# Statement of Consultation Appendix 3 - Addendum

Regulation 18, Stage 2 consultation for the Draft Local Plan 2042 Schedule of Comments

Additional Comments on Policy SA6 Land at Velmore Farm, Valley Park, Paragraphs 4.187 to 4.200



#### Overview of Document - Addendum

This document provides an addendum summary schedule of additional comments on Policy SA6 Land at Velmore Farm, Valley Park, paragraphs 4.187 to 4.200 received to the Local Plan Regulation 18, Stage 2 consultation held between Tuesday 6 February and noon on Tuesday 2 April 2024.

Comments made at Regulation 18 Stage 2 on those matters within the scope of the Revised Regulation 18 document have been taken into account and include an officer response (within Appendix 3). Where comments were made on matters not in the Revised Regulation 18 local plan, these comments will be taken into account on preparing the Regulation 19 document, which will be a full final draft local plan. Officers have provided responses to matters not addressed in the Revised Regulation 18 document (except the Development Management Policies), however, these are provided at a point time and are subject to change as plan preparation continues to Regulation 19. The comments and responses have been organised in plan order.

Please note that these responses in Appendix 3 are officer views only, providing thoughts in light of the comments on the potential direction of travel going forward, as preparation of the next Local Plan progresses. The responses are not a formal position of the Council, as they have not been approved by the Council or Cabinet.

Further details of the consultation and our latest position are available on our website

- Draft Local Plan 2040 | Test Valley Borough Council

## Schedule of Respondents

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10004	Valley Park Parish Council
10006	Charlton Parish Council
10020	Glasspool
10022	Southern Water
10025	North Baddesley Parish Council
10027	Theatres Trust
10028	New Forest District Council
10033	Timsbury Holdings Ltd
10036	Thruxton Parish Council
10037	English Rural Housing Association
10042	Whiting
10047	Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust
10049	Historic England
10052	Romsey & District Society: Natural Environment
	Committee
10058	Abbotts Ann Parish Council
10067	Hampshire Swifts
10068	Environment Agency
10069	Bartholomew
10072	Melchet Park & Plaitford Parish Council
10074	Upper Clatford Parish Council
10080	Mr Lyell Fairlie (MMA) Settlement Trust
10082	BJC Planning (LATE)
10083	Nursling and Rownhams Parish Council
10091	The Trinley Estate
10094	CEG
10096	Barratt David Wilson Homes
10098	Southampton City Council
10099	Hampshire County Council
10101	Broadlands Estate
10105	AMPFIELD PARISH COUNCIL
10106	Michelmersh & Timsbury Parish Council
10110	Stockbridge Parish Council
10112	Faberstown Trust
10113	Leckford Estate
10114	Ashfield Partnership
10115	Alfred Homes Ltd
10116	Rowles
10119	Bellway Homes
10120	Gladmans
-	Secretary of State for Defence, c/o Defence
10121	Infrastructure Organisation (DIO)
10124	Andover Town Council

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10125	Hallam Land Management
10126	Bloor Homes
10129	University of Southampton Science Park
10133	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
10137	Peel L&P Investments Ltd
10139	CPRE Hampshire
10140	Natural England
10147	Gleadow
10148	Dowden
10152	National Grid (LATE)
10155	Dunkley
10156	Treadwell
10157	Delbury Limited
10163	Tydeman
10166	Barton Stacey Parish Council
10170	Houghton Parish Council
10175	Leighton
10177	Dorsett
10181	Highwood
10182	Crest Nicholson Partnerships and Strategic Land
10191	Save our Stockbridge (SOS)
10192	Napier
10194	Inspired Villages
10197	Goodworth Clatford Parish Council
10199	Beckett
10201	Home Builders Federation
10202	Wiltshire Council
10204	Chilbolton Parish Council
10210	Winchester City Council
10213	Mr C Grimsdale
10219	Allsopp
10223	Woodland Trust (LATE)
10230	L&Q Estates
10235	Smannell Parish Council
10242	Marine Management Organisation (MMO)
10243	Go South Coast and Stagecoach (LATE)
10268	Scard
10269	Evans
10275	Heslop
10279	Romsey & District Society Planning Committee
10291	National Highways Limited
10314	Boyle
10320	Southern Strategic Land (LATE)
10323	Romsey Ltd
10342	Awbury Holdings Limited
10343	Belfield Homes (Ampfield) Ltd

10352         Stratland Estates Limited           10362         Eastleigh Local Plan Team           10364         Moon River Ltd (Mr A Morris)           10366         Lumsden           10373         Draper Tools Ltd           10374         Foreman Homes Limited           10376         McCarthy Stone           10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10391         Pooley           10392         Lawrence           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10396         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         F	Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10364         Moon River Ltd (Mr A Morris)           10366         Lumsden           10373         Draper Tools Ltd           10374         Foreman Homes Limited           10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler	10352	Stratland Estates Limited
10366         Lumsden           10373         Draper Tools Ltd           10374         Foreman Homes Limited           10376         McCarthy Stone           10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky <t< td=""><td>10362</td><td>Eastleigh Local Plan Team</td></t<>	10362	Eastleigh Local Plan Team
10373         Draper Tools Ltd           10374         Foreman Homes Limited           10376         McCarthy Stone           10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper	10364	Moon River Ltd (Mr A Morris)
10374         Foreman Homes Limited           10376         McCarthy Stone           10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10438         Newell           10440	10366	Lumsden
10376         McCarthy Stone           10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10373	Draper Tools Ltd
10378         Raymond Farming Ltd           10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman </td <td>10374</td> <td></td>	10374	
10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10440         Dorman	10376	McCarthy Stone
10384         Painter           10386         Moon (LATE)           10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10440         Dorman	10378	Raymond Farming Ltd
10389         Lawrence           10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10384	
10391         Pooley           10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hattherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10386	Moon (LATE)
10393         Abbott           10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10389	Lawrence
10394         Parker           10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10391	Pooley
10395         Lynch           10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10440         Dorman	10393	Abbott
10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10394	Parker
10397         Chilworth Parish Council           10405         North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)           10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10416         Franklin           10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		North Wessex Downs National Landscape (AONB)
10420         Davies           10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10421         Blue           10422         Jerome           10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		Davies
10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10423         Foster           10424         Adams           10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10424       Adams         10425       Hatherell         10426       Wooler         10427       Dacer         10428       Page         10429       Conrad         10430       Frazer         10431       Felton         10432       Swift         10433       Lane         10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman		
10425         Hatherell           10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10426         Wooler           10427         Dacer           10428         Page           10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10428       Page         10429       Conrad         10430       Frazer         10431       Felton         10432       Swift         10433       Lane         10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman	10426	Wooler
10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10427	Dacer
10429         Conrad           10430         Frazer           10431         Felton           10432         Swift           10433         Lane           10434         Vorechovsky           10435         Wheeler           10436         Harper           10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman	10428	Page
10430       Frazer         10431       Felton         10432       Swift         10433       Lane         10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman	10429	
10432       Swift         10433       Lane         10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman	10430	Frazer
10432       Swift         10433       Lane         10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman	10431	Felton
10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman		Swift
10434       Vorechovsky         10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman	10433	Lane
10435       Wheeler         10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman	10434	Vorechovsky
10436       Harper         10437       Drust         10438       Newell         10439       Sibley         10440       Dorman		
10437         Drust           10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10438         Newell           10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10439         Sibley           10440         Dorman		
10440 Dorman		
10442 Rodbourne		
10443 Anderson		
10444 Devine		
10445 Sadler		
10446 Smith		
10447 Armstrong		

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10448	Lees
10449	Hobbs
10450	Perress
10451	Rogers
10452	White
10453	Pragnell
10454	Kail
10455	Naylor
10456	Harrison / Turner
10457	Brooker-Corcoran
10458	Tatnall
10459	Stannard
10460	Barton Stacey Fly Fishing Club (BSFFC)
10461	Grubb
10462	Brooker
10463	Ilchester Estates
10464	Brooker
10465	French
10466	Harrison
10467	Gunner
10468	Wade
10469	King
10470	Conway
10471	Owen
10472	Sherbourne
10473	Johnston
10474	Ball
10475	Bigg
10476	O'Brien
10477	Charkham
10478	Bendall
10479	Taylor
10480	Taylor
10481	Bartlett
10482	Davis
10483	Comper
10484	Cook
10485	llott
10486	Mears
10487	Brereton
10488	Jones
10489	Duggan
10490	Hicks
10491	Gibbons
10492	Thornton
10493	Nesbitt
· · • •	[ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10494	Carrington
10495	Breckenridge
10496	Rose
10497	Harris
10498	Davis-Waldman
10499	Tilston
10500	Bracken
10501	Slawson
10502	Hayward
10503	Hurley
10504	Essery
10505	Gilbert
10506	Forrest
10507	Pickup
10508	Parker
10509	Setterington
10510	Holdbrook
10511	Maddick
10512	Clark
10513	Lane
10514	Young
10515	Mackintosh
10516	Morgan
10517	Woodman
10518	Wade
10519	Mcquaide
10520	Chandy
10521	Brown
10522	Tanner
10523	Turner
10524	Smith
10525	Nugent
10526	Leach
10527	Belas
10528	Evans
10529	Poate
10530	Kennedy
10531	Gregory
10532	Wade
10533	Dick
10534	Mudd
10535	Hewitt
10536	Oliver
10537	Oliver
10538	Cordell
10539	Waterhouse

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10540	Dix
10541	Watkins
10542	Park
10543	Ryan
10544	Cole
10545	Webb
10546	Colley
10547	Bates
10548	Timms
10549	Knight
10550	Wilkinson
10551	Austin
10552	Maclean
10553	Weedon
10554	Dibb
10555	Silvanus
10556	Lambert
10557	Whitton
10558	Moody
10559	Harris
10560	King
10561	Reed
10562	Parker
10563	Bhatnagar
10564	Wiltshire Swifts
10565	Tanna
10566	Atefi
10567	Curtis
10568	Gaudreau
10569	Roberts
10570	Weber
10571	Heppleston
10572	Young
10573	McGarry
10574	Millward
10575	Chesterman
10576	Bishop
10577	Mckie
10578	Thorns
10579	West
10580	Willis
10581	Latham
10582	Taylor
10583	Davis
10584	Brown
10585	Airey

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10586	Airey
10587	Cartwright
10588	Siddle
10589	Savage
10590	Perry
10591	Intech
10592	Roszkowiak
10593	Bock
10594	Bushueva
10595	Bayshev
10596	Sampson
10597	Elliott
10598	Fairbairn
10599	Woods
10600	Hendricks
10601	Grove and Coupar
10602	Pragnell
10603	Craig
10604	Kowalewski
10605	Jupe
10606	Everard
10607	Cooper
10608	Bray
10609	Deshays
10610	Dowling
10611	Moneley
10612	Dowden
10613	Woods
10614	Deshays
10615	Fresson
10616	Anderson
10617	Course
10618	Callaway
10619	Wakley
10620	Miles
10621	Beaton
10622	Coomer
10623	Rowe
10624	Bryan
10625	Gurr
10626	Hearn
10627	Parker
10628	Moxham
10629	Reeves
10630	Afzal
10631	Dedmen
	1 =

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10632	Butler
10633	Beveridge
10634	Phillips
10635	Howard
10636	Le Pen
10637	Kirby
10638	Wealleans
10639	Williams
10640	Fitzgerald
10641	Jager
10642	Price
10643	Page
10644	Green
10645	Bannister
10646	Attrill
10647	Penney
10648	Finnegan
10649	Wright
10650	Preston
10651	Bendall
10652	Selby
10653	Nahal
10654	Ray
10655	Gray
10656	Overton
10657	Ahmed
10658	Ahmed
10659	Dorsett
10660	Brown
10661	Orchard (Highwood Lane) Ltd
10662	Nugent
10663	Rawlings
10664	Burton
10665	Furlong
10666	Berridge
10667	Garrod
10668	Webb
10669	Hookings
10670	Kostek
10671	Tubby
10672	Owen and Perriment
10673	Bugg
10674	Shaw
10675	Durham
10676	Howarth
10677	Roche

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10678	Hensford
10679	Musson
10680	Kelly
10681	Abbotts Ann Village Shop Association
10682	Davis
10683	Hallam
10684	Marron
10685	Williams
10686	McKean
10687	Warren
10688	Watts
10689	McMullen
10690	Buckley
10691	Loader
10692	Marchant
10693	Pelling
10694	Elliott
10695	Baker
10696	New Forest National Park Authority
10697	Hankins
10698	Bull
10699	Wealleans
10700	Hill
10701	Hill
10702	Shires
10703	Jenkins
10704	Edwards
10705	White
10706	Hughes
10707	Moth
10708	Jerram
10709	Young
10710	Ashford
10711	Hillier
10712	Greenfield
10713	Leighton
10714	James
10715	Lea
10716	Power
10717	O'Flynn Group
10718	Bailey
10719	Patterson
10720	Dillon
10721	Atkins
10722	Martin
10723	Unwin

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10724	Siney
10725	Lowe
10726	Shires
10727	HISP Multi Academy Trust
10728	Brewer
10729	Victoria Land
	NHS Bath and North East Somerset, Swindon and
10730	Wiltshire Integrated Care Board
10731	Enham Alamein Parish Council
10732	NHS Property Services
10733	Harris
10734	Summers
10735	Marchant and Bailey
10736	Giles
10737	Knight
10738	Floyd
10739	Pratt
10740	Clarke
10741	Wilson
10742	Ford
10743	Lines
10744	Patey
10745	Palk
10746	Palk
10747	Higgs
10748	Gollop
10749	Metcalfe
10750	Wortley
10751	Budzynski
10752	Lewis
10753	Powell
10754	Ransom
10755	King Edward Park Residents' Association
10756	Robinson
10757	Basingstoke andf Deane Borough Council
10758	Joynson
10759	Amport Parish Council
10760	Test Valley Friends of the Earth
10761	The Castle Practice
10762	Andover C of E Parish
	NHS Hampshire and Isle of Wight Integrated Care
10763	Board (HIOW ICB)
10764	Ludgershall Town Council
10765	Old Nursling Residents Association (ONRA)
10766	Smith
10767	Whitchurch Town Council

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10768	Bargate Homes Limited and Vivid Housing Limited
10769	Smith
10770	Payne
10771	Ritchie
10772	Hunt
10773	Clarke
10774	Bartram
10775	J Squared Property Ltd
10776	Valiauga
10777	Test Development Company
10778	Browning
10779	Bailey
10780	Bonathan
10781	Crossland
10782	Crossland
10783	Attew
10784	O'Donnell
10785	Perkins
10786	Shortman
10787	Hull
10788	Claxton
10789	Chamberlain
10790	Rogers
10791	Bayley and Kitching
10792	Williams
10793	Price
10794	Wates Developments Limited
10795	Haxforth
10796	Metis Homes Ltd
10797	Star Energy Group PLC
10798	Barratt David Wilson Homes
10799	BJC Planning
10800	Willmont
10801	Hills
10802	Moon
10803	Persimmon Homes
10804	Smith
10805	Smith
10806	English
10807	Hanley
10808	Ames
10809	Archer
10810	Butcher
10811	Maclot
10812	Romsey Town Council
10813	The Four Horseshoes Ltd

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10814	Westcoast Developments Ltd
10815	Oxlade
10816	Elivia Homes
10817	Ludgershall Homes Ltd
10818	Halford
10819	Pavey
10820	Clayton
10821	Vine
10822	Hill
10823	Reeves
10824	Nash
10825	Gage
10826	Biddesden House Farm Partnership
10827	Guertin
10828	Brett
10829	Dawkins and Lott
10830	Law
10831	Lock
10832	Goodswen
10833	Swift
10834	Hughes
10835	Coe
10836	Halfacre
10837	Fish
10838	Fisher
10839	Moret
10840	Revell
10841	Antonius
10842	Network Rail and South Western Railway
10843	Murdock
10844	Drake
10845	Hall
10846	Hughes
10847	King
10848	Reeves
10849	Hunt
10850	Holloway
10851	Carr
10852	Moores
10853	Forder
10854	Christie
10855	Ferguson
10856	Windsor
10857	Williams
10858	Goddard
10859	Anon

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10860	Boys
10861	Cross
10862	Beckett
10863	Holloway
10864	Fisher and Henley
10865	Johnson
10866	Ashford
10867	Holloway
10868	Brazier
10869	McGuire
10870	Harding
10871	Woodbury
10872	Roberts
10873	Edwards
10874	Wheeler
10875	Wood
10876	Southwell
10877	Skelton
10878	Gundry
10879	Rowe
10880	Adams
10881	Loveridge
10882	Butcher
10883	Gibson
10884	Feltham
10885	Chafer
10886	Cumper
10887	Holloway
10888	Parker
10889	Jenkins
10890	Edwards
10891	Steel
10892	Williams
10893	Akester
10894	Young
10895	Ling
10896	Aplin
10897	Ottaway
10898	Poller
10899	Fielding
10900	Hartwell
10901	Davies
10902	Faria
10903	Richards
10904	Greasley

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10905	M Vosser, P Elsden, S & C Marchment (Landowners) Clayfield Southern Limited (Development Partner)
10906	Rakic
10907	Webb
10908	Barlow
10909	Sebrell
10910	Wiid
10911	Prestidge
10912	Norris
10913	Buckett
10914	Falla
10915	James
10916	Nursling and Rownhams Community Band
10917	De Bono
10918	Rai
10919	Frost
10920	Smyth
10921	Essery
10922	Platford
10923	Platford
10924	Easterbrook
10925	Benson
10926	Stephens
10927	Hobbs
10928	Marris
10929	Howarth
10930	Boddeke
10931	Deane
10932	Kasper
10933	Toombs
10934	Berry
10935	Jones
10936	Lineker
10937	Curtis
10938	Gooding
10939	Hales
10940	Nethercott
10941	Betteridge
10942	Vint
10943	Teanby
10944	Benbow
10945	Vint
10946	Benbow
10947	Philp
10948	Best
10949	Neary

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10950	Slack
10951	Olivey
10952	Swifts Local Network: Swifts & Planning Group
10953	Bachmann
10954	Neate
10955	Russell
10956	Maxey
10957	Brown
10958	Byrne
10959	Chapman
10960	Burtenshaw
10961	Friar
10962	Wareham
10963	Harris
10964	Czapp
10965	Whittle
10966	Merrick
10967	Braddick
10968	Braddick
10969	Prestidge
10970	Barnett
10971	Barnett
10972	Hilton
10973	Kazemi
10974	Jones
10975	Jeroboams Trade Ltd
10976	Cox
10977	Whitlock
10978	Poller
10979	Arunachalam
10980	Holt
10981	Tennant
10982	Fry
10983	Noble
10984	Hale
10985	Warren
10986	Robinson
10987	Сzарр
10988	Borwick
10989	Lambert
10990	Owen
10991	Johnson
10992	Crafford
10993	Mead
10994	Marlow
10995	Lucas

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
10996	Wooldridge
10997	Goodyear
10998	Matharu
10999	Michalczyk
11000	Killick
11001	Kimpton Parish Council
11002	Pradhan
11003	Robinson
11004	Kozlowski
11005	Skelton
11006	Bruce
11007	Djiann
11008	Bishop
11009	Keel
11010	Holdsworth
11011	Hicks
11012	Vaughan
11013	Westbrook
11014	Stop Chilbolton Overdevelopment (SCO)
11015	Patwardhan
11016	Patwardhan
11017	Clark
11018	Kilford
11019	Smith
11020	Emmett
11021	Dyer
11022	Hutchins
11023	Holdsworth
11024	Hemming
11025	Norstedt-Girling
11026	Paddick
11027	Constantinou
11028	Probert
11029	Harris
11030	Warren
11031	Stuart
11032	Gasser
11033	Cousins
11034	Roberts
11035	Amiss
11036	Bateman
11037	Roberts
11038	Legg
11039	Dollery
11040	Orriss
11041	Awbridge Neighbourhood Development Plan Committee

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
11042	Burtenshaw
11043	Palmer
11044	Barton
11045	Slack
11046	Godfroy
11047	Hall-Cooper
11048	Davies
11049	Penrose
11050	Kilford
11051	Dear
11052	Parkinson
11053	Lovett
11054	Murdock
11055	Dixon
11056	Chandler's Ford Parish Council
11057	Johnson
11058	Hughes
11059	Levée
11060	Joyce
11061	Jones
11062	Sidoli
11063	Baker
11064	Tout
11065	Balghan
11066	Shawley
11067	Bundy
11068	Scott
11069	Lawson
11070	Van Den Berghe
11071	Richardson
11072	Khaira
11073	Freedom Church
11074	Barons
11075	Yelspa Ltd
11076	Busk
11077	Vistry Group
11078	Cambium Developments Ltd
11079	Knox-Johnston
11080	Capon
11081	Weston Air (Thruxton) Ltd / Thruxton Circuit Ltd
11082	Penton Grafton Parish Council
11083	Не
11084	Harding
11085	Hales
11086	Green
11087	Brown

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
11088	Tomkins
11089	Gage
11090	Nicholas
11091	Macken
11092	Jackson
11093	Warrener
11094	Drysdale Planning Limited
11095	The Trustees of the Barker-Mill Estates
11096	Pigeon
11097	Prestidge
11098	Malpiedi and McAleer
11099	Town
11100	Jackson
11101	Goetsch
11102	Arney
11103	The Trustees of CB Morgan Will Trust
11104	Francis
11105	Shepherd
11106	Francis
11107	Property and Assest Management
11108	Woolsington One Ltd
11109	Orchard Homes and Development Ltd
11110	Sharp
11111	Test and Itchen Association Ltd
11112	Souch
11113	Boella
11114	Appleby
11115	Marshall Family
11116	Philp
11117	Persimmon Homes South Coast Limited
11118	Bailey
11119	St Modwen Strategic Land Limited
11120	Hillier and Highwood
11121	Stratland Estates Limited
11122	Stratland Estates Limited
11123	ORCHARD (HIGHWOOD LANE) LTD
11124	West Coast Developments Ltd
11125	Green
11126	Lawman
11127	Lawman
11128	Anonymous
11129	Liddell Farms Limited
11130	Ace Liftaway Ltd
11132	Chahal
11133	Williams
11134	Keown-Boyd

Respondent Number	Respondent (Surname or Organisation Name)
11135	Gooding (LATE)
11136	Fletcher (LATE)
11137	Burwood (LATE)
11138	Batchelor (LATE)
11139	Waters (LATE)
11140	Mitchell and Parker (LATE)
11141	Will Hawkings-Bypass (LATE)
11142	East Dean Parish Council (LATE)
11143	Angela
11144	Owner of Land South of Hoe Lane
11145	Akerman
11146	HC Marshall Trust
11147	Towerview Property Group
11148	Rubix Land Ltd
11149	Owners of the Land at Church Lane
11150	Prior-Palmer
11151	Highwood Group
11152	Foreman Homes
11153	Watton
11154	Anonymous comment
11155	Bentall
11156	Dabell
11157	Evans
11158	Anonymous
11159	National Gas (LATE)
11160	Dalby (LATE)
11161	Barratt David Wilson Homes
11162	Stratland Estates Limited

## Policy SA6 Land at Velmore Farm, Valley Park Paragraphs 4.187 to 4.200

### Addendum - Additional Comments

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Access	10291 National Highways	1,070 homes and 1.5 employment land close to strategic road network
Active travel	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Support access and strong pedestrian and cycle movement framework through entire development and two landowners need to build comprehensive masterplan with development focused in middle, north and northeast of site.
	10243 Stagecoach South and Go South Coast Limited	Providing new homes adjacent to Hampshire Corporate Park and in close proximity to wider strategic employment within Eastleigh should be considered a merit of the site, maximising pedestrian and cycle connectivity will be of the essence. Cycle links to the University of Southampton Science Park to the south ought to be vigorously pursued.
	10099 Hampshire County Council	Eastleigh Town Centre and railway station are within a 15-minute cycling distance of the site and many employment opportunities are within walking and cycling distance and there are two supermarkets within walking distance.
	10099 Hampshire County Council	access to the site via sustainable and active modes should be considered within the policy to ensure safe and attractive connections to existing residential areas and facilities
	10099 Hampshire County Council	Suggest adding the following criterion: "j. The provision of high quality active travel infrastructure to provide links through the site and safe walking, wheeling and cycling connections to existing facilities and services".

