Draft Local Plan 2040 Regulation 18 Stage 2 Public Consultation

#214

Postal address*

COMPLETE Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link) Started: Wednesday, March 27, 2024 8:49:40 PM **Last Modified:** Thursday, March 28, 2024 12:10:10 AM Time Spent: 03:20:29 IP Address: Page 1 Q1 Title Mr/Mrs/Miss/Ms/Dr/Other(please state)* Mrs Q2 First Name* Wendy Q3 Surname* Slack Q4 Respondent skipped this question Organisation*(If responding on behalf of an organisation) Q5 Email address * Q6

Draft Local Plan 2040 Regulation 18 Stage 2 Public Consultation

Q7

Insert any general comments that do not relate to a specific paragraph number or policy in the general comments box below.*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording. If you are commenting on a document supporting the draft Local Plan (such as a topic paper, or the Sustainability Appraisal), please indicate so.

I wish to have my objection to the inclusion, in the 2040 local plan, of over 16 hectares of land at Upton Lane for the following reasons this is not a sustainable location as Nursling & Rownhams is already an area of high development and the current sevices and infrastructure are at breaking point. (no health ctr, GP practice or dentist. No sec schools and both primary schools are. There is 1 shop, minimum public transport).

There has already been major commercial and employment building growth in this parish and we already have one of the highest population densities compared to the average borough.

Additioally, the proposal at Upton Lane is for a development of 17.2 hectars of currently designated countryside consisting of woodland and agricultural land, not 8.5 hectares as stated in the plan and its also spread outside of the settlement boundaries. The TVBC local plan Consultation has therfore misled the parishioners as to the scale of this development.

There is also no guarantee the South east parcel of land will be appropriate for, or used for, residential purposes. How does this fit with the current remit of reducing travel for work purposes. If the aim is employment for Southampton and Eastleigh then surely land should be identified in those areas to reduce travel, Surely brownfield sites should be the priority for this purpose.

Unlike other employment sites in the parish, access to this site would have to be via a residential area and a country lane. This is not only dangerous for HGVs lorries, its also dangerous for residents. There are no footpaths beyond Upton Lane. There are no cycle paths to Romsey. The noise, air pollution, traffic congestion, will directly impact residents.

The proposed use of the land will result in 24/7 access by HGV lorries and cars, 7 days a week. The whole 17.2 hectars will become a light and air polluted urbanised area day and night, significantly changing the character of the area and surrounding countryside, causing damage to the landscape features.

The area will become unsafe, non inclusive and inaccessible, cutting off residents from one another, affecting our metal and physical health and wellbeing.

I am extremely concerned for the loss of important ancient woodland and the wildlife, habitat, the destruction of the blue bells. This area has so much history and was a local lifeline for us all to visit during Covid.

I would be grateful to have my concerns considered in regards to this section of the local plan 2040

Q8

Insert any specific comments in the general comments box below, indicating which paragraph, policy or matter your comments relate to where possible.*If you are suggesting a change is needed to the draft Local Plan or supporting document, it would be helpful if you could include suggested revised wording.

Respondent skipped this question