From: Planning Policy
To:

Subject: RE: Proposed building work at Upton Lane, Nursling

Date: 21 March 2024 13:15:30

Attachments: <u>image001.png</u>

image002.png image003.png

Hi Owen.

We believe they are referencing the allocation in the plan, but we will respond and confirm if they would like this to form a comment on the plan as its not entirely clear.

Thanks.

Leah

Leah Pearce

Administration Assistant

Planning Policy & Economic Development



From: Planning User

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 4:19 PM

To: Planning Policy <planningpolicy@testvalley.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: Proposed building work at Upton Lane, Nursling

Good Afternoon,

We believe this may be an allocation in the new local plan but not entirely certain, could you please confirm either way if this is what the email below refers to?

Kind regards

Owen

Owen Carine

Systems Support Officer

Planning & Building

Test Valley Borough Council

Beech Hurst Weyhill Road Andover

SP10 3AJ



From: e-mail

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 2:40 PM

To: Planning User

Subject: Proposed building work at Upton Lane, Nursling

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

I have recently been made aware that Broadlands have offered land for sale at the end of Upton

Lane, for the erection of an industrial estate.

I am also aware that the field facing Romsey Road is being sought after for houses.

I have rented the proposed area which they intend building offices on for over 20 years and am heartbroken to hear that this is being proposed.

We have so much wildlife using the fields. We have a herd of deer permanently there, we keep the anthills which are plentiful in the fields as the woods offer homes for woodpecker species which is their food. We have 3 red kites/buzzards using the fields/woods to hunt/nest.

In our stable block we have bats and returning swallows every year for 20 years.

We have numerous wild flowers growing during the summer before grazing including orchids. The deer would cause accidents as they would be forced onto the motorway running alongside the area.

I cannot believe what is being proposed, houses would devalue in price and as for the traffic, it would be horrendous.

The traffic has increased so much over the years, driving schools are using it all day every day, lorries up and down.

There are alot of horses kept along Upton Lane as this is the countryside not a main road - as the name suggests it is a lane.

It would become a dangerous area to ride and an accident waiting to happen.

We have nine horses on the proposed land, 3 of which are mine, one of them has seizures and does not travel, so, I would have no option but to put him to sleep

if we had to move off the land.

I am in shock that anyone would want to do this to this very old pasture which keeps greenspace between the motorway and the houses and am even more shocked that Broadlands who are normally quite passionate about the countryside are willing to do this. Lord Louis must be turning in his grave.

I have spoken to local residents and they are all horrified that this could happen around their properties, they chose to live in the country not an industrial estate.

Sometimes it is not always about the money. Please refuse for this application to be granted. Yours faithfully

Susan Power Mrs

From: To: Planning Policy Cc:

Draft Local Plan 2040 Upton Lane Subject: Date: 26 March 2024 20:55:38

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organisation. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

Response to Draft Test Valley Local Plan 2040 Stage 2.

Further to my recent email, as advised, here is our further response after attending the proposal meeting on 13th March.

It is of great concern as to what the impact of these allocations of land for new development will have on the local community and environment.

There are few amenities serving Nursling with parents not getting their children into their nearest school and only one local food store. Public transport services are not plentiful, indeed your information states that the area has a range of facilities, services and a regular bus service. This is contradicted by another statement saying "the site is not well connected by public transport and is some distance from local amenities".

It would appear from the mapping that the proposed area is 8.5 ha but is shown as twice the size - why is this? Have the settlement boundaries been moved by the council? The plan states that the site also relates poorly to the settlement boundary of Nursling and begins to sprawl development into the open countryside.

Upton Lane/Romsey Road is currently busy with traffic high at peak times with drivers cutting through from Nursling Industrial Estate via Station Road. The golf course is opposite making turning difficult/dangerous for all drivers. Should any lorries go along Upton Lane by mistake, turning around would be very difficult as there is no turning space before the train bridge, which they cannot cross as has a weight limit.

The day/night disruption to the area with heavy vehicles would seem totally unacceptable as would produce constant noise/pollution in a countryside area.

Indeed when we ride our horses around the roundabout from Romsey Road to Cold Harbour Lane, they start to cough due to fume pollution.

As it seems unclear how the industrial area would be accessed via Romsey Road/Upton Lane, it seems to go against the conservation of small areas of broadleaf woods which we thought were meant to being being preserved. All three pieces of woodland included on the plan contain biuebells/primroses (in the far wood) and house a large range of birds/wildlife. The land proposed for industrial units is old pasture and has been grazed for many years by horses. The stable blocks contain bats/swallows and need protecting should the stables be removed. Both have been in residence for over 20 years.

Horses constantly use Upton Lane to gain access to Nightingale Woods so more heavy traffic would make this hazardous. L drivers are also constantly using the lane travelling via Station Road which has increased the traffic.

Your points in the draft plan 5.212, 5.213 and 5.181 all seem to point to the fact that the development goes against all these points. Loss of amenity is linked to landscape and visual impact and the current character of the area.

It would seem that the proposal allocations at Upton Lane do not pass the test of the councils own draft local plan.

Surely there is no need to build more industrial units as it would appear, at the present time, that there are very high numbers of empty units available to rent/purchase being offered by agents in the Nursling area. What is the point of building more, even looking at the long term picture? Enough land has been devasted already for battery units etc. Myself and daughter have rented the proposed area to the west for 20 years, looking after

the flora and fauna so would not wish it to be devasted at all.

Susan Power

Tara Power-Gillet