

#261

COMPLETE

Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link)  
Started: Monday, April 01, 2024 11:20:50 AM  
Last Modified: Monday, April 01, 2024 1:49:40 PM  
Time Spent: 02:28:49  
IP Address: [REDACTED]

Page 1

Q1

Title Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other(please state)\*

Mr

Q2

First Name\*

Andrew

Q3

Surname\*

Skelton

Q4

Respondent skipped this question

Organisation\*(If responding on behalf of an organisation)

Q5

Email address \*

[REDACTED]

Q6

Postal address\*

[REDACTED]

## Q7

Insert any general comments that do not relate to a specific paragraph number or policy in the general comments box below.\*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording. If you are commenting on a document supporting the draft Local Plan (such as a topic paper, or the Sustainability Appraisal), please indicate so.

I am objecting to the proposed plans for the land at Upton Lane. we have been mislead by councils as to what they have told us for example the road works at A3057, Bakers Drove and Redbridge Lane, and the fact the drawings which have been submitted for review are not accurate and contain mistakes. There is a distinct lack of infrastructure in the area and there is a lack of public transport. The A3057 is already a busy road and the lack of footpaths and cycleways and the anticipated traffic congestion due to increased traffic may well result in accidents and dangerous access. There area is home to wildlife which will be destroyed. To reiterate I strongly object to the proposals. The area has already been subjected to large developments which have resulted in congestion, noise and pollution.

---

## Q8

Insert any specific comments in the general comments box below, indicating which paragraph, policy or matter your comments relate to where possible.\*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording.

Specifically, the increase in pollution and noise, the lack of public transport and transport facilities, the lack of any form of infrastructure to support and manage the road network and the plans are misleading when in one section there is a mention of 80 homes yet the drawing identify the area as employment. There is also evidence of HGV's mis use of Paulette Lacave Avenue, which will only be aggravated with the proposal.

---