
From:
Sent: 28 August 2020 08:07
To: Planning Policy
Subject: Refined Issues and Options Consultation document

Below are my answers to the Questions posed in the Refined Issues and Options consultation document.

Kind regards,

- Q1. Makes sense to have two HMA's. Draw a line across the borough. North of Stockbridge is NTV, Stockbridge south is STV
- Q2. If proposed new developments from other LPA's are near the border with Southampton, Salisbury and or Winchester then those housing numbers should be taken into account for TVBC and vice versa.
- Q3. HMA's based on Parish boundaries could be useful in determining any extension to a village or small town.
- Q4. ?
- Q5. ?
- Q6. Yes you must but be mindful of coalescing settlements into one larger one, this would not be beneficial to the residents.
- Q7. You could treat rural settlements close to larger settlements as sharing facilities but be mindful of local gaps and coalescing.
- Q8. Yes you probably should include allocations not built yet.
- Q9. You could use the road network as well as open public space that is linked to the settlement as well as residential gardens to establish a boundary
- Q10. see Q9 answer.
- Q11. Settlement boundaries could be defined a bit more loosely by including land that is available in the SHELAA that is directly adjacent to the settlement.
- Q12. Yes, if available land is directly attached to the settlement then that could be used for growth of that community.
- Q13. Are there a good number of self-builds in the Borough? Depends where the self-build is located. They would need to conform to all other policies in the BLP as well as build design etc.
- Q14. You could allow a small proportion of plots to be allocated for self-build but if there are no takers within a specified timeframe then allow the developer to build out the site.
- Q15. Yes.

Q16. Yes.

Q17. Yes

Q18. Yes