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Affordable Housing	10896	Emphasis on social housing which is negatively impacting investments in property and the quality of life for many families which will devalue the local area.
Agricultural Land	10478	Strongly disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal and any building on this farmland
	11114	Object to the inclusion of Velmore Farm as it allows the destruction of a working farm
Air pollution	10709	Opposed to the proposed development of Velmore farm because and as the M3 and M27 are in proximity to the site, the open space is vital for absorbing carbon dioxide and improving air quality.
	10637	The increase in traffic will have significant effects on air quality
	10453	The existing traffic congestion has a detrimental effect on the air quality and additional traffic will worsen the situation
Alternative sites and distribution of development	11068	The housing requirement should be spread where the effect won't be as acute and where it will be in line with existing development guidelines
	10461	The draft Local Plan has targeted limited green spaces in urban areas for housing development without consideration of other areas in Test Valley that are further afield and should be considered to balance biodiversity in the borough
	11033	There must be an alternative site which is more suitable for development.
	10814 Westcoast Developments Ltd	Considered that this large strategic allocation is a less sustainable option for helping to meet the housing requirement in Southern Test Valley when compared with the Land at Corner of Highwood Lane and Botley Road

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10661 Orchard (Highwood Lane) Ltd	The proposed allocation is in a less sustainable location than land at Romsey.
Alternative sites - brownfield	10988	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal, brownfield land should be used before destroying more green space which we should be conserving
Amenity	10498	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as no thought has been given to Valley Park residents and surrounding areas
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm as it would be detrimental to the wellbeing of the existing community
	10706	Concerned and opposed to the proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore farm because of the impact it will have on the community of Valley Park and the surrounding areas especially considering the key issues
	10904	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a significant impact on the residents of Valley Park
	11065	Against the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because Valley park already has a high density of housing and insufficient amenity space compared to Romsey and Hedge End
	10862	The scale of the Velmore Farm proposal will have an adverse impact on public amenity
	11091	If the Draft Local Plan is adopted, the development will have an adverse effect on the residents of Valley Park.
	10869	Any building on the perimeter of Velmore Farm will overlook my home by a considerable height affecting our privacy and price of the house
	10497	Concern that the Velmore Farm development will devalue the local property market

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10961	The proposed development will see a decrease in property value for existing residents.
	10484	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as a viable option due to the negative impact it could have on local wellbeing and health
	10898	The proposed development will lead to the destruction of existing rural amenity due to increased population density for existing residents.
	10570	Adding additional housing to the proposal development will negatively impact the value of the existing properties in the area.
	10712	Valley Park already had substantial new developments and residents do not want their quality of life to be further eroded for the sake of fulfilling housing targets
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the new houses will result in loss of privacy for existing neighbouring homes
	10978	The Velmore Farm proposal would destroy the existing rural amenity due to increased population density
	10532	Do not support the Velmore Farm development as it will affect the mental health of local residents
	11088	The natural environment within the Local Gap has a positive impact on the mental health of residents, meaning that the proposed development will be detrimental to residents.
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal as it will adversely impact the value of existing residents homes especially those that back onto the proposed development
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it will adversely affect the wellbeing of the residents
Archaeology (Roman road)	10512	Request the historic Roman road that crosses the Velmore Farm proposal is respected and conserved

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10707	The site has been identified as having Roman origins -shouldn't these be explored before any building work is carried out
	10788	The Roman Road that crosses the Velmore Farm site is of historic importance and the existence appears to have been ignored previously
	11055	The Roman Road that runs through the site has not been thoroughly considered in the plan
	11083	An archaeological study by the National Historic Society should be carried out on the existing roman road and the plan should include information from this study and the impacts of the development on the road
	10668	The Velmore Farm proposal is disappointing due to the roman road, how is it acceptable to plan this development on a historical site without the government body responsible vetoing the application?
	10970	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there should be clear information on how the roman road will be protected
	10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there should be clear information on how the roman road will be protected
	11058	There is a roman road that runs across the Velmore Farm proposal which should not be built on
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the Roman Road should be preserved and not destroyed
	10824	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as other considerations should be made such as, the roman road
	10709	There is a roman road between Thrisyane Firs and Salcombe Close that must not be built on
	10988	The roman road that crosses the Velmore Farm site should be celebrated and preserved, we should not lose more of our history

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10049 Historic England	Encourage the policy approach to introduce a positive place-shaping element to the presence of the Roman road, going beyond conserving the asset to eliciting a positive design response. This could be done with reference to the masterplan, as shown in the
Dia di caraito	40074	proposed wording, supported by appropriate supporting explanatory text.
Biodiversity	10674	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would cause significant damage to the wildlife
	10824	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it would damage wildlife habitats
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on wildlife and protected species
	11062	The Velmore Farm proposal would damage the wildlife habitats
	10671	Velmore Farm is not a sensible option as wildlife from Hut Woods will be hemmed in on all sides by the motorway, houses and Chilworth
	10862	The narrow corridor of woodland around the public footpath on the western boundary of Velmore Farm is important for biodiversity and should not be part of the proposed area for development
	10992	I hope this will not go ahead, there are deer who will be left without a home
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would significantly impact wildlife, a conservation study should be completed
	10982	Less green land in the area will leave the animals with no homes, this is an issue
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as the land could be repurposed for conservation, a wildlife reserve or for community use for a more sustainable future
	10831	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of SINCs that form part of the site which should be protected

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10427	Opposed to the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of its location within the recreational impact zone for the New Forest Special protection Area (SPA).
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the green space is vital for biodiversity, development would result in a loss of habitat
	10831	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the importance for the designated New Forest SPA zone which should be protected
	10898	Woodland surrounding Hut Wood and The Rough sites of importance for nature conservation to the south and west of the site will be affected by the increased population.
	10753	The development of this size will have a significant impact on the delicate balance of the area as it falls within the New Fores SPA.
	10898	The woodland surrounding Hut Wood and the Rough sites of importance for nature conservation to the south and west of the site will be reduced as a local rural amenity for residents.
	10970	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on the mature hedgerows and woodland which are under threat
	10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on the mature hedgerows and woodland which are under threat
	10745	The Velmore Farm proposal will impact wildlife in the area
	10753	The development of this scale will have a significant impact on the delicate balance of the area as it falls within the Solent and the Solent & Southampton SPAs.
	10978	Hut Wood and The Rough SINCs will be affected by the increased population
	10685	TVBC need to agree on a mitigation plan to discuss the impact of this development on the New Forest SAC/SPA.
	10746	Building on Velmore Farm as green agricultural land will adversely impact on wildlife

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10753	The wastewater from the site will negatively impact the River Itchen SAC.
	10734	The Velmore Farm site forms a vital green wildlife corridor connecting areas of biodiversity importance and should be maintained
	10510	"Appropriate Mitigation measures" may be too late or too expensive if the site is developed and the requirements are not met. The measures should be quantified and fully costed before any site selection is made.
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Ask how consideration can be justified when site falls within recreational impact zone for New Forest SPA, would be necessary to provide appropriate mitigation including SANG
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Why the site is considered when part falls within 5.6km buffer of Solent SPA and associated recreational impact zone
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Certain new developments within the Solent SPA designations likely to have significant effect when considered in combination and would require appropriate mitigation to be acceptable in accordance with Solent Recreation Mitigation Strategy
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	to the west of the site is a SINC designated for the unimproved grassland and heathlands that it encompasses but that heathland and grassland are now dominated by woodland, so the SINC has drifted from its original designation / criterion. Acknowledge its ecological value is and this will be considered in scheme design.
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	The site promoter concurs with the need to contribute towards appropriate mitigation for the Solent Special Protection Area (criterion d)) and River Itchen Special Area of Conservation (criterion e)).

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10047 Hampshire and Isle of Wight Wildlife Trust	Particular concern about allocations where wastewater from the site is anticipated to feed into treatment works which are linked to the River Itchen Special Area of Conservation.
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Arrangements needed for presence within the impact zone for New Forest/Solent SPA and need to provide appropriate mitigation including SANG, as well as being in the 5.6km zone of the Solent SPAs.
Biodiversity (SINC)	10052 Romsey & District Society: Natural Environment Committee	Care should also be taken to avoid impacts on Great Covert SINC. This is important for ground nesting birds, so disturbance during nesting season would have a serious impact.
Biodiversity (nutrients)	10140 Natural England	Likely to discharge wastewater to Chickenhall WwTW, which discharges into the River Itchen SAC, which drains into the Solent. Allocation will need to consider nutrient neutrality for nitrogen and phosphorus.
Biodiversity (Solent SPAs)	10140 Natural England	Falls partially within 5.6km of the Solent SPA sites, therefore necessary that address recreational impacts on the SPA sites in accordance with policy BIO2.
Bridleway	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Bridleway (ref. 050/6/1) which aligns the western boundary of the site - suggest a gateway feature be explored, including TRO to restrict speeds to 30mph and a signalised Toucan crossing at this point

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Site capacity	10343 Belfield Homes (Ampfield)	Objection to allocation SA6 which is unlikely to deliver its stated capacity of 1070 dwellings, due to significant ecological and other constraints, therefore alternative sites should be considered.
Character	10943	The proposed development will make the area a far less desirable place to live.
	10896	Test Valley has conducted its housing strategy without studying the decline of the surrounding area.
	10911	The proposed development will not be suitable with the current style and density of the adjoining areas and destroy valuable outdoor space.
Charging points	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are insufficient charging points in the area
Communities	10643	The draft LP states that the development will be in the parish of Chilworth and yet it is actually next to Valley Park-the impact of the development will not be felt in Chilworth
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will change the village community of Chandlers Ford towards an urban area
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Having regard to NPPF paragraph 74, the site promoter has indicated that the size of the Velmore Farm site and its location relative to Valley Park is such that it will be capable of supporting a sustainable community, with suitable access to services and employment opportunities within the site itself as well as the associated facilities within Valley Park.
	10986	If the plan is adopted the Velmore Farm development would have significant impacts on Valley Park residents
Consultation	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	Disappointed that the Valley Park councillors had no input on the preferred sites for housing allocations before they were presented by officers.
	10566	There should be a Test Valley referendum to see if there is genuine public support for the development, as the Council does not listen to consultations.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10659	The public exhibition at Valley Park Community Centre was useful and informative as a starting point but it would be nice to see the site plans in greater detail as the planning process progresses
	10986, 10988	Valley Park Parish Council were disappointed that Valley Park councillors had no input on the preferred sites for housing before they were presented by officers. I am horrified that residents whom the councillors work on behalf of have been treated disrespectfully, this feels like stealth politics
	11134	Couldn't find the plan online
	10978	It is not clear there have been any significant discussion or sharing of housing plans with neighbouring boroughs
	10808	At the public consultation on Velmore Farm no one was taking notes and every question was met with a brush off answer and the whole event seemed like a tick box exercise
	10978	TVBC should liaise jointly with Southampton City Council and Eastleigh to produce an overarching regional plan for Southern Test Valley
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the plans as shown are misleading as they do not show any proposed developments in the neighbouring areas controlled by Eastleigh and Southampton councils.
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the plans do not show all current and future developments such as Hoe Lane in Romsey and therefore it is not possible to understand if all mitigating factors in relation to traffic volume, water drainage, additional community facilities, congestion, etc have been properly considered.
	10861	It could be seen by residents that the Velmore Farm site was a politically expedient decision given the unsuitable and unsustainable location, reinforced that elected TVBC councillors were not consulted regarding preferred sites

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Countryside	10901	Development at Velmore Farm would contradict the Councils own sustainable spatial strategy which has the aim of "promoting access to the countryside and conserving and enhancing the Borough's diverse landscape character"
	10620	The proposed development would lead to the loss of countryside.
	10621	The proposed development would lead to the loss of countryside.
	10901	This development will destroy the only open countryside space available to Valley Park residents, by developing on it, the Council will be contributing to increasing the mental health crisis particularly amongst younger people
	10455	The proposed site allocation is designated as a rural area and there shouldn't be a development not this magnitude in the rural area.
Cumulative impact	10456	The new development at Stoneham Lane in Eastleigh Borough is meant to provide 3000 new homes on completion, has test valley considered that the Velmore farm proposal is less than a km from Stoneham Lane?
Delivery	10912	The Horton Heath development has been a disaster from Eastleigh Borough Council and therefore financial justifications and assurances should be made before another development goes ahead.
	11096 Rownhams Promotions Ltd	Many sites have delivered housing completions slower than anticipated due to infrastructure delays. Whilst all are overcome eventually, it is our view that the Local Plan should be more cautious and propose more sites to counter the likely delays across the Southern Housing Market Area
Density	10617	The Valley Park area has a higher population density than Test Valley
	10893	This area of Test Valley is already a highly populated area and should be reconsidered as suitable for development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Development guidelines	11068	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it breaches existing development guidelines
Development impacts	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it seems to be a cynical attempt by TVBC to offload the impact of planned housing onto the borough of Eastleigh
Development on the boundary	10631	The proposed development has been placed on the extreme edge of the TVBC region - recent parliamentary boundary changes have meant that TVBC residents in southern parishes will be in differing constituencies and represented by politicians with conflicting loyalties of Eastleigh/Southampton MPs v TVBC councillors on planning issues.
	10636	Looking at the map of the proposed site, it seems TVBC is placing a substantial burden of new housing at the limit of the boundary with EBC so as not to bear the full consequences of the additional residents but still gain council tax payers
	11054	Opposed to the development of Velmore Farm because the site is on the extreme edge of Test Valleys boundary and the resultant residents will likely access few) if any) local services provided by Test Valley
	10479, 10480	The two proposed developments at Valley Park and Ludgershall show that TVBC are planning developments in locations with a remoteness within Test Valley that are already congested areas, causing cost and inconvenience to taxpayers in other council areas.
	10453	Test Valley is adding development in places where the effects such as congestion manifest in other council areas such as Velmore Farm and the Ludgershall development -this is irresponsible and detrimental to communities bordering Test Valley.
Distribution of development	11083	Concerned that the plan to build 1070 homes at Velmore farm as it adds on to the large scale development Valley Park and in nearby Romsey and Chandlers Ford whilst parishes in the mid-test (Stockbridge for example) have had no allocations

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10904	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as new housing could be allocated in other rural villages and towns in Test Valley
	11091	The plan fails to provide a positive allocation of housing land sites across all settlements, including those outside of the Tier 1 & 2 classification.
	10651	Villages are dying through lack of amenities and deprived of a much needed reinvigoration, the plan should address this by allocating an appropriate amount of homes in these locations rather than focused in an already over developed location at Velmore Farm
	10177	Velmore Farm is a disproportionate and unfair number and distribution compared to the rest of Test Valley.
	10849	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as given the historic underinvestment in rural villages, it is surprising the plan is not delivering homes to help revive such settlements
	10637	There should be smaller areas of development throughout Test Valley as many village schools need to be supported because they have very few numbers due to the lack of affordable homes in the villages as well as the high numbers of elderly people
	10671	Rather than Velmore Farm, 50 homes in each village throughout Test Valley should cover required building and feed local requirements without mass impact
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	If the proposed development at Velmore Farm goes ahead, Valley Park will have had 5000 homes over the last 40 years whereas the Mid Test area around Stockbridge has received no allocations.
	10175	It would be much fairer and equitable if an incremental planning process was adopted where every village/town is allocated a fixed and common percentage of new development in line with overall needs of the borough i.e. if TVBC needs to provide 10% more housing then each village must be allocated 10% more housing.
	10638	It doesn't seem to be the best option to build in an area that is already stressed and to take up the green space-the Council should look at other options such as brownfield sites and others with less negative effects

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10901	The area around Stockbridge and Western test valley has received no allocation of housing despite having extensive space for housing development -the over development of Valley Park needs to be halted until other areas of Test Valley have been developed proportionally.
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Borough's spatial strategy and policies seek to support and enable appropriate development in the rural areas to meet local needs
	10323 Romsey Ltd	With regard to balanced distribution of development, there is no explanation of how and why the allocation of the site for over 1000 homes achieves a balance of provision across southern Test Valley or what criteria were used to arrive at that judgement. The allocation of land at Velmore Farm should be deleted.
	11123 Orchard (Highwood Lane) Ltd	With regard to balanced distribution of development, there is no explanation of how and why the allocation of the site for over 1000 homes achieves a balance of provision across southern Test Valley or what criteria were used to arrive at that judgement. The allocation of land at Velmore Farm should be deleted.
	11124 West Coast Developments Ltd	With regard to balanced distribution of development, there is no explanation of how and why the allocation of the site for over 1000 homes achieves a balance of provision across southern Test Valley or what criteria were used to arrive at that judgement. The allocation of land at Velmore Farm should be deleted.
Drainage	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Surface water runoff from the proposed development will be managed effectively through the implementation of a SuDS-based drainage scheme for the site,
Economy	10657, 10658	Support the Velmore Farm proposal as it will support the local economy

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10709	As the proposed development is located next to Eastleigh, the local economy boosts will are less likely to be appreciated by Test Valley businesses than Eastleigh, Romsey and Southampton
Pylons	10504	What will happen to the power lines that run through the Velmore Farm site?
	10457	Object to the development at Velmore Farm because of the presence of high voltage power lines over the proposed housing.
	10462	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the high voltage power lines across the site
	10464	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the high voltage power lines across the site
	10451	The HV overhead cables on the site will need to be diverted otherwise the people living underneath them will experience serious health issues.
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Overhead powerlines running through the site which would influence layout and design of development on the site, this is the only mention of the high voltage cables
Employment	10659	It is noted that the intention is to build 1070 residential dwellings and business units but the current plans are not detailed enough to show where on the site the commercial and industrial; units will be located
	10898	Profoundly disagrees with the proposal that Wates Developments are to build approximately 1.5HA of commercial industrial space within the Velmore Farm site. The predominant character of the area is mainly residential, and the requirement is for residential housing alone and any permitted development should be residential accommodation alone.
	10560	Private business are overused and no provision has been made for additional local businesses to serve the new private houses.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10177	the current plans are not detailed enough to show where within the site the commercial and industrial units will be located.
	11054	How many vacant jobs are available at the Science/corporate parks and are these sites being used the same past Covid?
	10025 North Baddesley Parish Council	Previous Local Plans have allowed for employment near to where the house building is taking place. If Velmore Farm is allowed to go ahead, the employment land should be allocated within walking distance from that site to comply with TVBC policies on climate change
	11061	No mortgage or rent paying jobs are being created by this scheme only minimum wage roles that will result in workers commuting from further afield causing more pollution and traffic chaos
Environment	10630	The proposed development will make access to the local environment harder for existing residents.
	11030	The proposals will be damaging to the local environment.
	11114	Food security, localism and environmental protection are not considered highly enough in the plan and this is against national guidelines
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as it should be preserved due to the natural heritage in the area
	10745	The Velmore Farm proposal needs to be stopped, it will adversely affect the environment and climate change
Flooding	10134	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the loss of the natural rain water retention space

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10052 Romsey & District Society: Natural Environment Committee	Welcome acknowledgement of the sequential approach for locating development to areas with the lowest flood risk from all sources.
Green Space	10487	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of loss of green space
	10561	The proposed development is planned on green space which is essential to the local area.
	10575	The proposed development takes away the green space which is the home to our horses.
	10579	The proposed development will be on a large area of green space.
	10654	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the further reduction of green space
	10736	The land of Velmore Farm is valued to the area providing a sense of openness and countryside around a developed setting
	10824	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the development in this green space would significantly change the nature of the area
	10901	The bridleway which encircles Velmore Farm is regarded as the best walk in Chandler's Ford and provides highly valued countryside access and the sit is the only significant open green space and countryside reachable by foot for residents of Valley Park
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the loss of green space
	10968	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the loss of green space which will impact quality of life
	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it will ruin the natural beauty of the area

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10199	The Velmore Farm proposal would be a loss of green space which is beneficial
	10593	The proposed development will destroy a significant amount of green space.
	10616	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10685	The proposed development will have a negative impact on residents through the loss of green space.
	10699	The proposed development will lead to a significant loss of ancient green space which will be detrimental to the environment and residents.
	10702	The proposed development will lead to a further destruction of local green spaces.
	10745	The Velmore Farm proposal will lead to a loss of green space
	10823	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it would fill what green space is left
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it will cause a loss to valued and endangered green space
	10884	The loss of countryside would have an adverse impact on the quality of life for residents.
	10887	The elimination of more nature would be devastating and the council has a responsibility to preserve green spaces for future generations.
	10890	The loss of green space due to the proposed development will have a negative impact on residents' mental health.
	11033	Green space should not be destroyed in order to facilitate housing developments. Should be preserved for the wildlife and natural habitats that live there
	11048	There have been no efforts to maintain Hut Wood so it no longer provides a recreational break from the existing developments for residents.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11062	The Velmore Farm proposal would reduce the green space
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it takes away a valuable green space
	10483	The Velmore Farm proposal would lead to a loss of green space
	10522	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green space
	10538	The proposed development should not go ahead so that the green space can be retained.
	10557	The proposed development would mean the loss of green space, and areas to walk and cycle for residents.
	10558	The development at Velmore Farm would mean the loss of a valued green space to local residents.
	10581	The proposed development will lead to a huge loss of greenfield sites.
	10587	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10631	The proposed development will lead to a significant loss of green space in the area.
	10901	The proposed development will have houses built over a vast majority of the farm, leaving approximately a 100m strip of green space which misleadingly has been described as countryside in.4.193 of the Draft Local Plan and 'will provide opportunities for access to the countryside' when 'countryside' is defined as a rural area -away from residential houses
	10985	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the loss of green space which counters the climate change goal
	11033	The destruction of green space will be detrimental to the health and well-being of local residents.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11083	The large number of new homes in the plan takes away green area and gaps between settlements
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	Test Valley's strategy of allocating large scale development in Andover and Romsey is enlarging the urban areas and losing open green space
	10500	The proposed development will lead to further destruction of green space in the area.
	10519	The Velmore Farm proposal would cause Valley Park to become a concrete city
	10528	The proposed development will have a negative impact on green space in the area and will mean the loss of more green belt land.
	10534	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as greenfield land is crucial for wellbeing of residents
	10539	The green space which would be reduced by the proposed development is beneficial to people's mental health.
	10548	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10565	The proposed development will cause a loss of green space.
	10569	The proposed development will cover valued green space.
	10585	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space between North Baddesley, Knightwood Park, Valley Park and Eastleigh.
	10586	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space between North Baddesley, Knightwood Park, Valley Park and Eastleigh.
	10718	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green field gaps

