by the examiner's report have been accepted, the draft Chilbolton Neighbourhood Development Plan will be altered as a result of it; and that this plan may now proceed to referendum.

2. Background

2.1 The Chilbolton Neighbourhood Plan relates to the area that was designated by Test Valley Borough Council as a neighbourhood area in December 2014. This area corresponds with the Chilbolton Parish Council boundary that lies within the Test Valley Borough Council Area.

2.2 Following the submission of the Chilbolton Neighbourhood Plan to the Borough Council, the plan was publicised and representations were invited. The publicity period ended on 21 February 2020.

2.3 Mary O'Rourke was appointed by the Test Valley Borough Council with the consent of Chilbolton Parish Council, to undertake the examination of the Neighbourhood Plan and to prepare a report of the independent examination.

2.4 The examiner's report concludes that subject to making the modifications recommended by the examiner, the Plan meets the basic conditions set out in the legislation and should proceed to a Neighbourhood Planning referendum.

3. Decision

3.1 The Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 requires the local planning authority to outline what action to take in response to the recommendations of an examiner made in a report under paragraph 10 of Schedule 4A to the 1990 Act (as applied by Section 38A of the 2004 Act) in relation to a neighbourhood development plan.

3.2 Having considered each of the modifications made by the examiner's report and the reasons for them, and the modifications to reflect comments made Test Valley Borough Council in consultation with Chilbolton Parish Council has decided to accept all the modifications to the draft plan. Table 1 below outlines the alterations made to the draft plan under paragraph 12(6) of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act (as applied by Section 38A of 2004 Act) in response to each of the Examiner's recommendations and the modifications required in response to comments made at the Regulation 16 consultation. This statement should be read alongside the Examiners report.

Consultee	Support / object / comment	Section / Policy / Paragraph.	Comments	Ref	Examiners Recommendation	Proposed Modification
Environment Agency	Comment	EN4	<u>Policy EN4: Flooding</u> The wording of policy EN4 is misleading, and not one we could support as currently written, we therefore find the policy unsound and would advise that the policy is amended to say something like "In line with the application of the Sequential Test any future development within the Chilbolton area will be directed to the areas at the lowest probability of flooding (Flood Zone 1). Development should not be allocated or permitted if there are reasonably available sites appropriate for the proposed development in areas with a lower probability of flooding. The Sequential Test should be informed by the Local Planning Authority's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) as well as other background documents such as the Council's Strategic Housing & Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)". <u>Supporting text.</u> In accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) [paragraph 155] inappropriate development in locations at risk of flooding should be restricted. This should be done by directing development away from areas at highest risk (NPPF para. 155) through the application of the Sequential Test (NPPF para. 158). Paragraph 022 of the Planning Practice Guidance requires that through the Sequential Test and Sustainability Appraisal process that where other sustainability criteria outweigh flood risk issues, the decision making process should be transparent with reasoned justifications for any decisions to allocate land in areas at high flood risk given in the Sustainability Appraisal report.	PM10	Delete policy EN4. Amend the text on page 34 as follows: 1st bullet point line 1 replace 'surface flooding' with 'surface water flooding'. 1st bullet point line 11 replace 'laterals' with 'pipes'. 5th bullet point line 9 amend to read 'EA ground water flood warning system'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Hampshire County Council	Comment	General	Although a minor issue the County Council take this opportunity to also note that there is still some inconsistency within the document in the use of the terms 'ground water' vs 'groundwater'. It is recommended that a consistent approach to the use of this word is applied.	PM10	Amend the text on page 34 as follows: 1st bullet point line 1 replace 'surface flooding' with 'surface water flooding'. 1st bullet point line 11 replace 'laterals' with 'pipes'. 5th bullet point line 9 amend to read 'EA ground water flood warning system'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Hampshire County Council	Comment	HD6	Although the scale of development within Chilbolton is likely to be small i.e. max of 5 units, the Highway Authority would still recommend that new developments are supported by a transport assessment of an appropriate scale to demonstrate that safe access can be provided and that the impact of the development on the local highway network can be mitigated. Development proposals should also be looking to enhance and or make connections to the extensive rights of way network in / around the village to make provision for the use of sustainable modes of transport for local journeys within the village.	PM24	Redraft policy HD6 as follows: Amend the original criterion j to read: j) Are supported by a transport assessment of an appropriate scale to demonstrate that appropriate sight lines and safe access and egress can be achieved for vehicles to enter and exit in forward gear and that any impact on the local highway network can be mitigated.	Accept Examiners Modification
Hampshire County Council	Comment	Page 34	On page 34 of the document, there is reference to 'surface flooding' (first sentence). The County Council would recommend that this is amended to 'surface water flooding' which is the recognised term.	PM10	Amend the text on page 34 as follows: 1st bullet point line 1 replace 'surface flooding' with 'surface water flooding'. 1st bullet point line 11 replace 'laterals' with 'pipes'. 5th bullet point line 9 amend to read 'EA ground water flood warning system'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Hampshire County Council	Comment	Page 34	Page 34 references properties in 'the EA flood warning area'. This sentence needs to be more specific regarding what type of flooding it is referring to – is it groundwater flooding or fluvial flooding? If the Neighbourhood Plan is referring to fluvial flooding, it is recommended that the Neighbourhood Plan confirms which EA flood zones (2 or 3) this refers to.	PM10	Amend the text on page 34 as follows: 1st bullet point line 1 replace 'surface flooding' with 'surface water flooding'. 1st bullet point line 11 replace 'laterals' with 'pipes'. 5th bullet point line 9 amend to read 'EA ground water flood warning system'.	Accept Examiners Modification

Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	CI2		PM28	Delete policy CI2.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	EC2		PM30	Redraft part b) of policy EC2 as follows: b) improve agricultural buildings and they are well designed, well insulated and are coloured to fit in with the surrounding landscape.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	EN1		PM3	Delete part a) of policy EN1 and redraft as follows: Development proposals should protect, and where possible, positively contribute to the following views, indicated on Maps 6 and 7: List and renumber sequentially views 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 14 and 15. Amend the description for view 5 as follows: North of Village Street towards the Manor. Replace Photograph 5 with the correct view.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	EN2		PM6	In policy EN2: b) in the 3rd line delete the words 'full site survey, including Ecological Impact Assessment' and replace with 'arboricultural survey'. c) in the 3rd line delete from the word 'which' to the end.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	EN3		PM8	In policy EN3 line 1 amend to read 'The sites shown on Maps 8A and 8B and listed below are designated as Local Green Spaces:' Delete site 15. from the list of Local Green Spaces.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	EN6		PM13	Rename policy EN6 as Renewable Energy. In c) insert 'best and most versatile' before 'agricultural land'. Re-order the policy into 3 separate parts as follows: 1.The text starting 'Solar renewable energy projects will be.....' and continue as drafted to include criteria a) to d).2.Large scale wind farms will not be supported (former e)).3.The final part of the policy beginning 'Particular care ...'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	HD6		PM5	Delete part m) of policy HD6.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	Page 22		PM3	Delete 'TVBC LCA (adopted in 2019)' and replace with 'Test Valley Borough LCA 2018'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	Page 25		PM5	Delete 8 th bullet point on page 25.	Accept Examiners Modification

Modifications put forward by the Examiner	Modification	Page 38		PM16	Add text to the supporting justification for policy H1 to include details of the Conservation Area Character Appraisal and the Village Design Statement.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	Chapter 4	This is very useful information on how the plan has been formed, but to aid the flow in the final document, this could be moved to the evidence base, or to an appendix at the back of the document.	Para 4.4	Whilst TVBC has suggested, in its track change version of the Plan, that this chapter could be moved to the evidence base or to an appendix, this is not a matter that goes to the Basic Conditions and is one that I leave to the CPC and TVBC to resolve.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	CI01 - CI16	The rationale for these objectives is understood, but these are not land use planning matters and would be better suited to the community aspirations chapter.	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: CIO01 to CIO016.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	CI1	Delete policy CI1 as the policy repeats LP policy COM 14, and is therefore not required.	PM27	Delete policy CI1.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EC1	For clarity Policy EC1 should be renamed Existing Employment Sites . First paragraph should be reworded: existing employment site boundaries the Business Areas What is the extent of the 'business areas'? This could be reworded to 'existing employment sites' for clarity.	PM29	Rename policy EC1 Existing Employment Sites. Redraft the first part of policy EC1 as follows: Small scale development proposals within the boundaries of existing employment sites will be supported if they: In a) change 'our' to 'the'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EC3	This is not a land use planning policy and would be better suited to the community aspirations section of the plan.	PM31	Delete policy EC3.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EN2	For clarity, reword criteria c) to read: Existing trees and hedgerows should be integrated into the proposed landscaping schemes for any development and provide a management plan for their future care and maintenance, which clearly sets out the long term financial management and yearly annual maintenance of all trees and hedgerows., complete with a fully costed budget proposal for the management plan term, and to provide the finance in the form of an upfront payment to cover all works so as to ensure that the burden does not fall on the Parish Council.	PM6	c) in the 3rd line delete from the word 'which' to the end.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EN2	For clarity, reword criteria e) to read: New high close boarded timber fences or walls will not be permitted supported in the plan area unless there is an overriding reason (e.g. security) and in such cases the street scene must should be softened by planting in front of the fence/wall to ameliorate the visual impact.	PM6	e) redraft to read ' <i>Where permission is required, new high close boarded timber fences or walls will not be supported unless there is an overriding reason (such as security). In such cases their visual impact on the street scene should be softened by planting.</i>	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EN3	Delete text after LGS 15 as the circumstances that development will be permitted on LGS is set out in the NPPF and does not need to be repeated in policy.	PM9	Delete the final part of policy EN3 from 'Development proposals ...' to the end.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EN4	Delete policy EN4 as the government set out the requirements for land in the flood zones, and therefore a policy in not required.	PM10	Delete policy EN4.	Accept Examiners Modification

Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EN5	Delete policy EN5 as this is not a land use planning matter, and would be better dealt with in the community aspirations section.	PM11	Delete policy EN5 and its supporting text.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EN6	For clarity, add the following to criterion c) Do not result in unacceptable loss of high quality agricultural land; and	PM13	In c) insert 'best and most versatile' before 'agricultural land'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EO01 - EO02	Merge objectives to read: EO01: Maintain and support business including • EO02: Maintain and support agriculture and farming	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: EO01 – after 'business' add 'including agriculture and farming'. Delete the following Objectives: EO02	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	EO03	This would be better suited to the community aspirations chapter as its not a land use planning matter.	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: EO03	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	General	The whole document would benefit from paragraph numbers, to aid in referencing the document in reports.	Para 4.6	Although the policies can be clearly identified in coloured boxes, to improve the Plan's readability and usability I urge that consideration is given to the use of paragraph numbers in the final Plan, albeit I recognise that it goes beyond my remit to recommend a modification in this respect.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	H1	In criteria a) and b) replace preserve with Conserve	PM15	In policy H1 a) replace 'and' with 'or'. In policy H1 c) after 'Area' add 'Character'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	H2	Amend title to read : Parish Assets of Important Community Facilities Value as this is what the policy is referring to. Delete first half of policy as this related to listed buildings and not to community facilities. Rewrite policy to read : The following have been identified as Important Community facilities and are shown on Map 11: 1 Chilbolton Stores and Post Office 2 St Mary-the-Less Church 3 Abbots Mitre public house 4 The Village Hall.	PM18	Delete the supporting text to policy H2 on page 42. Delete the first part of the policy H2. Rewrite the second part of policy H2 as follows: The following have been identified as community facilities and are shown on Map 11: 1 Chilbolton Stores and Post Office 2 St Mary-the-Less Church 3. Abbots Mitre public house 4.The Village Hall. Move the photographs on page 42 and Map 11 on page 43 to Chapter 9 of the Plan and renumber the pages accordingly. In Chapter 9 Overview 1st bullet point line 2 after Village Hall, add 'registered as an Asset of Community Value'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD1	Amend policy to read : a) Number of homes built within Chilbolton over the 10 year plan period, should not exceed be about 20 homes, in line with the Housing Need Survey findings; and b)The mix of any individual development should only be 1-, 2- & 3-bedroom units homes including , apartments, semi-detached, terraced or bungalow. This is to aid clarity and It is not possible to put a ceiling on development.	PM19	In policy HD1 a) second line delete the words 'not exceed' and replace with 'be about'. In policy HD1 b) 2nd line delete the word 'units' and replace with 'homes, including'.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD2	Amend policy to read : Residential developments of a group of 5 or fewer dwellings will be supported within the Settlement Boundary subject to meeting the requirements of other policies in the Development Plan. Such development must respond to the local need for smaller properties and should consist of a mix of 1, 2 and 3-bedroom dwellings. or less . An application within the settlement boundary is acceptable in principle and it will be for the applicant to demonstrate through applying the policies of the Neighbourhood plan and local plan that their proposal is acceptable. As currently worded, the policy in not in conformity with TVBC local plan policy COM 2	PM21	Delete policy HD2.	Accept Examiners Modification

Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD3	Delete on a very limited scale How will 'very limited scale' be defined? Sheltered housing schemes are normally of a certain size in order that they are financially viable. With the word 'preference', it will not be a requirement, therefore developers will not have to meet this policy.	PM22	Rewrite policy HD3 b) as follows: Sheltered or purpose-built housing for the elderly or those with disabilities will be supported.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD4	Policy HD4 would be better if amalgamated with the design policy, as the issues covered in the policy are design oriented.	PM23	Delete policy HD4 and its supporting text on page 46.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD6	The policy does not flow very well, and would benefit from being reordered. It could even be split into 3, with one design policy relating to residential development, another to cover commercial and other development a policy that deals with the conservation area. This would aid clarity and allow the policy to be implemented more easily. Amend heading under criteria o) to read Within the Conservation Area all development proposals will be supported provided they must. Amend criteria iii) to read : will only be permitted be supported and criterion iv). no fences or walls above 1 metre height facing the highway will be permitted not be supported. These are negatively worded. ' be supported' is more appropriate	PM24	Redraft policy HD6 as follows: Amend the original criterion j to read: <i>j) Are supported by a transport assessment of an appropriate scale to demonstrate that appropriate sight lines and safe access and egress can be achieved for vehicles to enter and exit in forward gear and that any impact on the local highway network can be mitigated.</i> After the first two sentences of the policy, renumber criteria a), c), e), f), g), h), i), j), k), l), n), o) as a sequence a) to l). Then add new main text as follows: <i>In addition, proposals for new residential development should also demonstrate that: m) as a minimum, that they meet Building Regulations requirements M4(2) for accessible and adaptable dwellings; and n) provide appropriate garden or amenity space and make appropriate provision for planting, comprising species which respond positively to the local area. Within the Conservation Area, all development proposals should: i) Be designed in context with their surroundings, including existing buildings, street pattern, open spaces, trees and other historic characteristic features; ii) Use traditional materials such as chalk (cob), flint, brick, or rendered walls with thatch, clay tile or slate roofs and traditional boundary features such as thatched or tiled topped Hampshire walls; and For extensions and alterations, be subservient in size and sympathetic in scale and character with the existing building and surroundings.</i>	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD7	<i>In line with the NPPF, electric vehicle charging facilities should be encouraged'</i> this is already covered in policy HD6 o) and does not need repeating here.' The parking standards in the policy are not in conformity with the parking standards in the local plan. Unless there is local evidence to justify these higher standards, then they should be deleted.	PM25	Delete the last sentence of policy HD7 a).	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HD8	Delete policy HD8 as this is covered in policy HD6 criteria d) and e) and doesn't need repeating here.	PM26	Delete policy HD8.	Accept Examiners Modification

Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HE01	Identify, conserve, protect and enhance distinctive and historic buildings and features designated and non designated heritage assets . This is the correct terminology for these assets.	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: HE01 – delete and replace with ‘identify, conserve and enhance designated and non-designated heritage assets’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HE02	Delete HE02 as these are now addressed under the designated and non designated heritage assets referred to in HE01	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: HE02	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HE03	Delete HE03 as these are now addressed under the designated and non designated heritage assets referred to in HE01	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: HE03,	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HO01	Support small scale residential development providing a mix of 1-3- bedroom homes within Settlement Boundary. Amend for clarity	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: HO01 – after ‘development’ add ‘providing a mix of’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HO02	Protect distinctive qualities of built environment through high quality design . Amend for clarity	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: HO02 – after ‘environment’ add ‘through high quality design’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HO03	Ensure adequate parking and forward exit of vehicles access and egress from new developments and for extensions . Amend for clarity	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: HO03 – delete from ‘forward exit of vehicles ...’ to the end and replace with ‘access and egress from new development’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HO04	Delete objective as this is the role of the Local plan and does not need repeating here.	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: HO04,	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	HO05	Delete objective as this would be better suited to the community aspirations chapter as its not a land use planning matter.	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: HO05,	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	LE01	Preserve Conserve and enhance the landscape and its tranquillity , especially Cow Common, West Down, Test River valley, etc. Amend for clarity	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: LE01 – delete and replace with ‘conserve and enhance the landscape and its tranquillity’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	LE02	Delete and add tranquillity to LE01	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: LE02,	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	LE03	Protect views and open spaces in accord with TVBC Landscape Character Assessment . Amend for clarity	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: LE03 – delete from ‘in accord ...’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	LE04	This is the role of the Local plan and does not need repeating here. Delete objective	PM2	Delete the following Objectives: LE04,	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	LE07	Promote planting of indigenous trees and hedgerows. Manage existing trees and replace if they are diseased or unsafe —This would be better suited to the supporting text of policy EN2	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows: LE07 – delete from ‘manage ...’;	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	Map 1	The map is very blurry – could this be improved in the final version?	Para 4.6	Some of the maps are blurry and the CPC may wish to take up TVBC’s offer to help with the final mapping.	Accept Examiners Modification

Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	MAP 10	A clearer map is required in the final version. TVBC can assist with the mapping in the final document.	PM16	Include a note on Map 10 to refer to it being taken from the TVBC 2009 Chilbolton Conservation Area Character Appraisal. The CPC may wish to take up TVBC's offer to help with the final mapping. (para 4.6)	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	MAP 11	Amend title to read: Chilbolton Assets of Community Value Facilities	PM18	Rename policy H2 as Policy C11 Community Facilities and move to Chapter 9 to replace the existing policy C11 (see PM27).	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	MAP 5	It would be clearer if the other parish boundaries are removed from the final maps to aid clarity.	Para 4.6	Some of the maps are blurry and the CPC may wish to take up TVBC's offer to help with the final mapping.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	MAP 7	13 a, b,, c and d as shown in the photographs should be added to the arrows on the map for clarity.	PM4	Replace Maps 6 and 7 with the revised maps provided by the CPC in its response dated 7 April 2020	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	MAP 8	A map showing the boundaries of the LGS is required.	PM7	Replace Map 8 with Maps 8A and 8B but excluding site 15.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	MAP 9	Note on Map should be removed in the final version of the plan, as the opportunity to comment on the settlement boundary will be through the local plan review.	PM14	Delete the Note on Map 9.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	Page 18/19	The SWAT analysis could be moved to an appendix, so that the vision and objectives are more prominent in the final document.	Para 4.5	I agree with TVBC that this would better sit in an appendix to the Plan or in its evidence base.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	Page 20	Many of the objectives can be amalgamated for clarity.	PM2	Modify these Objectives as follows:	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	PAGE 26	<i>Environmental Sensitive Area (ESA) Status by the then Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) in 1994 as part of the Test Valley'</i> Is this still in force and relevant?	Para 4.9	as a simple record of fact, there is no need to change the reference on page 24 to Chilbolton Common being an Environmental Sensitive Area, despite the former Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food scheme being superseded in 2005 by DEFRA's Environmental Stewardship Scheme.	No Change required
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	PAGE 35	The heading <i>Utilities Infrastructure</i> should be renamed ' renewable energy ' as this is what the policy is addressing.	PM12	Delete the heading Utilities Infrastructure and replace with Renewable Energy.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	PAGE 35	<i>street lighting will not be permitted between 12 midnight and 6am and outside flood lighting must be minimal and, preferably, activated by proximity sensors for security and personal safety.</i> This is not a land use planning matter, and would be better dealt with in the community aspirations section. In any event, this is a matter for Hampshire County Council who as the highway authority are responsible for street lighting.	PM11	Delete policy EN5 and its supporting text.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	PAGE 41	A title of what these photos are showing and their location would be helpful.	PM17	Include a title for the page and descriptions for each of the photos.	Accept Examiners Modification
Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	PAGE 46	<i>planning conditions will be used to limit or remove relevant permitted development rights from new development</i> What is the evidence for this. If PD rights are removed, it does not mean that houses can not be extended, but that permission will be required from the Local Planning Authority.	PM20	In the paragraph headed New Residential Development delete the 4th sentence starting 'To protect ...' to 'development.'	Accept Examiners Modification

Test Valley Borough Council	Comment	PAGE 42	<p>Several buildings and features have been identified as Parish Assets of Community Value. Facilities, these include The following are Parish Assets of Community Value: • Chilbolton Stores and Post Office • St Mary-the-Less Church • Abbots Mitre public house • The Village Hall – this is also designated as an Asset of Community Value. On checking the register, only the village hall is on the ACV register. The others are all Community Facilities. I recommend that the term ACV is replaced with 'Community Facilities' .</p>	PM18	<p>Delete the supporting text to policy H2 on page 42. Delete the first part of the policy H2. Rewrite the second part of policy H2 as follows: The following have been identified as community facilities and are shown on Map 11: 1 Chilbolton Stores and Post Office 2 St Mary-the-Less Church 3. Abbots Mitre public house 4.The Village Hall. Rename policy H2 as Policy C11 Community Facilities and move to Chapter 9 to replace the existing policy C11 (see PM27). Move the photographs on page 42 and Map 11 on page 43 to Chapter 9 of the Plan and renumber the pages accordingly. In Chapter 9 Overview 1st bullet point line 2 after Village Hall, add 'registered as an Asset of Community Value'.</p>	Accept Examiners Modification
-----------------------------	---------	---------	--	------	---	-------------------------------