# #264

Postal address\*

NA

# 69MPLETE Web Link 1 (Web Link) Collector: Started: Monday, April 01, 2024 12:55:03 PM Monday, April 01, 2024 2:55:05 PM **Last Modified:** 02:00:01 Time Spent: IP Address: Page 1 Q1 Title Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other(please state)\* Mrs Q2 First Name\* Rachana Q3 Surname\* Pradhan Q4 Organisation\*(If responding on behalf of an organisation) NA Q5 Email address \* Q6

## Q7

Insert any general comments that do not relate to a specific paragraph number or policy in the general comments box below.\*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording. If you are commenting on a document supporting the draft Local Plan (such as a topic paper, or the Sustainability Appraisal), please indicate so.

This is to present my strong objection to the proposed Local Valley Park Plan 2040, emphasizing the detrimental ramifications it poses to the community's welfare and the environment. The enumerated points of contention are:

- 1. Overdevelopment: Excessive construction that could overwhelm the existing local infrastructure and degrade the area's character. Currently the local services are upto its limits to support the existing population. Please review the numbers for medical, dental and school requirements also the community services that support and how they are struggling to cater to current residents.
- 2. Infrastructure Deficiencies: Inadequate planning for flood management and other essential services. Valley park is known to have surface water flooding and with additional houses this can be a potential concern.
- 3. Access Restriction: Impediments to road access, which could exacerbate traffic congestion. Road blockages and congestion will further add to noise and air pollution. Please take in consideration the traffic numbers during the peak times on the existing roads.
- 4. Loss of privacy and diminished light: The development may overshadow neighbouring properties, invading their privacy. The likelihood of new structures casting pervasive shadows, reducing natural light is eminent.
- 5. Impact on trees and Environmental Degradation: Adverse effects on the health and preservation of trees, possibly contravening Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs). Negative implications for the local ecosystem, potentially disrupting wildlife habitats. Currently the farms are habitat to herds of deer's, rabbits, badgers, moles and hares. This will pose a serious threat to the current wildlife residents in this farm.
- 6. Hazardous Material Utilization: The employment of materials that may pose safety risks. The proposed development could cause issues like air pollution and noise pollution to current residents of Valley park.
- 7. Safety Compromise: Increased potential for environmental health hazards. The houses are proposed near overhead electric pylons that would be a potential safety hazard.
- 8. Facility Overburden: Strain on existing educational institutions due to population influx. Please do a survey for existing schools, nurseries and daycare facilities available and how parents are struggling to get places. The waiting lists for some of the educational facilities is overwhelming.
- 9. Healthcare Limitations: Insufficient capacity at general practices, dental offices, and emergency departments to handle the increased demand. Our already stretched medical facilities will buckle under the added pressure.

I respectfully insist on additional evaluations and public consultations to forge a more equitable and ecologically sound strategy. This proposal requires further assessments addressing the above concerns and community consultations to develop a more inclusive and sustainable plan that aligns with the community's interests.

### Q8

Insert any specific comments in the general comments box below, indicating which paragraph, policy or matter your comments relate to where possible.\*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording.

#### Respondent skipped this question