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10728	What will happen to the green space in the area of Velmore Farm?
	10836	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the green space is important for the wellbeing of local residents
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green space which needs to be protected
	10873	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green space which allows residents to connect with the natural environment which has a positive impact on physical and mental wellbeing, building on this land will have a detrimental effect on health and ecosystem
	10988	Test Valley's strategy of allocating large scale development in Andover and Romsey is enlarging the urban areas and losing open green space
	11023	The local green space is becoming limited to make room for housing developments.
	10155	The Velmore Farm proposal is very concerning due to the loss of green space
	10421	The Velmore farm proposal is unsustainable on account of the impact on loss of green space
	10426	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the loss of green space
	10430	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the loss of green space.
	10505	The Velmore Farm development is concerning due to the loss of green space
	10509	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it will encroach on the green area
	10512	Sensitive green space around the Velmore Farm proposal should be left free

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as it threatens to eliminate green space
	10563	The proposed development will significantly reduce the amount of open green space.
	10574	The proposed development will destroy green space in the area, impacting local residents.
	10583	The proposed development will lead to a loss of more green space.
	10595	The proposed development will lead to the loss of green space which is valuable to the landscape character and well-being of residents.
	10596	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10597	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10624	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10628	The proposed development will take away the green space between Chilworth and North Baddesley.
	10629	There is no provision in the plan for the proposed development to preserve green space, which will have a negative impact on existing residents.
	10636	The recent development at Stoneham has already had an effect on loss of green space in the area and adding this development will exacerbate the problem
	10647	Opposed to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because this is the only green space left in Chandler's Ford as the boundaries between Eastleigh and North Baddesley have already been eroded completely.
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green space which was critical during covid for somewhere to walk

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10893	The loss of green space will have a negative impact on the area.
	10914	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green space and open aspect
	10962	The proposed development will see a loss of green space, which will have a detrimental impact on residents.
	10986	Test Valley's strategy of allocating large scale development in Andover and Romsey is enlarging the urban areas and losing open green space
	11007	The Velmore Farm proposal is saddening as green areas are dwindling
	11050	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the loss of necessary green space
	11056 Chandler's Ford Parish Council	Were any development on Velmore Farm to be progressed we ask the following issue be addressed; the area has seen a decline in urban green space over many years, any plan for the farm should include provision to maintain public green space, and to encourage biodiversity
	11085	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the demolition of green space
	11160	The loss of green space that is alluded to in the draft will go unnoticed to most residents who don't have access to the green space anyway -the Council should demand that developers include green spaces and water/food control features and ensure they comply
	10445	Oppose the development of over 1000 houses at Velmore Farm because it will take away one of the most significant green spaces in this part of Valley Park
	10496	The Velmore Fam proposal would lead to a loss of green space
	10517	The Velmore Farm site is not appropriate as it will ruin the green space

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10553	The proposed development would destroy a significant amount of green space.
	10594	The proposed development will lead to the loss of green space which is valuable to the landscape character and well-being of residents.
	10614	The proposed development will lead to a further decrease in green space in the area.
	10617	The Council should be looking at alternative sites that does not destroy more green space.
	10666	The green space around Velmore Farm is enjoyed, this development needs to have plenty of space for walkers, dog owners, cyclists and joggers.
	10831	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of green space which provides recreational, landscape, physical, mental and wellbeing benefits for the local community. It is important this is protected and maintained
	10422	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of the loss of the green space
	10491	The Velmore Farm proposal is a loss of green space
	10535	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10588	The proposed development will have a significant impact on the local area because of the removal of green space.
	10598	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of loss of green space
	10599	The new development is described as sustainable despite the loss of green space that the development will cause.
	10618	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm as it threatens to encroach upon valuable green spaces
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the change it will cause to the open green space
	10898	The plan for development at Velmore Farm cannot go ahead as it will destroy the rural character of the local area, and the destruction of green space.
	10964	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the loss of green space
	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal would cause a loss of green space
	11105	The proposed development will see the loss of one of the few significant areas of green space remaining in Chandler's Ford which also breaches a Local Gap.
	10451	The development will result in the loss of green space-brownfield land should be used instead.
	10489	The Velmore Farm proposal would be a loss of green space
	10552	The proposed development is on green space, which provides better air quality and quality of life for residents.
	10570	This proposal will destroy green space, which is an important feature of the area.
	10939	The proposed development contradicts the strategic priority listed in paragraph 1.18 of 'environment - a greener borough for our communities' - the demolition of natural green areas home to wildlife will do the opposite for biodiversity.
	11033	The loss of green space takes away the rural identity of the area.
	10567	The loss of green space as a result of the proposed development will have a detrimental impact on the local area.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10632	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as more greenfield space will disappear
	10988	The Velmore Farm site is an area of green space which is a wonderful natural environment for residents mental health and the green agenda
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because of the loss of green space
	10700	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10701	The proposed development will lead to a loss of green space.
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm due to the loss of green space
	10601	The development should include green areas to create a break between developed areas.
	10635	The proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore Farm will result in the loss of green space - brownfield land should be used instead as National policy dictates
	10612	There will be loss of open aspect and green space from such a large scale development.
	10734	The Velmore Farm development should include reasonable green spaces, not just on the outside edges
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the proposed green space provides limited access to the existing community
	11098	Proposed green space is on the edge of the boundary to the existing dwellings, providing limited access to the existing community.
	10710	There are a number of infrastructure issues that make this proposal detrimental to existing developments such as making further inroads into green spaces in the area

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10888	Test Valley's strategy of allocating large scale development in Andover and Romsey is enlarging the urban areas and losing open green space
	10659	The development at Velmore Farm will adversely impact the diminishing green spaces for recreation, wildlife, physical exercise, mental health and wellbeing and conservation in the area
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	The site promoter is supportive of concept of delivery of a significant area of high quality and accessible green space in the south and west of the site (criterion b)) and the provision of onsite Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace (criterion c)).
	10805	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm development as the large area screening the few residents of Chilworth and nothing screening Valley Park is obvious and cynical
	10823	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the green area proposed is to the Chilworth side rather than the more densely populated Valley Park side
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm development as there is only one proposed green space with nothing along the borders of the site adjacent to existing homes showing a complete disregard
	10872	Object to the proposed green space on the Velmore Farm proposal, this could be reduced with some proposed between Templars Way and the homes to create a fair and balanced gap
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the green space proposed is unsuitable to be a meaningful green space due to the marshy and boggy nature
	10804	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the location of the planting area is offensive, it appears the council feels the residents of large properties in Chilworth should benefit from an enhanced environment while the rest are compromised
	10668	The Velmore Farm proposal is disappointing due to the loss of green space, there are plenty of brownfield sites/industrial areas to be used

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Greenfield	10147	The Local Plan is not compatible with nature or the environment as it includes decimating a green field site.
	10147	The Velmore Farm proposal would be decimating a greenfield site
	10534	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the destruction of a green field site
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as building on greenfield sites goes against Government policy
	10478	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as concreting over this greenfield site is irresponsible
Health and Safety	10888	The issues caused by the proposed development causes unnecessary Health and Safety risks to residents.
Heritage	10986	The area around Velmore Farm is of historic interest and we should not lose more of the history, the Roman Road should be celebrated and preserved
Heritage evidence base	10049 Historic England	letter of 31 October 2023, we stated: "It is good to see reference to a green corridor linked with the Roman road. That said, I am keen to understand what archaeological work has been done to inform the allocation. My impression is that more work is merited, including field evaluation, which would help the Council to proceed with greater confidence. If archaeological evaluation, topographic and/or geophysical survey demonstrate good archaeological survival of the road below ground, it is likely to be a good candidate for scheduling, particularly as it would have group value with the stretch of Roman road to the north. The sooner there is more clarity the better. The outcomes of such work are likely to impact on the final policy wording and the Council's ambitions for this site." Has any further work been done to bring more clarity to what is there?
	10049 Historic England	informed by further liaison with the Council's archaeological adviser, might a simple archaeological assessment be done at this stage (a desk-based assessment and field survey) to ensure existing evidence for this allocation is clear? The outcomes of such work could be incorporated into the Council's heritage topic paper.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Heritage impact assessment	10049 Historic England	We consider a Heritage Impact Assessment is particularly needed for the site, informed by liaison with the Council's conservation team and its archaeological advisers
High quality meaning	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Query what 'high quality' means in practice.
Highway	11134	The roads must not be narrow-the plan should provide for off road parking for two cars per household with additional visitor parking
	10788	There are many areas which benefit from good main roads as well as proximity to fast and regular rail routes that should be considered ahead of the Velmore Farm proposal
	10896	There has been insufficient maintenance for pedestrian footpaths and pavements. Adding more properties would further dilute resources for maintenance.
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Castle Lane itself was recently (2023) re-laid and re-lined by the Highways Authority - road surface is in a good condition
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Castle Lane is not provided with footways directly abutting the carriageway
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	technical work has been undertaken in designing a potential vehicular access to the site. The findings suggest that the most suitable access location is proposed to be approximately 80m west of the existing sub-station access on Castle Lane. This would provide the safest access / aggress to and from the site and present a minimal impact in terms of the amount of vegetation to be removed to facilitate a new access.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Highways modelling	10099 Hampshire County Council	Given the outputs of the strategic transport modelling that has been undertaken to inform the Preliminary Transport Assessment, the Local Highway Authority would expect further detailed assessment to be undertaken to demonstrate the impact that the proposed development is likely to have on Templars Way and the adjacent road network (including roads in neighbouring Eastleigh Borough).
Highways modelling	10099 Hampshire County Council	It is therefore suggested that criterion g is amended as follows:  "g. Access to the development via Templars Way, subject to a detailed transport assessment which demonstrates this will not lead to a significant impact on the local road network".
Housing	11160	It is good that there will be more homes on Velmore Farm as trying to buy or rent a house locally is expensive, options are limited and landlords do not keep up with the upkeep and maintenance of properties
	10689	Aware of the need for further housing though it is consistently negatively impacting existing residents and the decreasing urban green spaces they have access to.
	10686	Housing is needed and should be handled with care and stricter regulations than other developments across the country.
Housing delivery	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	This site is sustainably located and will contribute significantly towards TVBC housing needs across plan period (along with other allocations)
	10094 CEG	Anticipated delivery at Velmore Farm, as shown in the published trajectory, is highly ambitious given the scale of development and significant, uncosted infrastructure requirements. Should not rely on this until towards the end of plan period
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	This site is sustainably located and will contribute significantly towards TVBC housing needs across plan period (along with other allocations)

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Housing height	10734	The Velmore Farm development should include no more than 2 stories due to the elevation of the site in some places
Housing mix	11134	The plan should represent an integrated modern village not just an add on community
	10955	In order to create a sustainable development, houses built should be multi tenancy.
	11098	Homes should be built to be suitable for a majority of families with young children on the proposed development.
Housing Mix	10980	The housing developed at Velmore Farm should be a mix of 2,3, and 4 bedrooms and have gardens and parking
Housing need	10658	Support the Velmore Farm proposal as it will help relieve the housing shortage in the area
	10918	The proposed development is necessary due to the housing need and is in a viable location.
	10643	The area in which the proposed site is located does not lack housing, there are a lot of properties of different sizes and price brackets
Housing Requirements	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	The inclusion of this site in the PfSH Position Statement emphasises the importance of it as a strategic allocation for residential-led development to be delivered through Local Plan
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	the site forms a significant allocation and will make an important contribution towards the ability of the new Local Plan to meet and deliver its housing requirement across the plan period to 2040
Impacts on Eastleigh	10661 Orchard (Highwood Lane) Ltd	There is no analysis of the impacts of the development on the urban area of Eastleigh or what benefits it would bring such that they overcome the shortcomings of the site in terms of the Sustainability Appraisal objectives.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Inconsistencies	10510	The Local Plan contains significant inconsistencies with regard to the proposed number of houses to be developed at Velmore Farm.
Infrastructure	10872	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the plan lacks the necessary infrastructure to support the addition of this number of homes to the area
	10163	The allocation at Velmore Farm takes no account of the additional infrastructure that will be required such as roads & schools
	10362 Eastleigh Borough Council	Objection in principle at this juncture on basis that future evidence will need be undertaken to demonstrate that the necessary supporting infrastructure and mitigation can be put in place to avoid adverse impacts on local area.
	10433	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the lack of statements on the impact on infrastructure specifically in Valley Park
	11105	There has been a significant growth in property in the area yet this has not been matched by the required local facilities.
	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because the current infrastructure cannot cope with additional demand
	10666	Unless a contract is clear and legally enforceable developers won't build community centres, schools, surgeries, shops, drains, play areas, relief roads and Whiteley is an example of this. Can you be sure these will be enforced?
	10867	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as essential services are already at breaking point
	11134	Local power generation could make the community energy self sufficient
	10448	Developers should be responsible for addressing infrastructure issues with an upfront cash bond covering all costs with a contingency.
	10452	Will the existing recreation facility at Knightwood be enhanced to cater for the additional population?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as essential services are already at breaking point
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of suitable provisions adding more pressure on existing services such as polling stations
	10509	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the proximity to the borough boundary; domestic concerns will fall on Eastleigh Borough Council to address not Test Valley
	10643	The proposed site is not the right location for a development of this size-the local infrastructure is unlikely to be improved, for example flooding on school lane has been an issue for several years
	10734	There appears to be no plan for facilities within the Velmore Farm proposal
	10758	Work closely with Council to achieve plan for Velmore Farm contains the supporting infrastructure that will enhance, rather than detrimentally impact the communities of Valley Park and Chandler's Ford.
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm as essential services are failing to cope and are already at breaking point
	10887	There is not enough provision for essential services infrastructure, and an additional 2000 residents would exacerbate the issue.
	11056 Chandler's Ford Parish Council	The Parish Council called for a proportion of developer contributions resulting from any development to be reserved for infrastructure improvements within Chandler's Ford Parish Council's area affected by the development
	10433	The Proposal has not shown adequately the impact of the development on existing infrastructure.
	10433	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm - it should be scraped and replaced with a site with better access and less of an impact on existing infrastructure.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10455	Development in Test Valley has not been supplemented by the infrastructure needed to
		sustain the development and the borough is now saturated and there are other possible
		sites that can cope with the demands of a new development of 1070 homes
	10455	This is a poor choice of site and will at the current scale require infrastructure enhancement
		such as roads and flood relief which will destroy the rural character of the area.
	10988	The plan does not ensure that current infrastructure problems are addressed and that new issues are addressed ahead of any development.
	10452	The proposal is concerning as there is insufficient infrastructure currently and no adequate
		allowances have been made to accommodate an additional 1070 homes.
	10507	The infrastructure is already at breaking point due to the recent levels of development
		around Chandler's Ford - the proposed development would exacerbate this issue.
	10513	Concerned with the lack of impact statements detailing the impact on the existing
		community, given the lack of infrastructure in the area.
	10520	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as current infrastructure can not support this increase
		in housing
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development due to the lack of infrastructure planning which
		makes the development impractical and potentially detrimental to the community
	10538	The local infrastructure cannot cope with the proposed development.
	10578	Valley Park/Knightwood Park has been overdeveloped and will significantly change the
		local infrastructure.
	10589	The local infrastructure cannot support a development the size of the proposed site at Velmore Farm.
	10613	The proposed development at Velmore Farm is ill conceived with no regards for provisions to infrastructure to support it.
	10647	Opposed to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because the local infrastructure has already been crippled by the expansion of valley park

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10822	There is insufficient infrastructure to support the size of the Velmore Farm development
	10851	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will impact existing local services and amenities
	10939	The proposed development is not fitting with the statement in para 2.5 that the plan is 'positively prepared', as infrastructure and sustainable development requirements have not been addressed.
	10979	The existing infrastructure is as such stretched out to its limits
	10147	If the Velmore Farm development went ahead the impact on local services would be immeasurably bad
	10440	Oppose the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of pressure on local services
	10441	The proposal for homes in Chandlers Ford is in the wrong place as there isn't enough infrastructure
	10503	The local infrastructure cannot support the level of housing proposed.
	10601	The plan does not seek to provide appropriate community facilities and worse pledges only to 'improve existing facilities', which would not be sufficient for the proposed development. High quality services should be provided for the new development to encourage a community spirit.
	10715	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will put too much strain on existing infrastructure, further homes is unthinkable
	10758	Need to make sure any new homes are built with infrastructure required to ensure current residents are not adversely affected by such developments.
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the development will require additional community facilities as current infrastructure cannot cope

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10484	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it has not taken into account the need for extra resources and facilities
	10497	Concern that the Velmore Farm development will lead to limited appointments and places within the local community
	10961	The local infrastructure is already struggling and will not be able to cope with the proposed developments.
	10423	The LP should be shelved as its proposals have no regard for pressure on services.
	10587	The proposed development will have a significant impact on the local infrastructure.
	11060	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there will be no extra post offices putting pressure on the limited resources
	10471	Local facilities will need to be developed as the existing ones will not cope with the new development such as health services-there is no clinic in Abbotswood, no doctors surgery, no health visitors or community development workers
	10685	The proposed development will put greater demands on critical infrastructure and support services.
	10966	Against the Velmore Farm proposal as it would need significantly more infrastructure which is not proposed
	11139	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as services in the community will be unable to handle additional housing
	10758	Concerns shared across community including through petition
	10866	Existing and future residents need services and infrastructure which are not at a high enough standard and will be eroded further by the Velmore Farm development
	11086	The infrastructure needs an upgrade and better access to the motorway and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan ignores all this, there is very little detail in the plan relating to infrastructure for the proposal

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11126	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a devasting impact on Valley Park and its infrastructure
	11127	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a devasting impact on Valley Park and its infrastructure
	10177	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the infrastructure to cope with more development
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are no extra facilities
	10711	There has not been enough consideration of infrastructure needs of current and future residents especially if the 1070 houses and employment sites go ahead
	10713	Infrastructure facilities such as playgroups are already overstretched and this will be exacerbated if the proposed development goes ahead
	10939	The proposed electric charging points will be a drain on supplies.
	10709	Infrastructure currently in place needs to be reviewed taking into account the number of new estates being built by Test Valley and Eastleigh Borough Council
	10710	There are a number of infrastructure issues that make this proposal detrimental to existing developments such as lack of public infrastructure
	10758	Make sure that any plan for Velmore Farm contains the supporting infrastructure that will enhance, rather than detrimentally impact the communities of Valley Park and Chandler's Ford.
	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal lacks need for putting in place overall infrastructure before any development is considered
	11016	Chandler's Ford cannot sustain further urbanisation such as the proposed development, which will add a further 2000 homes.
	11098	Lack of infrastructure such as sports facilities and polling stations to provide for the increased population from the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10786	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to local of current sufficient infrastructure
	10869	I agree with Valley Park Parish Councils response such as the issues on infrastructure
	10888	The LP does not ensure that current infrastructure problems are addressed and that new issues are addressed ahead of any development.
	10890	The plan does not provide enough infrastructure and there is not enough existing infrastructure for the increase in population, especially with the other local housing developments.
	10858	Object to Velmore Farm as the strain on local facilities and resulting stress on residents would be significant
	10177	There is no intention or legal obligation to provide additional shops, community facilities, staffed and equipped GP medical practises, nor improved bus services.
	10901	Infrastructure in the area is already insufficient and cannot cope with such an increase in residents
	10709	If the development goes ahead despite local concerns, ensure that adequate infrastructure is in place first as well as surface water drainage
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	The LP does not ensure that current infrastructure problems are addressed and that new issues are addressed ahead of any development.
	10986	The plan does not ensure that current infrastructure problems are addressed and that new issues are addressed ahead of any development.
	11132	Strongly opposed to the development of Velmore farm because of inadequate infrastructure planning for existing issues such as surface water flooding
Infrastructure - Children Services	10908	The Velmore Farm proposal is ill-considered as there is no reference to building and staffing children's services

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Infrastructure - Community Services and Facilities	11002	Object the Velmore Farm proposal as community services should be reviewed as they are struggling to cater to current residents
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as there is an existing community centre (Valley Park Community Centre) within 5 minutes of the site
	10477	Local services will need be included within the Velmore Farm proposal such as, shops and a pub
	10521	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are already huge pressures on local gyms and leisure centres
	11060	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there will be no extra community areas putting pressure on the limited resources
	10477	Local services will need be included within the Velmore Farm proposal such as a community centre
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because local services such as community services will be under more pressure
	10752	Infrastructure around Velmore Farm will need to be considered such as, community and leisure facilities
	10829	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no provision for youth services which will cause a rise in anti-social behaviour
	10636	Local services which are already difficult to access such as the Community Centre will be more difficult to access
	10857	There needs to be a community centre, has this been considered?
	10510	The viability of the mentioned "community centres" and "facilities" has not been sufficiently provided in the plan.
	10659	It is noted that there is no intention or legal planning obligation to provide additional community facilities even though the existing ones cannot cope with the existing population

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	The site needs to provide non-commercial community facilities such as community centre of to improve existing facilities would be a major requirement as GP surgeries are declaring long waiting lists. Shortfalls will make development unsuitable and unsustainable
Infrastructure - Cycle Paths	10461	The proposed site has limited/no cycle paths and therefore increasing traffic will make it dangerous for cyclists.
Infrastructure - Dentists	10431	Against the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on health services such as NHS dental practices
	10449	Opposed to the development at Velmore Farm because of the increased pressure on local dentist services
	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are few NHS dentist surgeries in the area
	10475	Object to the development of Velmore farm because of pressure on services such as dentists
	10482	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would cause unnecessary pressure on local services such as dentists
	10486	Object to the Velmore Farm development as dentists will be unable to cope unless there is a provision for additional surgeries
	10516	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there is already an absence of NHS dentists
	10547	The Velmore Farm proposal will place additional demand on dentists which are already overstretched
	10556	Dentists cannot cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10557	Chandler's Ford already has limited services such as Dentists and would not be able to cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10582	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local Dentist services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10594	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local dentists.
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local amenities are at breaking point, such as dental practices being full
	10994	The Velmore Farm proposal makes no mention of facilities such as dentists, how would the local area support an additional 2000+ patients?
	10421	The Velmore farm proposal is unsustainable on account of the impact on local infrastructure such as dentists
	10426	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the impact on infrastructure such as dentists
	10428	Object to the proposed development on Velmore farm on account of the impact on local services such as dentists
	10430	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the strain on health services such as dentists
	10438	The existing dental practices are at full capacity therefore the plan needs to include full medical facilities.
	10487	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the strain put on local services such as dentists
	10489	There is already pressure on local health services such as dentists, the extra 2000 patients from the Velmore Farm proposal would be unsustainable
	10512	Request the shortage of dentists around the Velmore Farm proposal is addressed prior to being built
	10523	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will put local dentists under pressure
	10532	Do not support the Velmore Farm development as local dentists are already unable to provide the care required
	10569	There are insufficient dentist appointments, and this issue will be exacerbated by the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10572	How will local services around the Velmore Farm proposal such as dentists be improved to deal with the increase?
	10584	The Velmore Farm proposal is not feasible in its current state as dentists are oversubscribed and will crumble if additional weight is placed on them
	10585	The issue of getting a dentists appointment will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10586	The issue of getting a dentists appointment will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10590	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local dentists.
	10595	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local dentists.
	10596	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local dentists.
	10607	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local dentists.
	10608	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing pressure on dentists
	10610	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to current pressure on local dentists
	10625	The proposed development will further strain struggling Dentist services.
	10644	The dentists are already oversubscribed with no capacity for new people and the new development will worsen the situation
	10700	There are insufficient Doctors services locally to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10701	There are insufficient Doctors services locally to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10707	Object to this development as there are currently no NHS dentists in the area
	10725	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it is already challenging to get an appointment at the local dentists, 2000+ people will make this worse
	10753	The proposed development at Velmore Farm will put additional strain on overstretched local services such as Dentists.
	10808	Object to development at Velmore Farm because of the impact on locals services such as dentists that are already that are already overstretched
	10831	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local dentists are already stretched to capacity and would not cope with the additional people
	10853	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a detrimental effect on local dentists
	10866	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as services are already unable to cope such as the lack of NHS dentists
	10914	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increased pressure on dental services
	10964	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it will put further strain on dentists
	10982	More houses will cause a nightmare for current residents, the dentists are already full
	11050	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there are not sufficient dentists to accommodate another 2000+ patients
	11058	The local dentists around the Velmore Farm proposal will not be able to cope with a further 2000 patients
	11060	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there will be no extra dentists putting pressure on the limited resources
	10192	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the already overloaded dental practices would be intolerable for existing tax payers

