

Hello,

Regarding the local plan 2040 I have several concerns which I have listed below:

The wording Green corridors is my **biggest concern!** By definition.

There is no mention of **Local or Strategic** gaps in the paper. These should be sustained to avoid combinations and protect the environment and well being of the residents of the Test valley.

Green field areas should be avoided at all cost for development! (The Environmental act 2021 & Secretary of State has mentioned)

The natural environment is priceless (example primary age children growing up seeing spring lambs running around the fields near there schools) Not a playground/grass and a few trees. Those memories for the kids will live for ever.

Housing allocation/requirement I disagree with total number (way to many).

North of the test valley should be greater than stated as the links to road and rail networks.

Employment in the south is still London including Reading.

Tier structure I disagree with. Surely an area with a School should be higher and the reason why there is no shops/transport/facilities in these areas is lack of population to sustain them.

Housing could be simple;

Andover 3 to 4k

Romsey 1k as it way over developed already.

Tier 2 250 houses each

Tier 3 150 houses each

Tier 4 100 houses each

Or the 56 settlements 190 each simple.

A very small percentage of people walk or cycle to work or School (to wet, cold and lazy). They never will!

Travelling community

No consideration should be given until TVBC enforces the law:

I refer to Rownhams lane large development without planning or enforcement.

Ampfield where the secretary of state gave planning (After appeal) with restrictions that are not adhered to and TVBC not enforcing.

I would like to add more but unfortunately time is against me. Overall it is a good document!

Kind regards,

Martyn Evans

