

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Planning Policy](#)
Subject: Re: Velmore Farm
Date: 13 February 2024 12:03:43

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank as you are unable to my original email on file for an extra 24 hours. I have copied and pasted it to this email.

No more at Velmore

No more at Velmore, just about sums it up.

I actually can't believe that this is a serious proposal. Given all of the very real strains and pressures on local Doctors, school, dentist and hospitals. Before we even think about the crazy amounts of extra traffic on the roads since the Stoneham site development.

Where do you expect these proposed extra 2000 plus people to go to the doctors and so on?

Where do you expect all of their cold water to be supplied from? Where do you expect all of their sewerage to go to be processed? Where do you expect all of the surface rain water to go? All of these questions are of course rhetorical. Its patronising to think that we are expected to happily go on paying out council tax when more and more houses are build on existing communities. Putting massive strains on said communities and resources.

Whilst we find it harder and harder to use our Local services as we should be able to.

Of course, the people sat in their offices, making these draft plans do not feel the consequences. They just reap the rewards and benefits of the additional council tax payments they will receive.

Just last night i was walking on the foot path just off Castle Lane, admiring the small herd of deer on the ground to which you would like to concrete over.

I guess in your head, they will just vanish along with all the other wild life on that land, and all the other land that has been built on with the latest influx of developments.

The answer here isnt to keep building on top of existing communities. Instead either start sterilising people, so they can't keep chucking out more and more babies, left right and centre, or Plan B. Build on unused farm land miles from any where, which was once used for crops, seeing as we import more and more produce now, and our farmers are getting squeezed tighter and tighter on ever penny.

And at the same time, whilst you are there, build a hospital, a doctors practice, a school, a Dentist, a big water reservoir, and a sewerage plant. Oh and a nice big road for people to get in and out.

Every body is happy.

Many thanks
Thomas Hobbs

Sent from my Space Station

> On 13 Feb 2024, at 11:40, Planning Policy <planningpolicy@testvalley.gov.uk> wrote:

>

> Dear Thomas,

>

> Thank you for your email.

>

> The formal public consultation period for the Draft Local Plan 2040 Regulation 18, Stage 2 began on 6 February 2024 and will run for a period of 8 weeks until 12pm on 2 April 2024. Please be aware that we are only able to accept comments as duly made if they are received during this period.

>

> Therefore, as your comments were received prior to the start date and consequently outside of the prescribed consultation period, please could you confirm by return email that you would like your comments to be

included as part of the formal consultation or re-submit them again.

>

> If confirmed, we will then acknowledge these comments as part of the formal consultation.

>

> Kind regards,

> Planning Policy Team

>

> Test Valley Borough Council

> Beech Hurst

> Weyhill Road

> Andover

> SP10 3AJ

> planningpolicy@testvalley.gov.uk

> 01264 368000

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: thomas hobbs [REDACTED]

> Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 1:32 PM

> To: Planning Policy <planningpolicy@testvalley.gov.uk>

> Subject: Velmore Farm

>

> Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

>

>

> No more at Velmore, just about sums it up.

> I actually can't believe that this is a serious proposal. Given all of the very real strains and pressures on local Doctors, school, dentist and hospitals. Before we even think about the crazy amounts of extra traffic on the roads since the Stoneham site development.

> Where do you expect these proposed extra 2000 plus people to go to the doctors and so on?

> Where do you expect all of their cold water to be supplied from? Where do you expect all of their sewerage to go to be processed? Where do you expect all of the surface rain water to go? All of these questions are of course rhetorical. Its patronising to think that we are expected to happily go on paying out council tax when more and more houses are build on existing communities. Putting massive strains on said communities and resources. Whilst we find it harder and harder to use our Local services as we should be able to.

> Of course, the people sat in their offices, making these draft plans do not feel the consequences. They just reap the rewards and benefits of the additional council tax payments they will receive.

> Just last night i was walking on the foot path just off Castle Lane, admiring the small herd of deer on the ground to which you would like to concrete over.

> I guess in your head, they will just vanish along with all the other wild life on that land, and all the other land that has been built on with the latest influx of developments.

> The answer here isnt to keep building on top of existing communities. Instead either start sterilising people, so they can't keep chucking out more and more babies, left right and centre, or Plan B. Build on unused farm land miles from any where, which was once used for crops, seeing as we import more and more produce now, and our farmers are getting squeezed tighter and tighter on ever penny.

> And at the same time, whilst you are there, build a hospital, a doctors practice, a school, a Dentist, a big water reservoir, and a sewerage plant. Oh and a nice big road for people to get in and out.

> Every body is happy.

>

> Many thanks

> Thomas Hobbs

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Sent from my Space Station

> The information in this e-mail is confidential. The content may not be disclosed or used by anyone other than the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Council's Data Protection Administrator immediately on 01264 368000. Test Valley Borough Council cannot accept any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of this message as it has been transmitted over a public network. If you suspect

that the message may have been intercepted or amended, please call the Data Protection Administrator on the above phone number. The content of this e-mail, whether marked confidential or otherwise, may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. No employee, Councillor or agent is authorised to conclude by e-mail any binding agreement with another party on behalf of Test Valley Borough Council. The Council does not accept service by e-mail of court proceedings, other processes or formal notices of any kind without specific prior written agreement.

>

>

> The information in this e-mail is confidential. The content may not be disclosed or used by anyone other than the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Council's Data Protection Officer immediately on 01264 368000. Test Valley Borough Council cannot accept any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of this message as it has been transmitted over a public network. If you suspect that the message may have been intercepted or amended, please call the Data Protection Officer on the above phone number.

>

> The content of this e-mail, whether marked confidential or otherwise, may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. No employee, Councillor or agent is authorised to conclude by e-mail any binding agreement with another party on behalf of Test Valley Borough Council. The Council does not accept service by e-mail of court proceedings, other processes or formal notices of any kind without specific prior written agreement.

>