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10445	Oppose the development of over 1000 houses at Velmore Farm because it will worsen the access to dental care
	10451	Currently dentists are at full capacity and new provisions will not come with the required staff to provide the services required.
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to access to dental services
	10491	There are not enough dentists in the area around Velmore Farm, an influx of 2000 more people will only make this worse
	10496	The Velmore Farm proposal would lead to an increase demand on local services such as dentists
	10513	Dental practices are already oversubscribed - thousands more patients from the proposed development will exacerbate this issue.
	10517	The Velmore Farm site is not appropriate as it will put increased pressure on dentists
	10518	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as dentists are already over-stretched, how will they cope with 2000+ more patients?
	10524	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local dentists are over subscribed and unable to cope currently
	10536	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure on local health services such as dentists
	10555	Concerned that dentists will not cope with the increase in population from the Velmore Farm proposal
	10567	Local infrastructure is under strain with a lack of NHS dentist availability, an issue which would be exacerbated by the additional strain caused by the proposed development.
	10599	The proposed development will put added pressure on oversubscribed dentists.
	10603	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to the lack of NHS dentists in the area

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10618	The proposed development will be unsustainable with already overstretched Dentists services.
	10620	The local Dentist services will not be able to cope with the strain from the proposed development.
	10621	The local Dentist services will not be able to cope with the strain from the proposed development.
	10624	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local Dentists services.
	10632	The proposed development would put further strain on struggling local Dentist services.
	10634	Residents are already struggling to register with NHS dentists and the development will make it worse
	10704	The proposed development will lead to a further strain on oversubscribed Dental services.
	10734	The Velmore Farm proposal has not taken into account the impact on dentist services
	10786	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to the existing shortages of dentists
	10908	The Velmore Farm proposal is ill-considered as there is no reference to building and staffing dentists
	10959	Lack of social infrastructure concern for new development - Dentists are currently overstretched in the area.
	11006	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the extra pressure on already stretched services such as, dental practices
	10433	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because there is no mention of dental practices that are currently oversubscribed
	10435	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the lack of dentists for the current population

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10461	Local dental services are already strained in the area adding 1070 homes will exacerbate the problem
	10468	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as local dentists will not cope with the influx on people
	10495	There are an insufficient number of dentists locally to support the proposed development.
	10535	Dental practices are oversubscribed and will not be able to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10552	There is no current availability for dentists, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10560	The local dentists are oversubscribed and will not be able to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10561	The NHS dentists cannot cope with the additional strain of the proposed development.
	10571	Dentists cannot cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10598	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the impact to local services such as dentists
	10614	The local dentist services will not be able to cope with the strain from the proposed development.
	10617	The proposed development would put further strain on struggling dentist services.
	10653	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the additional strain on services such as dentists
	10674	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as infrastructure such as dentists are already under significant strain
	10679	Object to Velmore Farm as there are no NHS dentists with no mention of any being proposed

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10710	Dental facilities are at full capacity and the demand will increase significantly with more people
	10711	It is currently difficult to get a dentists appointment and this will be exacerbated if the development goes ahead
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing pressure on local dentists
	10857	The area is short of dentists, with no mention of extra facilities this will worsen an already major problem
	10968	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the strain on local services such as dentists
	10997	Opposed to the Velmore Farm proposal as the need for an additional dentist practice has been neglected
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of suitable provisions adding more pressure on existing services such as dentists
	11088	The scale of the proposed development will exacerbate existing issues such as residents accessing dental services.
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the development will require additional dentist surgeries
	10473	The infrastructure is struggling around the Velmore Farm proposal such as dental practices
	10550	NHS Dentists are impossible to access and will not be able to support the proposed development.
	10570	Dentists cannot cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10579	The proposed development will put overstretched dentist services under further strain.
	10588	The proposed development will put further strain on already struggling dentist services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10589	The local dentists will not be able to cope with the increased amount of residents from the proposed development.
	10613	The plan states no provisions have been made to improve infrastructure for healthcare and Dentists, of which there are an insufficient amount in the area to support the proposed development.
	10616	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local Dentist services.
	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact on dental services would be unacceptable given they are already stretched
	10688	The proposed development will put further strain on overstretched Dental services.
	10689	The proposed development will put further strain on already oversubscribed Dental services. There are no provisions to increase these services.
	10699	Insufficient dental facilities local to the proposed development will be further stretched.
	10706	Concerned and opposed to the proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore Farm because the development will lead to overcrowding of dental services
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no consideration to address the gaps in dental care which is already restricted
	10752	Infrastructure around Velmore Farm will need to be considered such as, dentists
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as dentists are already at bursting point and struggle to accommodate local residents
	10861	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the increase in population will overwhelm dental services which are already under pressure
	10867	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as dentists are already at breaking point

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10888	Agree with comments from Valley Park PC including concern about increased pressure on local dentist services from having more residents
	10939	The proposed development increases pressure on struggling local health services such as dentists.
	10970	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is insufficient planning for the increased demand on dental practices
	10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is insufficient planning for the increased demand on dental practices
	10989	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there are no dentists in the area taking on new NHS patients, what will be done?
	11062	The Velmore Farm proposal would have a negative impact on local dentists which are already under pressure
	11098	Lack of infrastructure to provide for the 1,070 homes, adding more strain on struggling Dental surgeries.
	11100	The additional 2000 further residents from the proposed development would put further strain on local dentists.
	10452	Is there provision for additional dentists if the development goes ahead as these are currently at full capacity?
	10587	The proposed development will put further strain on already struggling dentist services.
	10593	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local dentists.
	10626	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling Dentist services.
	10635	Dentist infrastructure in the area is already at capacity and adding 1070 homes will exacerbate the problem
	10687	The proposed development will put further strain on oversubscribed Dental services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10739	With a lack of NHS dentists in the area, where will the 2000+ people from the Velmore Farm development go?
	10836	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as infrastructure is already extremely stretched such as, dentists
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as dentists are already at breaking point
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm as dental services are failing to cope and are already at breaking point, 2000+ people would exasperate this
	10890	Doctors' services are struggling and the proposed development means vital services will be even further overstretched.
	11033	The additional 1000 homes will put strain on the doctors which are already struggling.
	11092	The additional 2000 further residents from the proposed development would put further strain on local dentists.
	10559	There are no NHS dentists available for local residents. The dental surgeries will not be able to cope with the strain of the proposed development.
	10578	The proposed development will put pressure on local amenities such as Dentists.
	10745	There is pressure on dentists around Velmore Farm with difficultly getting appointments
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal as local dentists are already overwhelmed and development would significantly extend waiting times
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the pressure on dentists, development will cause a further increase in demand with no new practices included
	10887	There are not enough dentists to currently cope with the infrastructure struggles, and an additional 2000 residents would exacerbate the issue.
	10911	The NHS Dental providers are decreasing locally and this issue will be exacerbated by the increase in population from the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11017	There are no NHS dentists available for local residents. The dental surgeries will not be able to cope with the strain of the proposed development.
	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it puts extra pressure on dentists
	10534	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the shortage of dentist surgeries which must be addressed
	10537	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure on local health services such as dentists
	10600	The proposed development will put further strain on already struggling local dentists.
	10629	The proposed development will put additional strain on already overwhelmed Doctors services,
	10746	Dentists surgeries local to Velmore Farm are already over stretched with difficultly obtaining timely appointments
	10884	NHS dental services would not be able to cope with the increased population.
	11042	The infrastructure around the Velmore Farm proposal is not sufficient to support another 2000+ residents such as, dentists which are already under pressure
	10177	Valley park and Knightwood do not have the dental services, to cope with more development
	10506	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to pressures on local services such as dentists
	10709	There are not enough NHS dental surgeries in Hampshire or the local area and mane people cannot afford private health care or dentists.
	10455	The additional 1070 homes would add approximately 3000 more people and there aren't sufficient dentists available currently.
	10668	The Velmore Farm proposal is disappointing as it is virtually impossible to register with a dentist in Chandler's Ford

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11091	There will be increased strain on local services such as Dentists from up to 2,000 more residents.
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the insufficient capacity at dental practices, they will buckle under the pressure
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	Concerned about increased pressure on local dentist services from having more residents
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as no dentists are proposed and it is already a challenge to access services, doubling the population could affect health of existing residents
	10988	Valley Park Parish Council is concerned about increased pressure on local dentist services from having more residents
	10986	Valley Park Parish Council is concerned about increased pressure on local dentist services from having more residents
Infrastructure - drainage	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal due to the significant drainage issues in the area which are unlikely to cope along with the sewage system
	10659	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the infrastructure such as drainage to cope with the existing population and this will be exacerbated with the new development
	10177	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the drainage to cope with more development
Infrastructure - Electricity	10152 National Grid Electricity Transmission	Velmore Farm proposed development site are crossed by National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET) assets - overhead transmission line asset

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10152 National Grid Electricity Transmission	Without appropriate acknowledgement of the NGET assets present within the site, these policies should not be considered effective as they cannot be delivered as proposed; unencumbered by the constraints posed by the presence of NGET infrastructure.
	10524	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to current issues with electricity and power cuts
	10700	National Grid do not have the capacity to supply another 1000+ homes, especially as a high percentage have
	10701	National Grid do not have the capacity to supply another 1000+ homes, especially as a high percentage have
Infrastructure - Energy	11017	The site is being squeezed under existing HV pylons that often fail, with multiple power failures occurring recently as the existing network collapses due to more heavy EVs saturating housing and roads. There is insufficient EV charging infrastructure to prevent this.
Infrastructure - flooding	11154	The current road infrastructure is already experiencing severe flooding issues that will be made worse with additional housing
	11086	The proposal to build 1070 homes at Velmore Farm is lacking in justification for the lack of inclusion of support services and the huge impact additional homes will have on infrastructure such as flood defences
	10175	The soil is predominantly clay at Velmore Farm and currently the roundabout at templars Way and School Lane is flooded during heavy rainfall, therefore developing on this land will only exacerbate flooding.
	10177	The farmland at Velmore Farm is higher than that of Valley park and Knightwood and the runoff contributes to habitual flooding especially along Templars way roundabout and School Lane leading to the Industrial Estate. The new development will exacerbate flooding
Infrastructure - GPs	10449	Opposed to the development at Velmore Farm because of the increased pressure on local doctor services

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the infrastructure such as doctors are barely sufficient currently
	10475	Object to the development of Velmore farm because of pressure on services such as doctors' surgeries
	10482	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would cause unnecessary pressure on local services such as doctors
	10486	Object to the Velmore Farm development as GP's will be unable to cope unless there is a provision for additional surgeries
	10516	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as GP practices are already over-stretched
	10519	The Velmore Farm proposal does not include doctor rooms, how will this work when doctors are already oversubscribed?
	10542	The local doctor's surgery cannot take any more people, meaning there is insufficient infrastructure to support the proposed development.
	10547	The Velmore Farm proposal will place additional demand on doctors which are already overstretched
	10557	Chandler's Ford already has limited services such as Doctors and would not be able to cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10583	The proposed development would put further strain on Doctors services which are already under pressure.
	10594	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local doctors.
	10631	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local health services.
	10753	The proposed development at Velmore Farm will put additional strain on overstretched local services such as GP practices.
	10874	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there is already a strain on local services such as long delays for GP appointments

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10897	The proposed development should only be considered once the infrastructure is invested in and there are enough doctor's surgeries to support it.
	10994	The Velmore Farm proposal makes no mention of facilities such as doctors, how would the local area support an additional 2000+ patients?
	10421	The Velmore farm proposal is unsustainable on account of the impact on local infrastructure such as doctor surgeries
	10426	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the impact on infrastructure such as doctors
	10428	Object to the proposed development on Velmore farm on account of the impact on local services such as doctors
	10430	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the strain on health services such as doctors surgeries
	10438	The existing doctors surgeries are at full capacity therefore the plan needs to include full medical facilities.
	10444	Concerned that the proposal on Velmore Farm will exacerbate the lack of access to doctors surgeries
	10483	The Velmore Farm proposal has no plans for additional health services such as, doctors
	10487	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the strain put on local services such as GPs
	10489	There is already pressure on local health services such as doctors, the extra 2000 patients from the Velmore Farm proposal would be unsustainable
	10500	The increase in population will exacerbate the existing issue of obtaining a doctors appointment.
	10505	The Velmore Farm development is concerning as it is already extremely difficult to obtain a doctor's appointment
	10512	Request the shortage of GPs around the Velmore Farm proposal is addressed prior to being built

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10522	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as residents already struggle to get a doctor's appointment
	10523	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will put local doctors under pressure
	10532	Do not support the Velmore Farm development as local doctors are already unable to provide the care required
	10546	The plan does not give provisions of how it would fund additional doctor's surgeries for the proposed development.
	10548	The doctors in the local area are under too much pressure and will not be able to cope with the proposed development.
	10563	The local GP surgery will not be able to cope with the additional strain of the proposed development.
	10569	There are insufficient doctor's appointments, and this issue will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10572	How will local services around the Velmore Farm proposal such as doctors be improved to deal with the increase?
	10576	Valley Park has a high population density, and with only one doctors surgery further housing would be detrimental to the local infrastructure.
	10577	Doctors are already overstretched and the proposed development will put further strain on local services.
	10584	The Velmore Farm proposal is not feasible in its current state as doctors are oversubscribed and will crumble if additional weight is placed on them
	10585	The issue of getting a doctor's appointment will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10586	The issue of getting a doctor's appointment will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10590	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local doctors.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10595	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local doctors.
	10596	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local doctors.
	10607	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local doctor's surgeries.
	10608	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing pressure on doctors
	10610	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to current pressure on local doctors
	10625	The proposed development will further strain struggling GP services.
	10643	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because the local services such as GPs are already at breaking point
	10644	The local doctors are already oversubscribed with no capacity for new people and the new development will worsen the situation
	10671	Velmore Farm is not a sensible option due to the local health services which are already under pressure due to the temporary relocation of those under threat from war
	10700	There are insufficient doctor's services locally to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10701	There are insufficient doctor's services locally to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10707	Object to this development as the local doctors are at full capacity and it's hard to get an appointment
	10712	There is already a health facility burden and the local doctor's surgery is at full capacity
	10725	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it is already challenging to get an appointment at the local doctors, 2000+ people will make this worse

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10808	Object to development at Velmore Farm because of the impact on local's services such as doctors that are already overstretched
	10831	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local doctors are already stretched to capacity and would not cope with the additional people
	10853	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a detrimental effect on local doctors
	10866	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal services are already unable to cope such as GPs
	10872	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are no additional doctor surgeries proposed, this will put strain on already overloaded local surgeries
	10914	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increased pressure on doctor surgeries
	10943	St Francis Surgery is already overwhelmed with patients - this issue will be exacerbated by the new development.
	10964	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it will put further strain on doctors
	10974	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the area has experienced development with little to no additional doctors and this will only compound the issue
	10982	More houses will cause a nightmare for current residents, the doctors are already full
	11006	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the extra pressure on already stretched services such as, GP surgeries
	11050	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there are not sufficient doctors to accommodate another 2000+ patients
	11058	The local doctors around the Velmore Farm proposal will not be able to cope with a further 2000 patients
	11060	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there will be no extra doctors putting pressure on the limited resources

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11069	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it will become harder to access a doctor's appointment with more people
	11086	The proposal to build 1070 homes at Velmore Farm is lacking in justification for the lack of inclusion of support services and the huge impact additional homes will have on existing services such as doctors surgeries
	11132	Strongly opposed to the development of Velmore farm because of the impact on local health services such as GP surgeries
	10163	The allocation at Velmore Farm takes no account of the additional infrastructure that will be required such as doctor's surgeries
	10192	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the already overloaded GP surgeries would be intolerable for existing tax payers
	10199	The services around the Velmore Farm proposal are already stretched such as, GP surgeries
	10427	Opposed to the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of strain on healthcare services such as doctor surgeries.
	10431	Against the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on health services such as NHS GP practices
	10442	Strongly object to the proposal for development at Velmore Farm as its already very challenging to get a doctors appointment without the additional housing
	10443	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because getting a doctors appointment is challenging at the moment and will be made worse with the new development
	10465	Object to the development of Velmore Farm because with the addition of 1070 homes, doctors appointments will be harder to get than it is at the moment.
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to access to GP surgeries
	10474	Doctors surgeries are currently at full capacity and it's impossible to get an appointment and this will be exacerbated with the new development

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10491	There are not enough doctors in the area around Velmore Farm, an influx of 2000 more people will only make this worse
	10496	The Velmore Farm proposal would lead to an increase demand on local services such as doctors
	10504	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as doctors will be unable to cope with more patients, appointments are already hard to get
	10513	Doctors surgeries are already oversubscribed - thousands more patients from the proposed development will exacerbate this issue.
	10518	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as doctors are already over-stretched, how will they cope with 2000+ more patients?
	10524	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local doctors are over subscribed and unable to cope currently
	10536	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure on local health services such as doctors
	10539	There are currently a lack of healthcare and ambulance services in the area and cannot deal with the additional pressure of more patients from the proposed development.
	10546	The plan does not give provisions of how it would fund additional dental practices for the proposed development.
	10555	Concerned that doctors will not cope with the increase in population from the Velmore Farm proposal
	10556	Doctors surgeries cannot cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10567	Local infrastructure is under strain with a lack of GP appointments, an issue which would be exacerbated by the additional strain caused by the proposed development.
	10574	NHS services are already struggling, the proposed development will exacerbate this issue.
	10582	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local Doctor services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10599	The proposed development will put added pressure on oversubscribed doctors and GP services.
	10603	Object to the Velmore Farm development as there is no provision for an additional doctors surgery
	10618	The proposed development will be unsustainable with already overstretched Doctors services.
	10620	The local Doctor services will not be able to cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10621	The local Doctor services will not be able to cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.
	10624	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local Doctors services.
	10632	The proposed development would put further strain on struggling local Doctors services.
	10634	Residents are already struggling to get doctors appointments and the development will make it worse
	10642	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm there isn't sufficient infrastructure such as GPs to cope with the increased volume of people
	10654	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the effect on services such as doctors
	10657	As Knightwood Surgery does not seem to be used as much as other surgeries, it can provide medical services for the Velmore Farm proposal
	10658	As Knightwood Surgery does not seem to be used as much as other surgeries, it can provide medical services for the Velmore Farm proposal
	10659	There is no intention or obligation to provide additional, staffed and equipped GP medical practice and yet the existing ones cannot cope with the existing population-both practices have taken on patients from Eastleigh and Chandler's Ford and Knightwood surgery has

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		closed because the North Baddesley practice cannot cope with demand and staffing needs at the practice
	10666	It seems there has been no independent impact assessment on the GPs around Velmore Farm, the existing surgery is full with appointments hard to get. Is a new GP centre proposed?
	10704	The proposed development will lead to further strain on oversubscribed Doctors services.
	10718	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as local doctors already struggle, 2000+ patients will make it difficult to manage
	10728	The Velmore Farm proposal is ridiculous as the local surgery is over subscribed, it is difficult to get an appointment in a reasonable time. An influx of 2000+ people will make matters worse.
	10734	The Velmore Farm proposal has not taken into account the impact on GP services, waiting times are already into months
	10786	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to the existing shortages of GP surgeries
	10908	The Velmore Farm proposal is ill-considered as there is no reference to building and staffing doctors
	10928	The local health services will be put under further strain by the proposed development.
	10959	Lack of social infrastructure concern for new development - Doctors are currently overstretched in the area.
	10966	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the shortage of appointments at local doctors
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local amenities are at breaking point, such as GPs being at capacity

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10977	Nearby GP surgeries cannot cope with that level of increase, waiting times for appointments are long. More healthcare support is needed to reduce wait times.
	10996	Where will the thousands of new residents from the Velmore Farm proposal go for GP appointments without a new surgery?
	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal would put pressure on local surgeries and there is no plan for increasing these provisions
	11030	Local infrastructure will struggle to cope as doctors are already full.
	10420	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of additional infrastructure that will be required such as doctors surgeries.
	10429	Against the proposed development at Velmore Farm and it will require a new doctors surgery
	10433	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because doctors are currently over subscribed -the development will need more surgeries and pharmacies
	10435	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the lack of doctors for the current population.
	10437	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because the Local health services are already stretched to capacity
	10439	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the impact on local health services
	10468	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as local doctor surgeries will not cope with the influx on people
	10495	There are an insufficient number of doctors locally to support the proposed development.
	10531	Object to the Velmore Farm development as doctors are unable to take on more patients

