

My response to the consultation on the Draft Test Valley Local Plan 2040 (Regulation 18 Stage 2) is as follows and relates to Chilworth. I live in Chilworth.

A Policies

i) Policy DES3. I agree with the wording shown.

ii) Policy ENV4. I believe that this policy should also refer to a Local Gap between Chilworth and Valley Park / Eastleigh. Whilst the importance of the gap around Chilworth is referred to in 5.187 this is not satisfactorily implemented within the policy wording.

B Settlement Boundary changes

i) Manor View (south of Manor Road, adj Science Park) - the adjustment should not extend beyond the existing fenced garden boundary (this is to ensure that the existing de-facto footpath within the woodland around the outside of the garden fence is not affected).

ii) Adding land south of the avenue to Kennels Farm, close to the Science park. I object to this addition which would remove the visual benefit of the field beside the avenue of trees.

iii) Adding land by Park Pond and Shubbery Pond at North Stoneham. I object to this on the basis that the amenity of the ponds and surroundings would be damaged materially by development in this area.

iv) The land beside the church in Chilworth Old Village (consisting of grassed area with children's play facilities / slide, tennis courts, access road and parking and community centre building) has been used for public recreation for some decades. I request that this be removed from the settlement boundary so as to give it protection from any development which would remove it from being a facility used by the public.

C) Further development of the Science Park at Kennels Farm. (4.241 onwards)

Whilst I do not object to extension of the Science Park into this location for research and early stage development purposes, I remain concerned that the 'ancillary production' definition has the scope to be stretched and abused thus tending towards industrial operations rather than pure R&D. There will always be a financial incentive for the landlord to take any paying tenant. I believe that any extension needs very tight controls and the wording should be reviewed with this in mind.

D) Housing Development at Velmore Farm (4.187 onwards)

I object to this proposed development within the countryside which forms the gap between Chilworth and Valley Park. It would damage that gap and would overstretch the local senior school provision, traffic junctions and other services: whilst they all happen to be outside TVBC's boundary the strain on local facilities and the resulting stress on residents would be significant.

#258

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started: Monday, April 01, 2024 12:24:14 PM
Last Modified: Monday, April 01, 2024 12:44:29 PM
Time Spent: 00:20:15
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Title Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other(please state)*

Mr

Q2

First Name*

Malcolm

Q3

Surname*

Goddard

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Organisation*(If responding on behalf of an organisation)

Q5

Email address *

[REDACTED]

Q6

Postal address*

N/A

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Insert any general comments that do not relate to a specific paragraph number or policy in the general comments box below.*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording. If you are commenting on a document supporting the draft Local Plan (such as a topic paper, or the Sustainability Appraisal), please indicate so.

Q8

Insert any specific comments in the general comments box below, indicating which paragraph, policy or matter your comments relate to where possible.*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording.

My response to the consultation on the Draft Test Valley Local Plan 2040 (Regulation 18 Stage 2) is as follows and relates to Chilworth. I live in Chilworth.

A Policies

i) Policy DES3. I agree with the wording shown.

ii) Policy ENV4. I believe that this policy should also refer to a Local Gap between Chilworth and Valley Park / Eastleigh. Whilst the importance of the gap around Chilworth is referred to in 5.187 this is not satisfactorily implemented within the policy wording.

B Settlement Boundary changes

i) Manor View (south of Manor Road, adj Science Park) - the adjustment should not extend beyond the existing fenced garden boundary (this is to ensure that the existing de-facto footpath within the woodland around the outside of the garden fence is not affected).

ii) Adding land south of the avenue to Kennels Farm, close to the Science park. I object to this addition which would remove the visual benefit of the field beside the avenue of trees.

iii) Adding land by Park Pond and Shubbery Pond at North Stoneham. I object to this on the basis that the amenity of the ponds and surroundings would be damaged materially by development in this area.

iv) The land beside the church in Chilworth Old Village (consisting of grassed area with children's play facilities / slide, tennis courts, access road and parking and community centre building) has been used for public recreation for some decades. I request that this be removed from the settlement boundary so as to give it protection from any development which would remove it from being a facility used by the public.

C) Further development of the Science Park at Kennels Farm. (4.241 onwards)

Whilst I do not object to extension of the Science Park into this location for research and early stage development purposes, I remain concerned that the 'ancillary production' definition has the scope to be stretched and abused thus tending towards industrial operations rather than pure R&D. There will always be a financial incentive for the landlord to take any paying tenant. I believe that any extension needs very tight controls and the wording should be reviewed with this in mind.

D) Housing Development at Velmore Farm (4.187 onwards)

I object to this proposed development within the countryside which forms the gap between Chilworth and Valley Park. It would damage that gap and would overstretch the local senior school provision, traffic junctions and other services: whilst they all happen to be outside TVBC's boundary the strain on local facilities and the resulting stress on residents would be significant.