

I would like to comment on the above plan for your consideration. I feel that they would have a positive impact on climate change, improve air pollution. equality targets could also be improved.

I moved to Upper Clatford about 3 years ago and in that time, I have felt part of the community that only a village with it's own identity can offer. I walk my dog every day around the village, we enjoy the local paths with the abundance of wildlife that lives in and around our historic village. I often walk to Rooksbury Lakes, Bury Hill Ring and to the local amenities on the outskirts of Andover such as the shops that our village does not have. I am more likely to carry on doing this if the local gap and our green spaces are preserved.

Our distance from Goodworth Clatford for shared amenities is problematic as there is no safe footpath. The elderly, children and disabled would not be safe. Those on a limited income with no means of transport would be disadvantaged as the local bus service is too infrequent and would add to their financial burden for this to be a viable solution. For this reason, I feel that Goodworth Clatford's and Upper Clatford's amenities should not be perceived as shared, therefore, Upper Clatford would be better served to be in tier 4.

My home overlooks Phillhill Brook and Balksbury Bridge play park. Children play there and paddle in the brook. There are many birds, wildlife and even swans living on this beautiful stretch of chalk stream that Hampshire is well known for. We must do all we can to preserve this idyll by controlling any contaminates that may enter it.

Kind regards

Mrs Jan Knight

██████████
██████████████████
██████████