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10560	The local doctors are oversubscribed and will not be able to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10561	The NHS healthcare services cannot cope with the additional strain of the proposed development.
	10571	Doctors surgeries are already oversubscribed - thousands more patients from the proposed development will exacerbate this issue.
	10598	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the impact to local services such as doctors
	10614	The local doctors services will not be able to cope with the strain from the proposed development.
	10645	GP surgeries are already overwhelmed and there isn't enough capacity for the new residents
	10653	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the additional strain services such as doctors
	10659	The lack of a GP practice in Knightwood means patients have to travel to North Baddesley and if reliant on public transport then this is virtually impossible because of bus cutbacks
	10674	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as infrastructure such as doctors are already under significant strain
	10679	Object to Velmore Farm as there are only 2 GP surgeries with at least a 3 week wait for an appointment, what will it be like with 1000+ more people and no mention of any being proposed?
	10688	The proposed development will put further strain on overstretched Doctors services.
	10702	The proposed development will increase pressure on already strained Doctors services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10710	Since the closure of the GP service in chandlers Ford, other local surgeries have had to take on new patients and will not be able to accommodate residents from the 1070 dwellings proposed
	10711	It is currently difficult to get a doctors appointment and this will be exacerbated if the development goes ahead
	10713	Infrastructure facilities such as doctors surgeries are already overstretched and this will be exacerbated if the proposed development goes ahead
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing pressure on local GP surgeries
	10851	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal and the pressure it will put on GPs
	10857	The area is short of doctors, with no mention of extra facilities this will worsen an already major problem
	10968	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the strain on local services such as GPs
	10997	Opposed to the Velmore Farm proposal as the need for an additional doctor surgery has been neglected
	11007	Without provision for GP services, the Velmore Farm proposal would negatively impact the already overstretched NHS services
	11015	The proposed development will significantly increase the burden on local healthcare services.
	11016	The proposed development will be put further strain on struggling healthcare services.
	11065	Against the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because the doctors surgery will not be able to cope with additional people.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of suitable provisions adding more pressure on existing services such as doctors
	11084	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as which doctors will be taking on more patients?
	11088	The scale of the proposed development will exacerbate existing issues such as residents accessing healthcare services.
	11139	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it already takes 3 weeks to get a doctors appointment
	11154	It is already a long wait for a doctors appointment in the area and adding 1070 houses will exacerbate the problem
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the development will require additional doctor surgeries as current infrastructure cannot cope
	10177	There is no intention or obligation to provide additional, staffed and equipped GP medical practice when the current ones are at full capacity.
	10451	Currently doctor surgeries are at full capacity and new provisions will not come with the required staff to provide the services required.
	10473	The infrastructure is struggling around the Velmore Farm proposal such as GP surgeries
	10477	Local services will need be included within the Velmore Farm proposal such as a doctor surgery
	10517	The Velmore Farm site is not appropriate as it will put increased pressure on doctors
	10550	The doctors surgeries in the area are full and will not be able to support the additional people from the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10562	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local services such as doctors are already under pressure
	10570	Doctors surgeries are already oversubscribed - thousands more patients from the proposed development will exacerbate this issue.
	10579	The proposed development will put overstretched health services under further strain.
	10588	The proposed development will put further strain on already struggling doctors services.
	10589	The local doctors will not be able to cope with the increased amount of residents from the proposed development.
	10613	The plan states no provisions have been made to improve infrastructure for healthcare and Doctors, of which there are an insufficient amount in the area to support the proposed development.
	10616	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling local health services.
	10630	Local doctors are overstretched and will face additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because local services such as GPs will be under more pressure
	10689	The proposed development will put further strain on already oversubscribed GP and Doctors services. There are no provisions to increase these services.
	10691	The local GP services are not sufficient to support an additional development in the area.
	10699	Insufficient medical facilities local to the proposed development will be further stretched.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10706	Concerned and Opposed to the proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore farm because the development will lead to overcrowding of doctors surgeries
	10739	With only 2/3 doctors in the area, where will the 2000+ people from the Velmore Farm development go?
	10752	Infrastructure around Velmore Farm will need to be considered such as, doctors
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as doctors are already at bursting point and struggle to accommodate local residents
	10861	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the increase in population will overwhelm GP services which are already under pressure
	10867	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as there are not enough doctors currently to fill existing surgeries, an additional 2000 patients would exasperate an issue which is already at crisis point
	10888	Agree with comments by Valley Park Parish Council about increased pressure on local doctor services from having more residents
	10931	There are insufficient doctors to accommodate the increase in population in the area.
	10934	Valley Park Doctors surgery is refusing to more patients and their resources will be further stretched with the new development. A new site on the new development would struggle to find doctors to occupy there.
	10939	The proposed development increases pressure on struggling local health services such as doctors' surgeries.
	10970	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is insufficient planning for the increased demand on doctor surgeries
	10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is insufficient planning for the increased demand on doctor surgeries

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10989	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as existing doctor surgeries have month long waiting lists for an appointment, where will new surgeries be built?
	11062	The Velmore Farm proposal would have a negative impact on local doctors which are already under pressure
	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because the area will need extra doctors surgeries
	11098	Lack of infrastructure to provide for the 1,070 homes, adding more strain on struggling Doctors' surgeries.
	11100	The additional 2000 further residents from the proposed development would put further strain on local doctors.
	11105	The local health services are already at full stretch and cannot cope with an increase in patients.
	10423	There should be no development without inclusion of additional services and infrastructure such as doctors surgeries
	10452	Is there provision for additional doctors if the development goes ahead as these are currently at full capacity?
	10509	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there is already difficulty obtaining a doctors appointment without an additional 2000 occupants needing a surgery
	10552	There is no current availability for doctors, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10578	The proposed development will put pressure on local amenities such as Doctors services.
	10587	The proposed development will put further strain on already struggling doctors services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10626	The proposed development will put further strain on struggling Doctors services.
	10635	Doctors surgeries are currently at full capacity and its impossible to get an appointment at St Francis surgery and this will be exacerbated with the new development
	10639	Opposed to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the current provisions of GP surgeries are over subscribed
	10687	The proposed development will put further strain on oversubscribed GP and health services.
	10829	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to lack of GP surgeries
	10836	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as infrastructure is already extremely stretched such as, there are too few doctors
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as there are not enough doctors currently to fill existing surgeries, an additional 2000 patients would exasperate an issue which is already at crisis point
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm as GP surgeries are failing to cope, 2000+ people would exasperate an issue already at crisis point and an additional surgery would not solve the issue as there aren't enough doctors
	10890	Doctors' services are struggling and the proposed development means vital services will be even further overstretched.
	10962	The proposed development will bring an additional 2500+ people which will put a huge strain on an already stretched local health service.
	11033	The additional 1000 homes will put strain on the doctors which are already struggling.
	11092	The additional 2000 further residents from the proposed development would put further strain on local doctors.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10745	There is pressure on doctors around Velmore Farm with difficultly getting appointments
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal as local GPs are already overwhelmed and development would significantly extend waiting times
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the pressure on GPs, development will cause a further increase in demand with no new practices included
	10859	Express concern to the Velmore Farm proposal due to current issues accessing local GP services
	10887	There are not enough doctors to currently cope with the infrastructure struggles, and an additional 2000 residents would exacerbate the issue.
	10911	It is insufficient to simply contribute to existing GP services and not provide a new/increased GP provision to the area of the proposed development.
	11017	There is no space at local GP surgeries and no nearby hospital, and the healthcare infrastructure will not be able to deal with the added strain from the proposed development.
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local surgeries which are already struggling would be greatly affected
	10506	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to pressures on local services such as doctors
	10534	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the shortage of doctor surgeries which must be addressed
	10537	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure on local health services such as doctors
	10593	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local doctors.
	10600	The proposed development will put further strain on already struggling local doctors.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10629	The proposed development will put additional strain on already overwhelmed Doctors services,
	10636	Local services which are already difficult to access such as GP practices on Pilgrims Cross will be more difficult to access
	10746	GP surgeries local to Velmore Farm are already over stretched with difficultly obtaining timely appointments
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as GP services are already difficult to access, has this been taken into account?
	10884	Local health services would not be able to cope with the increased population.
	11042	The infrastructure around the Velmore Farm proposal is not sufficient to support another 2000+ residents such as, doctors which are already under pressure
	10709	There are currently not enough doctors surgeries to accommodate the existing residents
	11083	The increase of 1100 homes will add more pressure on existing local health services such as GPs that are already at full capacity
	10843	The Velmore Farm proposal does not include services that would be needed such as a GP which are already struggling
	10668	The Velmore Farm proposal is disappointing as 2000+ patients will break the local health services such as the NHS who are under pressure with backlogs, increased patient numbers and loss of staff
	10455	The additional 1070 homes would add approximately 3000 more people and there aren't sufficient doctors surgeries available currently.
	10659	It is noted that there is no intention or legal planning obligation to provide additional staffed and equipped GP medical practice even though the existing one cannot cope with the existing population
	11091	There will be increased strain on local services such as Doctors from up to 2,000 more residents.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the insufficient capacity at general practices, they will buckle under the pressure
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	Concerned about increased pressure on local doctor services from having more residents
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as no GPs are proposed and it is already a challenge to access services, doubling the population could affect health of existing residents
	10901	There is currently no plan for a new GP practice in the Draft LP2040 even though the proposed development will add a considerable strain on access to current healthcare resources
	10988	Valley Park Parish Council is concerned about increased pressure on local doctor services from having more residents
	10986	Valley Park Parish Council is concerned about increased pressure on local doctor services from having more residents
Infrastructure - Health Services	10553	The proposed development would have a significant impact on severely stretched local healthcare services.
	10983	Against the Velmore Farm proposal as the local health services are already over subscribed
	10456	Health services in Chandlers Ford are already under extreme pressure and this development will make the situation worse.
	10510	Health facilities in the proposed area for development are oversubscribed, and more housing will stretch these facilities even further.
	10520	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to concern surrounding access to healthcare locally and the only A&E being Southampton General
	10565	The proposed development will put further strain onto already overstretched local health services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10609	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to existing pressure on local health services
	10873	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increased pressure on local health services
	10893	The local health services are struggling with the current population demands and this problem will worsen with the increased population from the proposed development.
	10906	Opposed and concerned about the prospect of extending the already large residential area of Knightwood Park by building at Velmore farm because of the impact on local services such as health care
	10980	Opposed to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on local amenities such as, health
	10155	The Velmore Farm proposal is very concerning as local health services are already at breaking point and would be unable to cope with an additional 2000 plus patients
	10521	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are already huge pressures on local healthcare services
	10597	The proposed development will further strain struggling local amenities such as local health services.
	10686	The local plan does not do enough to support local healthcare services that are currently under pressure.
	10804	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will put adverse pressure on the local health services, they are already stretched without an influx of population
	10849	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure on healthcare services, our GPs are already overstretched
	10998	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the strain on local services such as NHS services which will negatively impact people's wellbeing
	11056 Chandler's Ford Parish Council	Were any development on Velmore Farm to be progressed we ask the following issue be addressed; local doctors and dentists are already stretched, we ask for specific additional provision to be included in a plan of this magnitude

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10422	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on infrastructure such as health services
	10448	Is there provision for an increase in health facilities
	10526	The Velmore Farm proposal is not practical as it will make health care provision even worse
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as it will strain existing resources such as healthcare services leading to decreased accessibility for current residents
	10535	Local health services are underfunded and strained, and will not be able to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10602	The healthcare services in Chandlers Ford cannot cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10617	The proposed development would put further strain on struggling healthcare services.
	10735	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local health services cannot cope currently with no indication of additional facilities being incorporated
	10823	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it would put pressure on the already stretched health services in the area
	10896	Escalating development in this area will further stretch struggling local facilities, such as medical services.
	11085	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the difficulty in obtaining appointments for local health services
	10445	Oppose the development of over 1000 houses at Velmore Farm because it will worsen the access to health care
	10457	Object to the development at Velmore Farm because the local health services will not be able to cope with the increased population.
	10508	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on local health services

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10635	Local health services cannot cope with the additional pressure and are already at full capacity
	10649	Local medical services around Velmore Farm are already unable to cope with demand
	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact on medical services would be unacceptable given they are already stretched
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm due to the strain it will cause on healthcare facilities which already have a heightened demand, leading to prolonged waiting times
	10805	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as facilities such as medical centres are already stretched and at capacity
	10951	The additional people from the new development will put a huge strain on the local health service.
	10462	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local health services will not be able to cope
	10464	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local health services will not be able to cope
	10736	How will facilities local to Velmore Farm cope such as, health services
	10904	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure it will cause on healthcare services with the possibility of an extra 4,000 patients, residents struggle to get appointments
	10987	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of amenities and new residents would need access to the already overstretched NHS services
	10459	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would cause extra pressure on local health services
	10659	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the infrastructure such as medical and dental services to cope with the existing population and this will be exacerbated with the new development

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no consideration to address the gaps in health care services which are already restricted
	10824	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as local health services are stretched and would be overwhelmed by the huge number of residents
	10869	I agree with Valley Park Parish Councils response such as the issues on local health services
	10601	Indication made that the Integrated Health Board would want funding to expand existing facilities rather than taking on an additional site. This would overwhelm inadequate access to local primary care services.
	10859	Express concern to the Velmore Farm proposal due to current strain on health services such as community nursing and therapy
	11048	There needs to be additional infrastructure for healthcare to deal with the proposed development.
	10177	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the medical services cope with more development
	10559	The proposed development is not sensible for an area with decreasing health support.
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as services such as community nursing are already under strain
	10746	Even if a new surgery will be built as part of Velmore Farm how will it be staffed, current surgeries seem to have difficulties in recruiting
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as services such as therapy are already under strain
	10148	Deep concerns on the lack of primary health care in the Chander's Ford area. GP and NHS dentistry services are already totally overstretched and NHS dentist services are impossible to access in the whole of the Chandler's Ford area.
	11023	The proposed development will stretch already limited doctor/dentist services.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11069	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because more people will put a strain on community services such as health visitors, midwifery and hospital bed numbers
	10758	Significant concerns that current form of plan does not contain required infrastructure in respect of health facilities.
Infrastructure - hospitals	10449	Opposed to the development at Velmore Farm because of the increased pressure on local hospitals
	10974	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the area has experienced development with little to no additional hospitals and this will only compound the issue
	10487	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the strain put on local services such as hospitals
	10423	There should be no development without inclusion of additional services and infrastructure such as hospitals
	11084	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local hospitals cannot cope with the increased demand
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because local services such as hospitals will be under more pressure
	10710	Hospital facilities are already at full capacity and adding 1070 homes will exacerbate the problem
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal as development would significantly extend waiting times for local hospitals
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the insufficient capacity at emergency departments, they will buckle under the pressure
Infrastructure - Libraries	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact on libraries would be unacceptable given they are already stretched

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Infrastructure - Local Facilities and Services	10489	The area around the Velmore Farm proposal has already undergone substantial development creating pressure on local amenities and infrastructure
	10439	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the impact on local public services
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	The site promoter has indicated that community hub / local centre could also encompass a transport mobility hub with public transport connections, with delivery lockers and shared transport facilities.
	10563	The proposed development will have a detrimental effect on the local amenities of the area.
	10588	There is no provision for Local Services to provide for the proposed development.
	10634	The proposal for 1070 houses at Velmore Farm with just an additional primary school will result in existing services being hugely overstretched
	10928	The proposed development will impact the local services available to residents.
	11007	The area already has pressures on local services and the Velmore Farm proposal can only negatively impact this
	11069	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because the number of houses proposed will adversely impact the capability of local infrastructure to deliver services
	10528	Local services are already oversubscribed and the proposed development would further exacerbate this issue.
	10551	The proposed development would significantly impact the local facilities.
	11068	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it will overload existing facilities

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10444	Concerned that the there are not enough services to accommodate the proposal on Velmore Farm which will impact negatively on the residents at Knightwood.
	10702	The proposed development will increase pressure on already strained local facilities.
	10891	The proposed development would put concerning pressure on struggling local services.
	10893	The local amenities are struggling with the current population demands and this problem will worsen with the increased population from the proposed development.
	11048	There will be no benefit of local facilities to existing residents as there will be 2568 people closer to access those facilities.
	10753	North Baddesley would not be able to cope with the additional pressure on local services as a result of the proposed development - this would then increase the pressure to cope on Chandlers Ford and Eastleigh Borough Council to provide.
	10882	The local amenities are nowhere enough to sustain the proposed development.
	11061	The proposal to build on Velmore Farm will cause more stress and clog up already stretched local services
	10559	Chandlers Ford does not have enough local facilities (shops) to support the additional population from the proposed development.
	10560	Local facilities such as shops and pubs are oversubscribed and will not be able to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	11017	Local Services are broken, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10616	There are not suitable local facilities such as pubs and shops to support more large scale development.
	10699	Insufficient local amenities local to the proposed development will be further stretched.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10896	There has been insufficient provision for declining local services. Adding more properties would further dilute resources in maintaining local services.
	10931	Concerned regarding the local services being split between Test Valley Borough Council and Eastleigh Borough Council as the development is on the edge of both.
	10861	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the area does not have the services to support existing residents, Valley Park is in the top 30% of most deprived areas for proximity to local services
	10634	Local services have already been severely affected by development at Stoneham and therefore building a new housing estate three times the size of Valley park will have a further detrimental effect on local community services and the environment
	10689	The proposed development adds to the theme of allocating new development to areas which already have oversubscribed facilities.
	11160	The draft plan has highlighted that local services will be affected -what is the Council going to do in response? The Council should plan and respond accordingly
	10858	Object to Velmore Farm as it would overstretch other services
	10601	No mention access of active leisure facilities in the proposed development.
Infrastructure - Nurseries	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal would put pressure on local nurseries and there is no plan for increasing these provisions
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local nurseries which are already struggling would be greatly affected
Infrastructure - Parking	10602	The lack of available parking in Chandler's Ford cannot cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10559	The car parks in local supermarkets are already almost full, which would become a bigger problem with the proposed development.
	10654	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there will be no space to park when shopping or going to appointments

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local amenities are at breaking point and will only get busier such as parking
	10653	Against the Velmore Farm proposal as Central Precinct and Fryern Arcade cannot expand, parking is limited and this will only become more difficult
Infrastructure - Pharmacies	10517	The Velmore Farm site is not appropriate as it will put increased pressure on pharmacies
	11006	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the extra pressure on already stretched services such as, pharmacies
	10853	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a detrimental effect on local pharmacists
	10710	Pharmacy infrastructure in the area is already at capacity adding 1070 homes will exacerbate the problem
	10713	Infrastructure facilities such as pharmacies are already overstretched and this will be exacerbated if the proposed development goes ahead
	10739	With only 3 pharmacies in the area, where will the 2000+ people from the Velmore Farm development go?
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because local services such as pharmacies will be under more pressure
	10506	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to pressures on local services such as pharmacies
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local pharmacies which are already struggling would be greatly affected
Infrastructure - Play Facilities	10666	It seems there has been no independent impact assessment on play areas around Velmore Farm, will one be proposed in the plan?
	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal would put pressure on local play facilities and there is no plan for increasing these provisions
Infrastructure - Policing	10896	There has been an increase in the area of antisocial behaviour by drivers due to a lack of police presence.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11017	Police infrastructure is already stretched and unable to deal with antisocial behaviour, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	11016	The proposed development will put further strain on an already overstretched local police force.
	11017	Crime, antisocial behaviour and littering issues are exacerbated by other housing developments in the vicinity including further down Chestnut Avenue.
Infrastructure - Pressure on other authorities	11056 Chandler's Ford Parish Council	While recognising that building new homes is in general a necessity, note that TVBC is making a number of proposals close to its boundaries where pressure on infrastructure will fall on neighbouring authorities, Velmore Farm is one such proposal
Infrastructure - public transport	10546	The plan does not give provisions of how it would fund additional public transport connections for the proposed development.
	10898	The tine to cycle from Velmore Farm to Eastleigh railway station according to google maps would be 19 minutes via National Cycle Route 24 hence around 20 minutes is more accurate than the time stated in the Draft Local Plan.
	10468	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as public transport is very limited
	10961	There are no useful transport links nearby to residents.
	11160	Has the council considered expanding the public transport offer so that fewer people feel less compelled to drive and expanding the cycling infrastructure so that drivers are not held up by cyclists and cyclists can travel more safely
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as public transport in the area is limited
	10906	Opposed and Concerned about the prospect of extending the already large residential area of Knightwood Park by building at Velmore farm because of the impact on local services such as transport
	10970	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as public transport routes have reduced over the years not providing a suitable alternative for travel

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as public transport routes have reduced over the years not providing a suitable alternative for travel
	11160	The current bus provision around Valley Park is insufficient and should be improved to include outside standard office hours and late enough to provide flexibility so that people are guaranteed a ride home
	10516	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the limited public transport in the area
	10551	The route through from Bournemouth Road to Winchester Road is also a key transport route, meaning that the impact the proposed development will have on traffic along this route will also impact efficient public transport.
	10552	There will be an increased number of cars on the roads from the proposed development due to the poor public transport access from Castle Lane.
	10689	There is insufficient access to public transport, with the only local transport for School transport or a walk to ASDA or the railway station.
	10707	Object to this development as there are no connecting bus routes currently in valley Park making travelling by public transport difficult
	10542	More cars will be used with the proposed development, as the state of local public transport is not good enough.
	10559	There are poor public transport links in the area and not enough to support the proposed development, meaning even more people will use their cars.
	10600	The lack of public transport in the area will struggle to support the increased population from the proposed development.
	10637	There is insufficient public transport in the area and this needs to be addressed if more residents are to be added as does cycle routes
	11087	The public transport in the area of the Thornden and Barton Peveril buses are unreliable as they both use Templars Way.
	10650	It would be difficult to provide an efficient bus service for Velmore Farm, bus services around the site are extremely limited

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10659	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the infrastructure such as public transport to cope with the existing population and this will be exacerbated with the new development
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm due to the overcrowding and unreliability of critical bus routes such as the number 2 which exacerbate concerns on public transport
	10704	There is insufficient public transport such as a local bus service to support the proposed development and this will further increase road congestion.
	10753	There is insufficient public transport such as a local bus service to support the proposed development and this will further increase road congestion.
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to inadequate public transport such as the bus service
	10959	Reduced public transport would be even more stretched with the new development, and difficult for any resident without a car.
	11091	The plan offers no positive policies to deal with the lack of public transport in Valley Park, an issue which would be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10177	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the public transport and community transport services to cope with more development especially after the withdrawal of funding and reduced bus services
	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to poor public transport, the Blue Line Number 1 is full at peak times and bus to Southampton Hospital runs twice a day
	10578	Public Transport links are poor and this will become worse with the proposed development.
	10687	The increase in traffic due to the proposed development will see a greater need for public transport so children can access schools.
	10659	Valley Park has an ageing population and a growing number of disabled people who's needs are beyond the current reduced support, activities, care and transport available and with cutbacks planned by the Council to community transport, bus passes, etc this means that more residents from the new development will exacerbate these issues

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10510	It is good that the development will "integrate with existing pedestrian, cycleways and public transport connections", though the bus services to Romsey and Eastleigh are too infrequent.
	11088	The No.5 Bluestar bus service is not as frequent as stated and there is no bus on Sundays and would not be able to support the proposed development.
	10601	The proposed development would need an improvement to enhance existing limited public transport services.
	10659	It is noted that there is no intention or legal planning obligation to provide additional or improved bus services even though the existing ones cannot cope with the existing population and Knightwood has become cut off for many of its residents because of the cutbacks in bus services.
	10659	There are inadequate community transport facilities and Dial-a ride provision for Test valley residents within Knightwood and this will get worse with the Council cut-backs and HCC plans to withdraw non-statutory funding and services which means these services will not be available for a larger community once the development goes ahead
	10659	The Government and the Council should be providing more sustainable public transport networks but the cutbacks and inability to connect with the railway station, Chandler's Ford Centre and local towns and villages means that current and future residents will have to depend more on car use and the pollution will negatively impact the health of the communities
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	site is well connected to public transport options. There are several bus stops available on Templars Way and Knightwood Road, with the closest northbound and southbound bus stops located c.80m (a minute walk) to the south of the crossroads
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	The bus stops on Templars Way and Knightwood Road provide access to the Bluestar 5. Bluestar 5 service - regular bus service which operates from Boyatt Wood to Romsey via Eastleigh, Chestnut Avenue and North Baddesley. service operates hourly Monday to

