

#143

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
Started: Tuesday, March 05, 2024 7:00:19 PM  
Last Modified: Tuesday, March 05, 2024 7:12:18 PM  
Time Spent: 00:11:58  
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Title Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other(please state)\*

Mrs

Q2

First Name\*

Christie

Q3

Surname\*

Williams

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Organisation\*(If responding on behalf of an organisation)

Q5

Email address \*

[REDACTED]

Q6

Postal address\*

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Insert any general comments that do not relate to a specific paragraph number or policy in the general comments box below.\*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording. If you are commenting on a document supporting the draft Local Plan (such as a topic paper, or the Sustainability Appraisal), please indicate so.

---

Q8

Insert any specific comments in the general comments box below, indicating which paragraph, policy or matter your comments relate to where possible.\*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording.

Proposed Housing Allocation NA4-NA8 and SA4-SA7

We are very concerned that the Land at Velmore Farm has been allocated for future housing.

1. Both Templars Way and Castle Lane already suffer from regular flooding. This loss of green space will place further pressure on the flooding to these roads as currently the fields soak and drain and they will no longer be there.
2. The roads surrounding Velmore Farm are already heavily used and regularly suffer tailbacks at peak times. They currently serve all the housing on Valley Park and Knightwood, commercial offices on Templars Way, Offices and Industrial Units on Chandlers Ford Industrial Estate, the Asda Hypermarket and recently the Village Hotel. The queues along Castle Lane and Templars Way in particular cannot support the level of extra housing that is being proposed, not to mention extra commercial traffic as part of the proposal.
3. Will there be further schools and Doctor surgeries built? our current provisions already full with waiting lists.
4. Given that the proposal to the North of Castle Lane by Taylor Wimpey was rejected on the basis of loss breach of the local gap and that Castle Lane could not cope with the traffic, then how is this development to the South of Castle Lane any different? It doesn't make sense.

I don't wish to be a NIMBY but the original Valley Park has already been enlarged considerably with the addition of Knightwood. There has been further development along Stoneham Lane which feeds traffic into this area and a huge loss of open space, there has also been a loss of open space in North Baddesley with several large new build developments. We are on our way to being a conurbation of Eastleigh, Chandlers Ford, North Baddesley and Old Chilworth which then links us virtually through to Southampton City.

We do not support this area of land being included in the local plan for a preferred site for both housing and employment.

---