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		Saturday between 06:30 – 18:30. Journeys to both Romsey and Eastleigh on this service take approximately 20 minutes.
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Chandlers Ford Railway Station is accessible within an 18-minute bus journey or an 11-minute cycle from the signal crossroads. This station provides services to Romsey and Salisbury every hour, via Eastleigh, Southampton Airport Parkway and Southampton Central
Infrastructure - Roads	10163	The allocation at Velmore Farm takes no account of the additional infrastructure that will be required such as roads
	10461	The road infrastructure on Templars Way connecting to School Lane and to Castle Lane is already in bad condition and will be exacerbated with more traffic
	10483	The Velmore Farm proposal has no plans for road improvement on Templars Way
	10535	The road infrastructure cannot cope with the additional pressure of 2000 extra cars from the proposed development.
	10551	The proposed development would significantly impact the local road infrastructure.
	10574	The proposed development will exacerbate the issue on already congested road infrastructure.
	10578	There is not sufficient road infrastructure to support the proposed development.
	10580	The proposed development significantly increases traffic on surrounding roads.
	10623	The developments have made no provisions for highway infrastructure to support the increasing number of vehicles.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10646	Concerned that the development at Velmore Farm will put extra strain on local infrastructure such as roads
	10973	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the roads do not have the capacity for this. Add extra roads.
	11033	The additional 1000 homes will put strain on the roads which are already struggling and greatly increased the traffic.
	11050	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the infrastructure is not in place to cope with the increased volume in traffic, at peak times the roads are very busy
	11105	There has been a significant growth in property in the area yet this has not been matched by the required road infrastructure.
	10147	The LP will have an adverse impact on infrastructure such as roads.
	10427	Opposed to the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of strain on infrastructure such as roads
	10477	Local infrastructure around the Velmore Farm development would need to be improved first such as, Templars Way upgraded to a dual carriageway and traffic lights on the Asda roundabout
	10544	There must be considerable improvements to road infrastructure to avoid the current road congestion.
	10550	The roads are in need of repair if they are to sustain the additional 1000-2000 vehicles that there would be from the proposed development.
	10560	There is no provision to improve the surrounding road networks to the proposed development, with cars from the development using a single exit.
	10561	The current state of the roads cannot cope with the additional cars and people from the proposed development.
	10588	There are no provisions to improve local roads to support the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10602	The roads in Chandlers Ford cannot cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10632	The local roads to the proposed development suffer from consistent road closures and repairs and would not be able to cope with the additional vehicles.
	10704	The road infrastructure will lead Eastleigh to become more congested with the additional traffic.
	10788	The infrastructure is woefully inadequate, key roads become blocked even with minor roadworks and it only takes an incident on the M3/27 for traffic to divert through Winchester and Bournemouth road, the road system comes to a standstill and such incidents happen regularly
	10934	The road surface is bad due to the regular heavy vehicle usage from the industrial estate, and this will be worse by the proposed development.
	11016	There are road maintenance problems that will be worsened by the proposed development.
	11087	Templars Way is congested at commuter times and when there is an incident on the motorway, so is unsuitable as an access road to the proposed development.
	11154	The road infrastructure is already in a bad state and doubling the amount of traffic will make it worse
	10445	Oppose the development of over 1000 houses at Velmore Farm because it will worsen the state of the roads.
	10528	The road infrastructure cannot cope with the additional pressure of more traffic from the proposed development.
	10542	The road infrastructure is not enough to sustain the level of vehicle increase in the area from the proposed development, with potholes everywhere.
	10578	Templars Way is consistently congested and will not be suitable as the proposed main entrance and exit to the development.
	10628	The road infrastructure is not sufficient to support the amount of extra homes from the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10647	Opposed to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because the roads and infrastructure cannot take any more and are already severely congested at peak times
	10688	Roads such as Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham Lane and the ASDA roundabout suffer from heavy traffic, which will be made worse by additional traffic from the proposed development and the resulting pollution.
	10706	Concerned and Opposed to the proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore farm because the development will lead to a further decline of road conditions
	10882	The road network is nowhere enough to sustain the proposed development.
	10884	The existing infrastructure of roads and drainage can barely cope, and that is without the thousands of additional people from the proposed development.
	10931	On Templers Way, there is a dangerous T junction which will be negatively impacted by the additional traffic.
	11086	The proposal to build 1070 homes at Velmore Farm is lacking in justification for the lack of inclusion of support services and the huge impact additional homes will have on infrastructure such as roads
	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will be detrimental to the current state of the local roads such as pot-holes
	10553	The state of the roads currently is not good enough including potholes, and this problem would be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10652	The County Council are responsible for highways and given their finances it is unlikely they will be able to support changes to the road network needed for the Velmore Farm proposal
	10691	The roads are poorly maintained and would worsen with additional traffic from the proposed development.
	10887	The road infrastructure could not cope with the additional traffic caused by the proposed development.
	11048	Existing residents will struggle to access the roads as they will have a further 2568 people on them.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10700	The local road system is insufficient to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10701	The local road system is insufficient to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	11126	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as we should be improving the roads not make it worse
	11127	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as we should be improving the roads not make it worse
	10636	Local roads that are already congested and in poor condition needing maintenance, from the recent North Stoneham development of 1100 houses will be further impacted
	10177	The current road network cannot cope with the existing volume of traffic, Castle Lane has accidents and the construction on the new site will wear down the roads
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Roads are in constant heavy use, permitting this development would have a critical impact on locations such as School Lane and roundabout, ASDA roundabout, Castle Lane and North Baddesley junction. Additional pressure on parking at Chandlers Ford shops and services
	10659	Many roads and pavements in the borough need resurfacing with the top coat of fine asphalt rolling in for longevity and safety and the government should provide more funding for infrastructure such as roads to go with new housing developments
	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it will put pressure on local roads such as Templars way, school lane, Castle lane that are already used as back roads when there are issues on the motorway
	10455	Roads along the northern edge of the site and towards North Baddesley are already congested especially at peak times with queueing traffic from Asda roundabout to North Baddesley and along Bournemouth road north of the Asda roundabout, School Lane industrial estate, Leigh Road, Chestnut Avenue and Knightwood Road housing estate.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Infrastructure - Schools	10576	Valley Park has a high population density, and with only two schools further housing would be detrimental to the local infrastructure.
	10584	The Velmore Farm proposal is not feasible in its current state as schools are oversubscribed and will crumble if additional weight is placed on them
	10625	There has been a shortage of primary school places, and there are no provisions to support further demand for places from the proposed development.
	10906	Opposed and concerned about the prospect of extending the already large residential area of Knightwood Park by building at Velmore farm because of the impact on local services such as schools
	11086	The proposal to build 1070 homes at Velmore Farm is lacking in justification for the lack of inclusion of support services and the huge impact additional homes will have on existing services such as schools
	10513	The construction of one entry level primary school is inadequate as schools in the area are already oversubscribed, and this will not support the thousands more pupils from the proposed development.
	10517	The Velmore Farm site is not appropriate as it will put increased pressure on schools
	10519	The Velmore Farm proposal does not include schools, how will this work when schools are already oversubscribed?
	10531	Object to the Velmore Farm development as schools will be unable to cope with the influx of people
	10583	There is no provision in the plan for schools which are already under pressure.
	10634	The proposal for 1070 houses at Velmore Farm with just an additional primary school will result in local roads being hugely overstretched
	10753	The proposed development at Velmore Farm will put additional pressure on oversubscribed local schools.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10897	The proposed development should only be considered once the infrastructure is invested in and there are enough schools to support it.
	10983	Against the Velmore Farm proposal as the local education services are already over subscribed
	10998	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the strain on local services such as schools which will negatively impact people's wellbeing
	10420	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of additional infrastructure that will be required such as schools
	10429	Against the proposed development at Velmore Farm and it will require a new school
	10433	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because local schools are already full, the one entry school proposed will be inadequate as the development has potential for more pupils of all school ages.
	10448	Is there provision for an increase in school facilities
	10452	The addition of a primary school is noted but have the demands of secondary schools been accounted for especially since the development at Chestnut avenue
	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to difficultly finding school places currently and they should not become overwhelmed causing a drop in the standard of education
	10475	Object to the development of Velmore farm because of pressure on services such as schools
	10477	Local services will need be included within the Velmore Farm proposal such as, schools including secondary
	10477	Local services will need be included within the Velmore Farm proposal such as, schools including secondary
	10495	There are an insufficient number of schools locally to support the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10499	Against the Velmore Farm development as schools are over subscribed, particularly secondary schools
	10510	Schools in the proposed area for development are oversubscribed, and will not be able to accommodate the increased population.
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as it will strain existing resources such as schools leading to decreased accessibility for current residents
	10547	The Velmore Farm proposal will place additional demand on education which is already overstretched
	10548	The schools in the local area are under too much pressure, and will not be able to cope with the proposed development.
	10563	The local schools will not be able to cope with the additional strain of the proposed development.
	10577	Schools are already overstretched and the proposed development will put further strain on local services.
	10598	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the impact to local services such as schools
	10598	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the impact to local services such as schools
	10602	The schools in Chandlers Ford cannot cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10617	The proposed development would put further strain on struggling schools.
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no consideration for secondary, sixth form or college education
	10752	Infrastructure around Velmore Farm will need to be considered such as, schools
	10851	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal and the additional pressure it will put on school places

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10851	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal and the additional pressure it will put on school places
	10857	There is a primary school proposed however, two in the area are reducing staff due to a decrease in students, has this been considered?
	10872	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of secondary school places, which schools will need to accommodate the extra pupils?
	10896	Escalating development in this area will further stretch struggling local facilities, such as schools.
	11023	The increased population in the area will lead to crowded school classes.
	11084	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as where will the children go to school?
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the development will require additional schools as current infrastructure cannot cope
	10426	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the impact on infrastructure such as schools.
	10438	The existing schools are at full capacity there is no local secondary school near the site.
	10452	If the proposal is for students to travel to Mountbatten school, Castle Lane would require further development as its currently congested at peak times
	10473	The infrastructure is struggling around the Velmore Farm proposal such as shortage of school places
	10521	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are already huge pressures on local schools
	10550	There are not enough schools locally to support the proposed development.
	10556	There are not enough schools in the local area to cope with the additional pressure of the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10570	Schools are oversubscribed, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10588	There are no provisions to increase the amount of local schools to provide for the proposed development.
	10613	The only school provision is for a 1.5 class entry primary school and there are no mentions of provisions for a secondary school. This will be insufficient to support the strain of the proposed development.
	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact on schools would be unacceptable given they are already stretched
	10686	The local plan does not do enough to support local schools that are currently under pressure.
	10700	There are insufficient Schools locally to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10701	There are insufficient Schools locally to cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10849	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the pressure on local schools which are oversubscribed
	10866	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as services are already unable to cope such as schools which are oversubscribed
	10931	There are insufficient schools and school spaces to accommodate the increased population from the proposed development.
	10966	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the shortage of school spaces
	10974	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the area has experienced development with little to no additional educational centres and this will only compound the issue
	10982	More houses will cause a nightmare for current residents, the schools are already full

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10984	Consideration must be given to where children from the proposal will go to secondary school
	10989	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local junior schools have been historically over subscribed, where will the young children go?
	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal would put pressure on local schools and there is no plan for increasing these provisions
	11030	Local infrastructure will struggle to cope as schools are already full.
	11033	The additional 1000 homes will put strain on the schools which are already crowded.
	11058	The local schools around the Velmore Farm proposal will not be able to cope with the extra children
	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because the area will need extra schools
	11069	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it will put further strain on school place availability
	10422	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on infrastructure such as schools.
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to provision for school children
	10496	The Velmore Farm proposal would lead to an increase demand on local services such as schools, with most operating waiting lists currently
	10518	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as schools would struggle to accommodate more children
	10524	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local schools are full, where will the new children be educated?
	10555	Concerned that schools will not cope with the increase in population from the Velmore Farm proposal

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10567	Schools are oversubscribed, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10618	There will be insufficient provisions for schools and nurseries to deal with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
	10634	Residents are already struggling to get middle school placements and the development will make it worse
	10639	Opposed to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the current provisions of schools are over subscribed
	10688	The proposed development will put further strain on overstretched Schools.
	10702	The proposed development will increase pressure on already strained local schools.
	10713	Infrastructure facilities such as schools are already overstretched and this will be exacerbated if the proposed development goes ahead and there is no space to grow secondary schools/ sixth form colleges, etc
	10715	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the secondary schools are already full, where will the children attend?
	10734	The Velmore Farm proposal has not taken into account the impact on schools
	10735	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local schools cannot cope currently with no indication of additional facilities being incorporated
	10736	How will facilities local to Velmore Farm cope such as, schools
	10786	Object to the Velmore Farm development as it will cause local schools to become overstretched
	10913	The provision for School infrastructure is insufficient to support the proposed development as the existing schools locally are oversubscribed and there is a necessity for on-site education provision.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10959	Lack of social infrastructure concern for new development - Schools are currently overstretched in the area.
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local amenities are at breaking point and will only get busier such as schools
	10994	There is no mention of a secondary school for the Velmore Farm proposal, do the surrounding schools have space?
	11055	The Plan does not consider secondary school provision-a detailed plan for the provision of this additional education requirement is needed
	11091	The plan offers no positive policies to help Valley Park's struggling schools cope with the added strain from the proposed development.
	10435	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the lack of schools and education facilities
	10561	Provisions such as schools should be put in place before the development is built, as there is currently not enough local infrastructure in place.
	10653	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the additional strain on services such as schools
	10805	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as facilities such as schools are already stretched and at capacity
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to additional number of primary and secondary pupils, the proposal includes a primary school, but official reviews do not look at actual capacity required
	10913	There is a failure on part on TVBC to provide reassurance or sufficient policy to provide provision for SEND and provide reassurance for parents of children with SEND, 'to be determined' is not enough.
	10505	The Velmore Farm development is concerning as both Knightwood and St Francis school are full and will be unable to accommodate with an influx
	10509	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as an unknown number of children will require school placements

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10523	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are not enough schools in the area
	10532	Do not support the Velmore Farm development as the local primary school is unable to take in more children
	10552	Schools are oversubscribed, a problem which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10578	The proposed development will put pressure on local amenities such as Schools.
	10589	The local schools will not be able to cope with the increased amount of residents from the proposed development.
	10607	The proposed development will put additional pressure on schools in the local area, whereas developments on brownfield sites would have better school infrastructure to support it.
	10829	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local schools will become overwhelmed
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as secondary schools in the area would struggle to accommodate more pupils
	11062	The Velmore Farm proposal would have a negative impact on local schools which are already at capacity
	10599	There is no timescale provided for the required 1.5 form entry primary school mentioned with the proposed development, and there is no mention of secondary school provision.
	10616	There are not a sufficient number of schools to support more large scale development in the area.
	10666	It seems thee has been no independent impact assessment on the schools around Velmore Farm, will one be included in the proposal?
	10671	Velmore Farm is not a sensible option as there are a shortage of teachers even if schools are built or extended

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10687	The proposed development will put further strain on oversubscribed schools.
	10689	There are no provisions for infants or junior schools to support the increased population from the proposed development, despite local schools already being oversubscribed.
	10824	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as schools would find it impossible to absorb the extra pupils
	10836	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as infrastructure is already extremely stretched such as, schools
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local schools which are already struggling would be greatly affected
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because local services such as schools will be under more pressure
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the increased pressure it will cause on school places
	10858	Object to Velmore Farm as it would overstretch local senior schools provision
	10859	Express concern to the Velmore Farm proposal as schools (especially secondary) are stretched currently due to other developments in the area
	10964	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it will put further strain on schools
	11048	There needs to be additional infrastructure for schools to deal with the proposed development.
	10510	There has been no provision in the plan for a sufficient amount of secondary schools once children in the area and from the proposed development have left primary school.
	10629	Children's education will suffer due to the additional pressure from the proposed development, as class sizes will grow.
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on local schools and class sizes which will damage education and prospects in the long term

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10853	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have an impact on local secondary schools whereas a local primary school recently shut down a classroom and lost staff
	10853	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have an impact on local secondary schools whereas a local primary school recently shut down a classroom and lost staff
	11017	Local schools cannot cope with more housing and the volume of people that the proposed development would bring.
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as schools in the area, particularly secondary schools are stretched to its limits
	10890	The area is overdeveloped and struggles to provide vital services such as education.
	10970, 10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no mention of a secondary school which are all heavily subscribed in the area
	10709	There are not enough places at local schools primary and secondary schools or colleges to accommodate the new residents this development would bring
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the strain on existing educational institutions, a survey for these should be conducted
	10901	There is currently no plan for a new secondary school in the Draft LP2040 even though the proposed development will add a considerable strain on access to existing secondary schools leading to a degradation of teaching quality
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Surrounded by residential to the north, east and southeast which contain key facilities stretched to breaking point. This site would generate a requirement for more than a 1.5 FE primary school on site. No mention of the likely adverse effect on senior schools in the area
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as no schools are proposed it will cause pressure on school places in the local area
	10988	Other than the primary school proposed at Velmore Farm, can the local schools cope with an influx?
	10986	What about other schools other than the proposed primary school, can the local schools cope with an influx?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Notwithstanding the policy position, the site promoter is looking to deliver a 2FE primary school so as to future proof the primary provision being offered on this site.
Infrastructure- Schools	10099 Hampshire County Council	The catchment secondary schools are Crestwood Community School & The Mountbatten School
Infrastructure- Schools	10099 Hampshire County Council	To mitigate the planned growth, applicants will be expected to contribute towards enhancing education capacity in accordance with Policy COM1, in the form of a new 1.5 form-entry (FE) school.
Infrastructure- Schools	10099 Hampshire County Council	Also, in accordance with policy COM1, one additional classroom for special educational needs and disability (SEND) provision at primary and secondary phase is required at an appropriate nearby maintained or special school.
Infrastructure- Schools	10099 Hampshire County Council	Any new school should be centrally located within its catchment area, within an 800m walking distance of all homes. Safe routes to school on foot or for cycling and wheeling should be provided. School site would need to be permeable with an optimum number of pedestrian entrances. Parking provision for park and stride should be at least a five minute walk away, not immediately outside the school gate.
Infrastructure - Shops	11066	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it puts extra pressure on local shops
	11060	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there will be no extra shops putting pressure on the limited resources
	10666	It seems there has been no independent impact assessment on the shops around Velmore Farm, the existing ones will be overrun unless more are proposed
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as supermarkets in the area are already at capacity
	10752	Infrastructure around Velmore Farm will need to be considered such as shops

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as local amenities are at breaking point and will only get busier such as local shops
	10510	Shops in the proposed area for development are limited and would not be able to cope with the increased population that the proposed development would bring.
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local car parks and shops are full and do not have the capacity to cope with further demand
	10896	Escalating development in this area will further stretch struggling local facilities, such as shops.
	10600	The proposed development will put a strain on the lack of amenities as there are no shops in the area.
	10702	The proposed development will increase pressure on already strained local shops.
	10959	Lack of social infrastructure concern for new development - less local shops are available.
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of suitable provisions adding more pressure on existing services such as shops
	11091	The plan offers no positive policies to deal with the lack of shops in Valley Park, an issue which would be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the development will require additional shops as current infrastructure cannot cope
	10736	How will facilities local to Velmore Farm cope such as, shops
	11098	Lack of infrastructure to provide for the 1,070 homes, such as the lack of local shops.
	10659	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the infrastructure such as shops to cope with the existing population and this will be exacerbated with the new development
	10857	There needs to be additional local shops, has this been considered?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10177	Valley Park and Knightwood do not have the shops to cope with more development
	10659	It is noted that there is no intention or legal planning obligation to provide additional shops even though the existing ones cannot cope with the existing population
	10988	Shops like Asda near to the Velmore Farm site cannot cope currently, what will this be like when 1000+ homes are filled with people shopping there?
	10986	Shops such as ASDA cannot cope with challenges in food supplies, what will this be like when 1000+ homes are filled?
Infrastructure - Sports	10510	Sports facilities in the proposed area for development are oversubscribed, and more housing will stretch these facilities even further.
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the lack of suitable provisions adding more pressure on existing services such as sports centres
Infrastructure - Utilities	11017	Utilities cannot cope with the additional pressure from the proposed development.
Infrastructure - Wastewater	10629	The existing drainage and sewage systems will not be able to cope with the additional raw sewage from the proposed development.
	10628	The proposed development will worsen the problems that Southern Water have had with sewerage outflow.
	11055	Sewerage needs to be considered to ensure that the expected volumes from this development and others is manageable
	10671	Velmore Farm is not a sensible option due to the water and wastewater services, Abbotswood has required water tanker supplies with wastewater treatment plant upgrades pending until after all the houses are built
	11154	Southern Water is incapable of handling the existing sewage and will not be able to cope with an additional 1070 homes
	10448	How will waste water be managed?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10700	Southern Water cannot service the existing amount of customers in the area and therefore would not be able to cope with the additional 1000+ homes from the proposed development.
	10701	Southern Water cannot service the existing amount of customers in the area and therefore would not be able to cope with the additional 1000+ homes from the proposed development.
	11114	Local sewerage in the area is already at full capacity and more homes will exacerbate the situation
	10685	The AECOM Report suggests that the proposed development on significant impact on Nutrient Neutrality, Air Quality, Water quality and quantity.
	10624	The proposed development will exacerbate issues locally with water, as Southern Water has problems with supply of water and there has been raw sewage pumped into local rivers.
	10709	Southern water are already struggling to treat the grey water reaching their treatment plants and adding another 1070 houses will make this worse and increase the chances of untreated sewage reaching local rivers and the sea, impacting people's health
	10177	The new development at Velmore Fram will result in the need for the water company to divert sewage and ground water into rivers such as the Itchen-exacerbating pollution
	10510	The viability of measures to process the wastewater from the site must be fully assessed and costed.
	10022 Southern Water	Southern Water have undertaken preliminary assessment of capacity of existing SW infrastructure and its ability to meet the forecast demand for proposal which indicated that existing local sewerage infrastructure to site has limited capacity to accommodate the proposed development
	10022 Southern Water	Proposal for 1070 dwellings on site will generate a need for reinforcement of the wastewater network to provide additional capacity to serve the development. This reinforcement would be provided through New Infrastructure charge to developers

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10022 Southern Water	connection of new development at this site ahead of new infrastructure delivery could lead to an increased risk of flooding unless the requisite works are implemented in advance of occupation
	10022 Southern Water	Add criteria stating 'occupation of development will be phased to align with the delivery of wastewater network reinforcement, in consultation with the service provider'
Infrastructure - Water	10526	The Velmore Farm proposal is not practical as it will make water pressure even worse
	11055	The plan makes no reference to the clean water requirements for the new housing-it is not clear whether there is sufficient ability to support the volume of increased housing and the already existing housing South of Eastleigh
	10449	Where will the supply of cold water come from for the new housing?
	10524	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to current issues with water supply
	10448	Has the process involved a water table effects study?
	10022 Southern Water	SW infrastructure crosses the site which needs to be taken into account for the layout of the proposed development as an easement of 6 metres or more, depending on the pipe size and depth would be required which may affect site layout or require diversion. Easements should be clear of all proposed buildings and substantial tree planting
	10022 Southern Water	Add criteria stating 'layout of the development must be planned to ensure future access to existing infrastructure for maintenance and upsizing purposes'
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	For this site, source control measures such as rainwater harvesting, permeable paving and swales could be explored to be delivered alongside strategic attenuation basins. These water management features will be integrated into site design from the outset
Landscape	10439	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the loss of valuable countryside

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10898	The proposed development will alter the nature of the immediate partly rural environment.
	10593	The character of the area would be completely altered by the proposed development as it would become part of the huge urban sprawl from Southampton.
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it will have a negative impact on the landscape, nature and wildlife
	10148	Velmore Farm is a higher landscape to Valley Park and the soil (like Valley Park) is of different clay- based textures.
	10343 Belfield Homes (Ampfield)	Objection to allocation due to its relationship to landscape, which is of high overall sensitivity due to parts of the site being elevated and relatively remote. Development would have a far greater impact on the local gap than alternative sites and upon landscape character in general. It would result in a degree of perceived coalescence.
	10816 Elivia Homes Ltd	Land at Velmore Farm is located within a landscape of 'high overall sensitivity' due to parts of it being elevated and relatively remote - this is not the case for the land at Coombs Meadow, Lockerley
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Having regard to the NPPF paragraph 74, the site promoter indicated that the masterplan for Velmore Farm has been designed to be landscape led and to provide opportunities for real and tangible environmental net gains.
Local Gap	10436	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the loss of the fields separating Chandlers Ford and Valley park
	10473	The area around the Velmore Farm proposal has seen more than 2,000 new homes built some on green belt land
	10571	The area proposed for development is a valued green belt buffer between Chilworth and Chandlers Ford, the loss of this would be detrimental to the local environment.
	10700	The land at Velmore Farm was designated as Green Space between settlements and this green gap should remain.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10701	The land at Velmore Farm was designated as Green Space between settlements and this green gap should remain.
	10687	The proposed development will further reduce the green space within the Local Gap.
	10688	The proposed development will a further reduction of green space in the green belt between Southampton, Chandlers Ford and Valley Park.
	10457	Object to the development at Velmore Farm because of the breach of the local gap.
	10507	The proposed development means the erosion of the urban green belt which separates Chandler's Ford from Southampton.
	10712	The current local plan had set importance of green spaces and this was well supported-the loss of the gap between Valley Park and Chilworth would take away an important amenity
	10901	The green space serves as a buffer between Valley Park and Southampton which will be lost if the development goes ahead and lead to deterioration of air quality in Valley Park
	11088	The proposed development will breach the Local Gap which will result in a loss of open space.
	10451	The development will result in the breach of a local gap which is essential for maintaining the character of the area and helps improve people's health and wellbeing
	11048	Reconsider the proposal that runs previous efforts to maintain suitable green gaps into the ground.
	10455	The proposed site is one of the few green spaces adjacent to Valley Park, and is part of the local gap between Test Valley and Southampton-the gap is essential in buffering Valley park from noise and pollution
	10098 Southampton County Council	Proposed allocation sites within land that currently forms part of local gap between Southampton and Eastleigh/Chandler's Ford. Consider this gap to be integral means of protecting separate identifies of these settlements
Masterplan	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because the planning process has been developer led, unfair, inequitable and unjust

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10629	The development of this site will cause further planning applications to be submitted and
		increase the amount of homes further.
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because as the process is
		developer led, the number of proposed dwellings is likely to be increased at the later stages
		of development as this is more profitable for them and will result in reduced green spaces within the development and a requirement for additional community facilities.
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because as the process is
		developer led the developers tend to build houses of a common style and design.
	10175	Alternatively, a hybrid-developer led/incremental planning process could be adopted
	10734	The Velmore Farm development should be in keeping with the principles, style and format
		of the estate nearby
	10798	Connections will need to be included between the sites and this may provide for shared
	Barratt David	facilities. BDW endorse a coordinated approach to master-planning, however the sites
	Wilson Homes	should come forward as separate planning applications.
	10794	The site promoter has no objection to a comprehensive approach to masterplanning of the
	Wates	site with built development predominantly being focused on the middle, north and
	Developments	northeastern parts of the site, with the south western part of the site retained as a
	Limited	significant area of publicly accessible green space.
	10794	The site promoter has provided an updated masterplan that looks to demonstrate how the
	Wates	site could be delivered. Having regard to NPPF paragraph 74, Velmore Farm will deliver a
	Developments	well located and well designed expansion to Valley Park supported by the necessary
	Limited	infrastructure and facilities to make it a sustainable and beautiful place in which to live.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Having regard to NPPF paragraph 74, the site promoter has indicated that the comprehensive masterplan for the site, together with the requirements of policy SA6, will set clear expectations for the quality of the places to be created and how this can be maintained. Together with the adoption of design guides / codes, will secure a variety of well designed and beautiful homes to meet the needs of different groups in the community.
New Forest SAC, SPA, Ramsar	10140 Natural England	Falls within 13.8km of New Forest SAC, SPA and Ramsar, or within the wider 15km catchment, therefore necessary to address impacts of increased recreational pressure in accordance with policy BIO2. Mitigation will be expected to satisfy interim mitigation strategy, or the joint strategic solution.
Noise pollution	10645	The increased traffic from the new development will increase noise levels
	10453	The noise level from Templars Way increases at peak times and this will increase because the plan has not provided for any traffic mitigation
	10422	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on noise.
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the noise from the building works
	10979	Any further increase in noise would hamper our ability to even sit in our own back garden
	11015	The proposed development will have health implications for residents, for example an increase in noise pollution.
	10829	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the traffic impact and noise pollution
	10833	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the noise from the increase in traffic is not acceptable
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as overcrowding from the increase in traffic will affect noise

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm due to the effect on noise pollution from an overcrowded road system
	10867	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as overcrowding from the increase in traffic will affect noise
	10652	Residents in School Lane and Templars Way already complain about the noise level and vibrations from the road, the Velmore Farm development will add to this
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as congestion will add to noise pollution
	10873	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in traffic which will increase noise levels
	10887	The proposed development would overburden roads and significantly increase noise and traffic pollution in the area.
	10567	The proposed development will cause an increase in noise pollution.
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm as it will increase noise pollution which is concerning for the wellbeing of residents
	10745	The Velmore Farm proposal will impact noise pollution
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the noise in the area would increase
	11000	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as noise level from the roads increase at peak times, this development would increase this time
	10534	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as an increased population density may impact life for residents such as, noise levels
	10570	The proposed development will lead to a significant increase in noise pollution.
	11088	The noise pollution caused by the added traffic volume and employment site will be detrimental to residents wellbeing.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10629	The construction of the proposed development will greatly increase noise pollution.
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal due to the noise pollution from the construction which could take up to 5 years and is unacceptable from a residents perspective
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the development could cause noise pollution
Object	10493	Objection to the proposed development.
	10884	Strongly object to the planning proposal to develop the area of land known as Velmore Farm.
	10997	Opposed to the Velmore Farm proposal as the site is not suitable
	11087	Strongly object to the planning proposal to develop the area of land known as Velmore Farm.
	11092	The proposed development at Velmore Farm would be detrimental to the successful development at Valley Park and threaten current and future generations of residents.
	11100	The proposed development at Velmore Farm would be detrimental to the successful development at Valley Park and threaten current and future generations of residents.
	11158	Against the development at Velmore Farm off Templars Way
	10636	Opposed to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore farm as 1100 homes were just built at North Stoneham by Eastleigh Borough Council which is 1km away from Velmore farm and much closer to existing residents of Valley park
	10647	Opposed to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because of the recent huge development of nearly 3000 homes in Stoneham park
	10177	Object to the development of Velmore Farm for either residential or commercial purposes.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10532	The Velmore Farm development would not be needed if other housing developments were completed
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to previous proposals to develop north Valley Park, if both went ahead there would be significant pressures
	10155	The proposed development at Velmore Farm is very concerning
	10192	I object to the Velmore Farm proposal
	10459	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal
	10484	I oppose the Velmore Farm proposal
	10509	I object and have deep concerns for the proposal at Velmore Farm
	10653	Very against the building of so many new homes in the area of Valley Park
	10724	Support the strong opposition to the Velmore Farm proposal outlined in the 2024 Spring Edition of the Valley Park Parish Council Newsletter
	11126	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal for the reasons published in the Valley Park Parish Council newsletter
	11127	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal for the reasons published in the Valley Park Parish Council newsletter
	10724	Strongly agree with all objections detailed by Valley Park Parish Council in their response
	10497	The Velmore Farm development should be scrapped to protect local residents and community
	10496	The size and proposal of the Velmore Farm development would have a detrimental effect on the local area and should not go ahead in its current form

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10532	Do not support any plan for houses to be built on the Velmore Farm site
	10752	Planning should not be permitted in the area
	11058	The Velmore Farm proposal is not the right place for another development and should not go ahead
	11136	I agree with the objections put forward by Valley Park Parish Council on the Velmore Farm proposal
	10397 Chilworth Parish Council	Chilworth PC strongly opposes the development of policy SA6 at Velmore Farm
	10978	Fundamentally disagree that the Velmore Farm site should be developed for housing and commercial use
Open Space	10423	The LP should be shelved as its proposals have no regard for lack of adequate open space
	10509	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as building on this land would impact on leisure use
	10429	The proposed development at Velmore Farm will make Valley Park feel very enclosed due to the loss of a valuable area of rural land
	10427	Opposed to the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of the loss of the community's natural recreation area
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as footpaths on the site are used for exercise and recreation, this amenity space would be lost
	10632 Eastleigh Borough Council	Welcome commitment to provision of various forms of on site open space and recognise rationale for locating open space in south west of site given this is the area of on site flood risk

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10632 Eastleigh Borough Council	Request that layout of open space and green links to that open space is designed to help serve/link to residents in surrounding area, and suggest that policy adjusted to reflect this
	10423	There should be no development without inclusion of additional open spaces.
	10706	Concerned and opposed to the proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore farm because the area does not currently have many open spaces and this development on an open space will destroy local views
	10639	The original Valley Park has been enlarged considerably with the addition of Knightwood and Stoneham Lane and North Baddesley both of which led to loss of open space-the danger is a conurbation of Eastleigh, Chandler's Ford, North Baddesley and Old Chilworth which will then link through to Southampton
Over population	10642	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because the area will become far too dense in population
	10568	The area is overpopulated and should not see a further increase in population.
	10465	Object to the development of Velmore Farm because Valley Park is already overcrowded and therefore the Council must find an alternative site
	10581	The area does not need any more development as it already overpopulated.
	10521	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as this part of Test Valley is already overpopulated
	10435	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because Chandlers Ford and the local surrounding areas already have a high population
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal because Valley Park is an over populated area

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10620	The proposed development is unsuitable to an area which is already overpopulated and under-resourced, an issue which would be exacerbated by increasing the population.
	10621	The proposed development is unsuitable to an area which is already overpopulated and under-resourced, an issue which would be exacerbated by increasing the population.
	10612	Valley Park has had almost 4,000 homes allocated to it over last forty years, if this allocation proceeds that figure would rise to almost 5,000 homes.
Overdevelopment	10745	The Velmore Farm proposal will lead to over development of land
	10823	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there has been a lot of development in the area
	10737	Object to the proposed Velmore Farm development as the area is already over developed and this proposal will add to that and join up Valley park, Chilworth and Eastleigh
	10725	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the area has seen far too many developments already
	10659	Valley Park is already over developed and the proposal for Velmore Farm is disproportionate and too large a number which should be distributed to the rest of Test Valley
	10494	Southern Test Valley has seen too much development over the years and is at breaking point
	10758	Would not support over development anywhere in our community
	10968	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the area has already seen significant development recently
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the area has already experienced enormous housing developments in recent years
	10523	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the area has already had a large development in recent years

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10700, 10701	Chandler's Ford has been overdeveloped in and does not need further development.
	10503	The area has already been overdeveloped and cannot sustain more housing.
	10528	The overdevelopment at Velmore Farm is not a good plan for the local area.
	10582	Valley Park does not need the proposed development due to the recent overdevelopment in the area.
	10587	Valley Park has seen extensive development in the last few decades, and the proposed development is not necessary to the area.
	10626	The proposed development reinforces the fact that Valley Park has seen a disproportionate amount of development in the past 15 years.
	10685	The proposed development increases urbanisation in already urban areas and brings a negative effect on residents.
	10882	The proposed development will have a detrimental impact on this already over developed area since the Knightwood development.
	10600	The Valley Park population has doubled and become overdeveloped with the addition of Knightwood, meaning the area is congested.
	10539	The area is now subject to overdevelopment, and it will force existing residents to move out of the area.
	10588	Valley Park has been highly developed in the past few decades and does not need more development, as Knightwood Park removed a lot of green space.
	10624	The area of Valley Park has been overdeveloped and does not need the proposed development.
	10583	The plans for proposed development need to be revised due to overdevelopment in the area.
	10896	Problems in Valley Park and Knightwood need to be addressed and fully considered before the proposed development adds to these issues.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10888	There are a substantial number of new homes in this part of Test Valley that have been both recently built and under construction.
Parking	10583	The schools have insufficient parking to support the additional pressure from the proposed development - this problem would overflow onto nearby streets.
	10578	There are current issues with parking in the area which will be greatly exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10542	New builds never have enough parking spaces for families, which will lead to congested parking on other roads.
	10600	Parking is insufficient in the area for existing residents and will not be able to cope with the increased population from the proposed development.
	10805	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as parking at facilities is overflowing such as medical centres, schools and local shops
Planning	11016	The planners from Test Valley Borough Council and Eastleigh Borough Council are not working collaboratively to combat the problems posed to infrastructure by the new development.
Pollution	10422	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on pollution.
	10457	Object to the development at Velmore Farm because of the pollution of the environment that will result from this development
	10462, 10464	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in pollution the traffic will cause
	10506	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on the environment through pollution
	10536	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the road congestion which will increase levels of pollution impacting the environment and residents wellbeing
	10567	An increase in traffic and congestion on roads would lead to an increase in environmental pollution.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10591	Pollution in the area will be worsened with the increase in commuter times due to more people being on the roads.
	10600	The congestion on the roads will lead to an increase in vehicle noise and traffic pollution.
	10614	The increased traffic from the proposed development will lead to a detrimental increase in pollution.
	10620	The additional pressure from the proposed development would increase the pollution.
	10621	The additional pressure from the proposed development would increase the pollution.
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because the increase in traffic will lead to further local pollution
	10859	Express concern to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on health such as respiratory type diseases with more traffic
	10951	Fumes from the additional cars caused by the new development will be detrimental to the environment in the area.
	10959	Additional traffic from the proposed development would lead to an increase in pollution.
	10962	Fumes from additional cars will have a detrimental environmental impact.
	10501	The road infrastructure needs redevelopment to ensure that pollution is not made worse.
	10549	There would be considerable pollution from the proposed development.
	10550	The added air pollution and reduced air quality as a result of the proposed development would be detrimental to the area.
	10552	The increase in traffic and use of vehicles in the area will lead to an increase in pollution and the air quality will get worse.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10561	The air quality is already poor, and this will worsen with the proposed development.
	10579	Increased traffic from the proposed development will increase pollution and ruin air quality.
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the increase in traffic will have an impact on health particularly respiratory type diseases
	10887	The proposed development will exacerbate the problem of air quality and pollution caused by a congested road network.
	11015	The proposed development will have health implications for residents, for example an increase in dust and air pollution caused by construction.
	11061	The proposal to build on Velmore farm will cause more pollution
	11158	Against the development at Velmore Farm off Templars Way because of the increase in pollution that will occur
	10594	Increased traffic from the proposed development will increase pollution and ruin air quality.
	10630	The quality of life for existing residents will decrease due to an increase in pollution from the proposed development.
	10939	The increase in traffic caused by the new development will have a detrimental environmental impact and on the air quality.
	11105	The proposed development will add significantly to the pollution levels in the area due to the added vehicles using already congested roads.
	10528	The proposed development will have a detrimental environmental impact and will lead to further pollution, causing health impacts for residents.
	10593	The additional traffic from the proposed development would lead to additional air pollution.
	10632	Pollution will be increased due to additional traffic from the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10563	The proposed development will lead to an increase in pollution and affect the climate.
	10567	There would be an increase in air pollution as a result of the proposed development.
	10581	The proposed development will lead to an increase in pollution due to traffic problems.
	10599	The new development is described as sustainable despite the consequent pollution that will come from the proposed development.
	10602	Pollution will rise due to the proposed development, making the area undesirable for cyclists.
	10625	The additional traffic created by the proposed development will lead to an increase in pollution.
	10537	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the road congestion which will increase levels of pollution impacting the environment and residents wellbeing
	10702	The traffic from the proposed development will lead to an increase in pollution.
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the new houses will result in diminished light for existing neighbouring homes
	10570	The proposed development will lead to a reduction in air quality.
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm as it will increase light pollution which is concerning for the wellbeing of residents
	10685	There will be a significant increase in traffic as a result of the proposed development.
	11088	The pollution resulting from the traffic volume and employment site will be detrimental to residents wellbeing.
	10685	The increased traffic from the proposed development will lead to a detrimental increase in pollution.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10177	Pollution from increased number of vehicles will impact the health of residents and the development will not be sustainable as residents will still be car dependant given the lack of connection to the Chandlers Ford railway station and bus cutbacks
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm due to the effect on air quality and pollution from an already overcrowded road system
	10484	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it does not consider the pollution likely to be caused by the increase in cars using Templars Way
	10491	The Velmore Farm proposal will cause more pollution
	10497	Concern over the pollution the additional traffic will cause from the Velmore Farm development
	10970, 10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal the increase in cars in the area would have a detrimental environmental impact from emissions
	10969	The Velmore Farm proposal should not go ahead due to the pollution it would cause
	10979	Any further increase in pollution would hamper our ability to even sit in our own back garden
	11018	The increase in traffic from the Velmore Farm proposal would cause an increase in pollution
	11085	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the additional traffic will increase the fumes from the cars
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm as the increase in traffic will lead to heightened pollution
	10715	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to pollution, further cars and homes is unthinkable
	10833	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the fumes from the increase in traffic is not acceptable

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10861	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the increase in vehicles will increase emissions from slow and idling traffic, adversely impacting health and quality of life for those with respiratory conditions
	10985	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the increase in idle traffic which counters the climate change goal
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the increase in traffic will cause an increase in pollution
	11042	The increase in traffic from the Velmore Farm proposal would cause an increase in pollution
	10746	Existing traffic fumes and noise pollution will be magnified with the Velmore Farm proposal
	10974	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as most residents will travel for work creating more pollution
	11084	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in pollution
	10982	More homes will increase pollution causing more environmental issues
	10964	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the increase in pollution it will cause
	10745	The Velmore Farm proposal will create more vehicles leading to pollution
Population Density	10468	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as Valley Park has a high population density which should not be increased
Public Right of Way	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would destroy the value of amenities such as, well-used public footpaths and bridleways
	10859	What impact will the Velmore Farm proposal have on local footpaths and cycle lanes to Romsey and North Baddesley?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10865	We use the local footpaths and cycle lanes around the Velmore Farm proposal to Romsey, what will the impact of these from the development?
	11160	Expand the local rights of way network - insist that roads and tracks remain open and are not gated off
	10462	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of footpaths
	10464	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the loss of footpaths
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	The site promoter agrees with the need to enhance the existing public rights of way to Valley Park, Romsey and Eastleigh.
	10099 Hampshire County Council	Chilworth Bridleway 7 crosses the site from north to south and Chilworth Footpath 6 crosses the site from west to east. There is already and east-west cycleway alongside Castle Lane.
	10099 Hampshire County Council	Dedication of Bridleway rights should be sought for Chilworth Footpath 6 to give cycle access through the site linking via Bridleway 7 to existing off-road cycle provision along Castle Lane to North Baddesley.
	10099 Hampshire County Council	This site is an excellent opportunity to improve Chilworth Bridleway 7 and Chilworth RB 3 ('Lordswood Lane') to give a cycle route from the development and housing north of it into Southampton. This would also give commuting route to proposed employment site at Chilworth. Demand also recorded in the Countryside Access Plan. Legal rights exist, including bridge over M27.
	10099 Hampshire County Council	Policy SA6 para b) states "Provision of a significant area of high quality and accessible Green Space in the south and west of the site". It is suggested this wording is enhanced to read: "b) Provision of a country park in and beyond the south west of the site; consideration of joint promotion of the network of open access areas to the south and west of the site, known as 'Forest Park (Policy SA16)' including a visitor centre, signage and interpretation."

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10099 Hampshire County Council	With reference to paragraphs "g) Access to the development via Templars Way" and "h) The enhancement of existing public rights of way, contributions towards enhancing a utility route to Southampton utilising Chilworth Bridleway 7 and Restricted Byway 3 or an alternative should be considered, along with the upgrade of Chilworth Footpath 6 to a bridleway. This would give a cycle route from the development and housing north of it into Southampton (towards the hospital and university) and a commuting route to the proposed employment site at Chilworth.
Scale of development	10477	Half of the proposed number for housing on the Velmore Farm development may be more sensible
	10531	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to the overwhelming number of houses and residents
	10710	The original plan for Valley Park was admirable but has been considerably extended to the West and on to the North (Ampfield Meadows retirement village)
	10711	Object to the development of Velmore Farm as there has been a lot of development especially since Knightwood
	10804	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as Valley Park has already undergone large scale developments over the last 30 years and has borne the brunt of Government pressures to meet housing requirements
	10966	Disagree with the volume of housing proposed at Velmore Farm
	11042	The Velmore Farm site is not a suitable location for a large scale development, there must be other sites more suitable
	11088	The scale of the proposed development will have a negative impact on the residents of Valley Park.
	10432	Strongly oppose the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because its an unacceptable scale
	10739	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it is far too large a concept for the area and outweighs the infrastructure planned to support it

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10746	The Velmore Farm proposal is disproportionate to the area; 200/300 houses might have been acceptable
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	the proposed number of houses is too high and compounds negative impacts on Valley Park residents
	10679	Object to Velmore Farm as the proposal is far too big for a place the size of Chandlers Ford, it would overwhelm existing services
	10862	The Velmore Farm proposal could be acceptable if compromised of no more than 100 dwellings
	10988	the proposed number of houses is too high and compounds negative impacts on Valley Park residents
	10986	The proposed number of houses is too high and compounds negative impacts on Valley Park residents
	10996	Rather than the Velmore Farm proposal we should have smaller developments instead
	10985	The Velmore Farm proposal should be considered as a smaller development such as, 200 homes
	10996	A development of a few hundred dwellings would be better than over a 1000 for the Velmore Farm proposal
	10636	The scale of the development is extremely concerning to existing Valley park residents because the wooded setting and access to open spaces will be lost
	10713	Strongly feel that this development should not take place because a development of this size should not be placed in just one area of south west Test Valley but should be broken up into smaller developments and spread throughout the whole region
	10459	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as Valley Park should not have to bear another large development rather than homes being spread around in smaller clusters within Test Valley
	11105	The proposed site for development is not an appropriate development for such a significant development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10510	The site is not sustainable as the plan suggests there is "potential for provision of community hub/local centre and employment uses up to 1.5 hectares", which is not true now that the number of dwellings has increased, again lending itself to confirmation bias.
	10666	The solution to the Velmore Farm proposal will be to scale down the plans and build a lot less houses
	11055	The land at Velmore Farm is unsuitable for such a large development and it is unsustainable to focus development on large developments in small areas rather than spreading development across the whole of Test Valley to support communities that are in danger of stagnating and losing their amenities
	10805	Oppose the Velmore Farm development and TVBC should seriously consider a much-reduced number of houses
	10636	Opposed to the scale of the proposed development and the apparent lack of engagement with EBC or any regard for existing traffic, drainage and impact on local services
	10343 Belfield Homes (Ampfield)	Policy states there is a need for SANG and that there are SINC areas adjoining the site. A sequential approach is indicated in the SA to direct development away from areas of surface water flooding. A third of the site may be constrained by noise from the M3/M27 and there are views from PROW which require mitigation. The topography, overhead power lines and roman road all present further significant constraints. This brings into question the suitability of the site for 1070 dwellings and the developable area.
	10709	Extending South of Chandlers Ford does not seem sustainable, suggest halving the number of houses, without increasing commercial structures
	10901	Valley Park has over the last 40 years had almost 4000 homes allocated and yet it is the only large scale proposed development in the Southern Housing Market Area

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10814 Westcoast Developments Ltd	If this site is to be maintained as an allocation, it is considered that the quantum of any development should be reduced in recognition of the western extent of the site being outside of comfortable walking distance to a range of services and facilities. In this regard the site is less accessible to key services and facilities than the Land at Corner of Highwood Lane and Botley Road, Romsey, particularly with regard to pedestrian access to the closest secondary school and bus stops
	10814 Westcoast Developments Ltd	Serious concerns regarding the suitability of this site to accommodate the scale of proposed housing development due to significant constraints to development that questions whether 1,070 dwellings are achievable and deliverable
	10814 Westcoast Developments Ltd	Concerns on ability to accommodate proposed scale of development including Sustainability Appraisal Objective 10a) states the site includes a designated SINC and a further SINC immediately to the south both of which would require landscape buffers.
	10814 Westcoast Developments Ltd	Concerns on ability to accommodate proposed scale of development including Objective 12d) states just over a third of the site is subject to high levels of noise from the M3/M27, there are visual impacts from PRoWs that will require mitigation
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Support the policy and concur with the site's development capacity in overall terms.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10814 Westcoast Developments Ltd	Considered that there are serious uncertainties about the suitability of the Land at Velmore Farm and South of Ganger Farm to accommodate this scale of development. Furthermore, there are significant issues associated with the soundness of these sites in terms of suitability to deliver the level of development proposed due to the combination of significant constraints, in particular the potential for impacts on sensitive landscape character and the integrity of the Local Gap (Velmore Farm), flood risks, accessibility and impacts on nature conservation designations
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	section 5.2 p26 of promotional document supplied indicate site capacity for around 150 dwellings
	11096 Rownhams Promotions Ltd	Compared to the scale of the Fields Farm site, the Land at Velmore Farm is a large strategic allocation which the Council are reliant on from 2031/32 onwards to deliver almost 50% of all new homes within the Southern Housing Market Area. It is considered that additional housing sites should be identified within the Local Plan to ensure that the housing needs are met in the event delivery on the site is slow or stalls due to the number of constraints the scheme will need to consider
No objection / support	10098 Southampton County Council	Noting sustainable location regarding access to employment, local amenities and public transport links, and retention of woodland to south which would continue to constitute clear and permanent gap, on balance do not object to allocation
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Allocation is strongly supported by Barratt David Wilson Homes. Barratt David Wilson Homes have an interest in the northern section of the site, as set out in Figure 1.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Support the proposed allocation of the land at Velmore Farm, Valley Park.
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Site promoter believes that the development of land at Velmore Farm can come forward in a timely way to help accommodate the housing needs of the area, and that it can deliver tangible benefits to the local community in terms of family sized housing, affordable housing, starter homes, as well as accommodation for the elderly, plus a community hub, employment provision, and other education and green space provisions.
	10476	Support the development at Velmore Farm
	10485	The Velmore Farm proposal is the natural progression and expansion of Valley Park
	10657	Support the Velmore Farm proposal as it will help relieve the housing shortage in the area
	10476	Support the development at Velmore Farm as the normal planning process will ensure mitigation on traffic, drainage, CIL etc if trust is given to the NPPF
Significant green space	10098 Southampton County Council	Support inclusion of 'significant green space' in south west corner and along southern edge of proposed allocation to provide further mitigation for reduction is size of local gap
Sustainable development	10955	In order to create a sustainable development, houses built should be zero carbon footprint, designed for solar panels and heat pumps.
	10959	Development should not take place in the form of houses, and more should be done for a sustainable community such as the building of solar panel farms and the planting of trees.
Sustainable location	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Velmore farm in a sustainable location for development and should remain an allocation for next iteration of LP

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
Sustainable travel	10479	The proposed development will impact the national cycle route along the Bournemouth Road/Templars Way roundabout, discouraging people from cycling to work.
	10480	The proposed development will impact the national cycle route along the Bournemouth Road/Templars Way roundabout, discouraging people from cycling to work.
	10901	The Draft LP 2040 does not have any credible support for sustainable transport as the development seems to be targeted towards expanding car use, global warming, pollution and respiratory ailments.
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	traffic calming measures could include a speed limit reduction along an appropriate stretch of Castle Lane and/ or potential crossing points to the cycle / footway on the northern side of Castle Lane would also be explored with the highways authority. This would improve pedestrian and cycle connectivity to Valley Park, enabling enhanced access to existing and new facilities
	10842 Network Rail and South Western Railway	This draft allocation is within a reasonable walking and cycling distance to Chandler's Ford and Eastleigh rail stations
	10842 Network Rail and South Western Railway	It is likely that more users would travel to Eastleigh given the greater journey routes offered.
	10842 Network Rail and South Western Railway	The draft allocation could provide for improved access to these stations to encourage active and sustainable travel and improvements to cycling facilities and access at Eastleigh station

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10794 Wates Developments Limited	Having regard to NPPF paragraph 74, the site promoter has indicated that Velmore Farm is situated in a highly sustainable location with access to a genuine choice of transport modes that could help meeting the housing needs of Test Valley / the unmet needs in the PfSH area in a sustainable way.
	10243 Stagecoach South and Go South Coast Limited	We note and endorse paragraph 4.191
	10243 Stagecoach South and Go South Coast Limited	The site benefits being adjacent to Bluestar service 5 which is the only regular route west of Chandlers Ford. We agree this presents significant opportunities for the site but at least as important to secure better bus services for a much wider range of communities on the route as bus service provision is exceptionally limited for the edge of a major urban area.
	10243 Stagecoach South and Go South Coast Limited	A strategy to secure substantial mode shift is necessary. Castle Lane and Templars Way see serious peak hour congestion and junction capacity at either end of the link is inadequate which needs to be addressed. There may be a strategic case to enhance bus frequencies further than those suggested with further work needed to explore this urgently prior to Reg 19.
	10243 Stagecoach South and Go South Coast Limited	Subject to the opportunities and constraints being addressed this site presents one of very few sustainable opportunities in the south immediately adjoining a potential high quality bus corridor and the proposed allocation is accordingly supported.
	10098 Southampton County Council	Number of future residents may work in Southampton or visit for leisure. Encourage requirement for sustainable transport measure in allocation including connections from site to cycle and bus network on Bournemouth Road. Measures could include travel plan,

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		facilities to reduce need to travel, access to bus stops by Hampshire Corporate Park and cycle routes to connect to strategic cycle network (SCN5) on Bournemouth Road
Sustainable travel	10842 Network Rail and South Western Railway	It is also important to consider wider transport integration with bus network and active travel opportunities for this site
Sustainable travel	10099 Hampshire County Council	There is the opportunity to target this development specifically as a low car development, with proper network of car clubs, strong active travel links and improved bus service. This could allow for higher density development and be marketed proactively as an alternative to typical suburban developments.
Sustainable travel	10099 Hampshire County Council	The current bus service around the edge of the site is reasonable and this development (especially if created as a low-car development) could deliver sufficient additional demand to increase service levels.
Traffic	10134	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of traffic congestion on Templars Way and Castle Lane
	10362 Eastleigh Borough Council	More detailed transport modelling regarding impacts on local road network (including Eastleigh) and national road network (M3 and M27).
	10420	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of traffic gridlock at the proposed main access from School Lane along Templars way to Castle Lane.
	10421	The Velmore farm proposal is unsustainable on account of the impact on traffic
	10424	Against the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on traffic.
	10426	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of the impact on traffic

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10427	Opposed to the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of traffic congestion
	10428	Object to the proposed development on Velmore farm on account of traffic congestion.
	10429	Against the proposed development at Velmore Farm and it will require additional infrastructure such as roads to cater for traffic congestion
	10430	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on account of increase in traffic
	10431	Against the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on traffic
	10432	Strongly oppose the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because the traffic is already very noisy at Danebury Gardens which backs on to Templars way
	10435	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the traffic congestion especially at peak times
	10437	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the traffic congestion that already exists when turning right out of Montogomery Way onto Tempers Way at peak times
	10438	Opposed to the Plan to build 1000+ houses at Velmore Farm because the current infrastructure cannot cope with the increase in traffic and this will cause disruption to Templers way, the main road to Southampton, the Asda roundabout and there will be no easy access to the motorway.
	10441	The proposal for homes in Chandlers Ford is in the wrong place as traffic is already congested there.
	10444	Concerned that the proposal on Velmore Farm will exacerbate the already existing traffic congestion in the area
	10445	Oppose the development of over 1000 houses at Velmore Farm because it will lead to worse traffic conditions

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10448	there will be a huge increase in traffic at peak times around Asda roundabout, school lane and castle lane traffic lights and at North Baddesley crossroads
	10451	Currently the roads through Chandlers Ford along Templars Way, past Hampshire Corporate Park, down Chestnut Avenue and along Stoneham Avenue are beyond capacity at peak times.
	10457	Object to the development at Velmore Farm because of the impact on traffic on the area that is already congested.
	10458	The access to the Velmore Farm proposal is an already busy road and more vehicles will impact this and other roads
	10462	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on traffic in an already congested area
	10464	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact on traffic in an already congested area
	10467	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as access to and from Templars Way into Montgomery Drive will be impossible with the influx of cars
	10468	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as Templars Way will be unable to cope with the increased traffic and School Lane cannot be used as a main road due to the number of HGV's
	10474	The increase of vehicles onto Templars way and local roads will increase traffic congestion which is already an issue.
	10491	The roads around the Velmore Farm proposal already cannot cope with the amount of traffic and stationary traffic causes pollution
	10496	The Velmore Farm proposal would have a big impact on traffic, roads are already rammed at peak times and without improvements it would be even more of a nightmare at rush hours
	10497	Concern over the traffic congestion the Velmore Farm development will cause

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10499	Against the Velmore Farm development as current infrastructure cannot cope with the traffic. The roundabout at School Lane/Templars Way is overwhelmed and traffic queues both ways on Templars Way leading to and from Asda roundabout
	10500	More housing will exacerbate the traffic issue, as the implementation of Stoneham is ridiculous planning with one small road to and from the area.
	10505	The Velmore Farm development is concerning as congestion on main feeder roads would be immense. Templar's Way is already congested, traffic travels at speed and Salcombe Close can be difficult to exit
	10506	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to road impact to Templars Way, School Lane and Castle Lane
	10512	Request the congestion experienced around the Velmore Farm proposal is addressed prior to being built
	10517	The Velmore Farm site is not appropriate due to the traffic congestion, more cars will increase these problems especially at School Lane roundabout
	10518	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as Templars Way is already a very busy road
	10521	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the frequent traffic jams and fair share of collisions along Templars Way, adding 2000+ more cars onto this road is unacceptable
	10522	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as 2000 more cars on Templars Way and other local roads will have a big impact on traffic
	10523	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a significant impact on the traffic on Templars Way and local roads
	10525	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact of increased traffic on Templars Way, School Lane and Castle Lane which are already highly congested
	10526	The Velmore Farm proposal is not practical, the traffic is already excessive and adding more vehicles would make travel impossible
	10527	The area around the Velmore Farm development is gridlocked at peak times, additional housing would make travel extremely difficult

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10529	Increased traffic congestion will cause problems for residents on roads that already become diversion routes for accidents on the motorways.
	10532	Do not support the Velmore Farm development as more vehicles will cause major congestion on Templars Way and other local roads
	10533	There is already significant congestion on roads such as Castle Lane, Bournemouth Rd, and through Chandlers Ford, which will be made worse by the additional traffic from the proposed development.
	10536	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as Templars Way and Castle Lane are already congested and the development could result in 2000 more vehicles in the area
	10539	The traffic is already an issue, and some roads such as the turn out of Montgomery Way onto Templars Way are dangerous. More cars on the roads from the proposed development would only exacerbate this problem.
	10543	With recent developments around Chandlers Ford, the traffic has doubled, and the roads would not cope with any additional traffic the proposed development would bring.
	10548	The area already has an issue with traffic, which will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10549	There is no room for any more vehicles on the roads, especially Templars Way where the main access would be.
	10550	There is a lot of traffic on the roads surrounding the proposed development.
	10555	Concerned that the Velmore Farm proposal would lead to a significant increase in local traffic, Templars Way is already very busy
	10556	The proposed development would make traffic congestion worse, by adding 2000 more vehicles to extreme traffic pressures that are currently on roads such as Templars Way.
	10558	The additional 2000 vehicles from the proposed development will create significant congestion on already busy roads such as Templars Way and Castle Lane.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10560	The above average use of private cars in the area has led to considerable traffic on the
		School Lane/Templars Way roundabout, Templars Way/Castle Lane intersection and Asda
		roundabout and this will worsen with the proposed development.
	10565	The additional vehicles from the proposed development will lead to a significant increase in
		traffic and congestion on the roads.
	10567	There is already considerable congestion on roads, and any increase in traffic from the
		proposed development would exacerbate this issue.
	10568	Roads such as Templars Way and Castle Lane, Asda roundabout and the road through
		North Baddesley already face traffic congestion and the roads are not sufficient to deal with
		the additional traffic from the proposed development.
	10569	There is already considerable congestion along Templars Way and Castle Lane, and these
		roads could not cope with any more vehicles from the proposed development.
	10570	The traffic along Templars Way is already at critical point and cannot cope with additional
		vehicles from the proposed development.
	10572	How will you address the Velmore Farm proposal adding more vehicles to Templars Way
		which already suffers with traffic?
	10577	The proposed development will put more traffic on congested roads.
	10581	The proposed development will exacerbate the current problem with traffic and road
		congestion.
	10585	The proposed development will make traffic congestion in the area worse.
	10586	The proposed development will make traffic congestion in the area worse.
	10591	Valley Park is currently overcrowded with commuter traffic and this will be exacerbated with
		the new housing development.
	10593	The proposed development will cause a significant increase in traffic, worsening existing
		issues along Chestnut Avenue and School Lane as a result of accidents on the M3 or M27.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10595	There will be significant traffic implications from the proposed development, especially along Templars Way which already suffers from considerable congestion and would need improvements to roads.
	10596	There will be significant traffic implications from the proposed development, especially along Templars Way which already suffers from considerable congestion.
	10600	Traffic congestion will worsen as a result of the proposed development and the proposed access to the site means traffic will lead to bottlenecks at the Asda roundabout which is already busy.
	10603	Object to the Velmore Farm development as it will exacerbate existing traffic problem
	10607	The current traffic problems on Castle Lane and Templars Way runs from North Baddesley to the Asda roundabout (worsened by issues on the M3 and M27) will be exacerbated by 2000 additional vehicles on the road.
	10608	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact adding more vehicles onto Templars Way would cause
	10609	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to the impact adding more vehicles will cause to the existing traffic issues on Templars Way and local roads
	10610	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing traffic issues especially on Templars Way, Montgomery Way and Asda roundabout
	10614	The issue of traffic congestion on Templars Way will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10618	Templars Way would not be able to cope with the 2,000 additional vehicles from the proposed development. Road infrastructure would have to be improved before development can be considered.
	10620	The proposed development would put additional pressure on local roads which are already overwhelmed by the current traffic.
	10621	The proposed development would put additional pressure on local roads which are already overwhelmed by the current traffic.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10635	The proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore farm will have a huge impact on traffic especially at peak times as more vehicles would use the roads through Chandlers Ford along Templars Way, past Hampshire Corporate park, down Chestnut Avenue and along Stoneham Avenue.
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because it will lead to a significant increase in traffic and Templars Way and the part of Castle Lane leading to North Baddesley and the Templars Way approach to Asda roundabout become very congested at peak times
	10643	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because Traffic on Templars Way is already extremely bad at peak times-getting out of Montgomery way (particularly if you want to turn right) can be almost impossible, additional traffic will worsen the situation
	10644	The proposed development at Velmore Farm will worsen the congestion of traffic on Templars Way and surrounding areas
	10645	Concerned that in an attempt to avoid the traffic jams on Templars Way, more traffic will choose to access Valley Park via Chilworth Road A27 and Misslebrook Road-Chilworth Road is already a high traffic and high speed road with no designated crossing area
	10651	Strongly disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as the traffic congestion is significant at School Lane and Templars Way roundabout, adding further homes onto this roundabout is ludicrous
	10671	Velmore Farm is not a sensible option due to the traffic, the immediate impact of vehicle movements to/from houses and proposed culture of Bunny Lane, Romsey will mean more vehicles using Templars Way
	10679	Object to Velmore Farm as Templars Way is already a busy road with difficulty entering/exiting the estate due to the high volume, development would make the issue worse
	10690	Traffic problems in Test Valley are significantly impacting the ability to travel at commuter times, and there are insufficient provisions to improve road infrastructure in the plan to alleviate the pressure on roads surrounding the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10691	Existing traffic on Castle Lane and Templars Way make access to these roads for cyclists and pedestrians difficult, which will be made worse by additional traffic from the proposed development.
	10704	The proposed development will lead to increased traffic of an additional 2000 vehicles on already congested roads.
	10707	Object to this development as the roads around Valley Park are not designed for extra local traffic especially Baddesley Road
	10712	At peak times the traffic passing along Castle Lane and Templars Way is already heavily congested and a gridlocked when the route is used as a rat-run when there are problems on the M3 and the M27 so adding more vehicles on the local roads will exacerbate the issue
	10718	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as it will cause chaos on the local roads, Castle Lane and Templars Way are congested and even more so when there are issues on the M3/27
	10725	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the traffic, Templars Way is busy at certain times and another 2000 cars will take it to breaking point and make getting round the area very difficult
	10728	The Velmore Farm proposal is ridiculous as Templars Way is gridlocked at certain times of the day, an employment site too makes the proposal untenable
	10752	The Velmore Farm proposal will put more cars on roads that already have long queues in rush hour
	10808	Object to development at Velmore Farm because of the traffic implications on local roads especially turning out of Montgomery Road during rush hour
	10831	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as Templars Way and other local roads leading to Asda roundabout are extremely busy at peak times and would be unable to cope with up to approximately 2000 additional cars
	10837	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing traffic issues

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10853	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the additional risk of congestion, accidents and fatalities due to the high traffic around Templars Way and neighbouring motorways
	10859	Express concern to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the traffic on Templars Way, Asda roundabout and School Lane. The development would further increase traffic and risk to safety
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the junction to turn right onto Templars Way is extremely busy, cars travel very fast and causes a risk to safety, development will only increase this.
	10891	The proposed development would increase traffic on roads.
	10893	Templars Way and Castle Lane will not be able to cope with the increased traffic from the proposed development.
	10896	There is a problem with traffic congestion along Knightwood Road, Templars Way and Castle Lane which has caused this area to be a bypass for heavy goods vehicles to Chandlers Ford Industrial Estate. This problem will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10914	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact of 2000+ cars onto Templars Way and local roads will have a detrimental impact, particularly at peak times
	10943	The proposed development will lead to greater traffic congestion as Castle Lane and Templars Way already face this issue.
	10951	The traffic is already high in that area and adding just one entrance/exit off the roundabout into the proposed development would create a huge bottleneck.
	10959	The roads surrounding this area (Castle Lane through Templars Way to Winchester Road) can not cope with the level of traffic created by the proposed development.
	10961	The proposed development of new houses is implausible due to the current traffic on the roads. More cars added by the new development would be a disaster.
	10962	The roads are already struggling with traffic and an additional 2000 cars with just one point of access to the development would worsen this situation.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10965	The roads could not cope with the extra traffic from the Velmore Farm proposal, Templars Way, School Lane and Castle Lane are already dreadful
	10970	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local traffic would increase beyond capacity, the access is a busy area with long delays
	10971	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as local traffic would increase beyond capacity, the access is a busy area with long delays
	10982	More houses will cause a nightmare for current residents, traffic is already busy and more homes will create constant traffic issues
	10985	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as congestion is severe at peak times especially at the Asda roundabout and roundabout leading to School Lane
	10987	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the area already struggles with traffic especially as it is used as a diversion route for the M27/3
	10989	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as Templars Way is already very busy and often used as a diversion for the M3/27, this development will overwhelm the road
	10992	I hope this will not go ahead, there are too many cars on the road
	10996	Templars Way is already extremely busy and getting to the M3 from Bournemouth Road/Leigh Road is horrendous, this development would make this worse
	10998	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in traffic which will negatively impact people's wellbeing
	11006	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the traffic, there are traffic jams at School Lane roundabout
	11015	Traffic on Templars Way is already bad and the addition of 2000+ vehicles that the proposed development would cause would worsen this problem.
	11016	The proposed development will exacerbate the issue of traffic, which is already suffering on Templars Way, worsened by any closure to the M3/M27.
	11017	The additional traffic from the proposed development will worsen problems on already struggling roads.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11023	Proposed development will have a negative impact on traffic.
	11030	Roads are already clogged and new housing will exacerbate the problems.
	11058	The access point to the Velmore Farm proposal is extremely busy with long tailbacks along School Lane, Templars Way and Castle Lane, the roads cannot take anymore traffic.
	11072	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the access point is joining a road which is already at capacity with long and heavy periods of congestion
	11084	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the traffic such as on Templars Way and School Lane, accidents happen and are used as diversion route
	11132	Strongly opposed to the development of Velmore farm because of the potential impact on traffic congestion which poses a risk to public safety and impedes access to health services and emergency services
	10155	The Velmore Farm proposal is very concerning as the local road network is already very busy, adding more vehicles would result in gridlock at peak times
	10192	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in traffic which would be intolerable for existing tax payers
	10437	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the traffic congestion through Valley Park i.e. Knightwood Road would get more traffic
	10442	Strongly object to the proposal for development at Velmore Farm as the traffic congestion will only get worse.
	10443	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because the roads are already congested at rush hour and the development will exacerbate this.
	10451	The construction of Stoneham Park, the offices off Stoney Croft Rise, the B&Q HQ building, and the traffic from Hampshire Corporate Park and the School Lane Industrial Park plus the addition of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm will result in more traffic congestion.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10452	Templars way will require significant upgrading if this proposal goes ahead as there is currently insufficient capacity for traffic at peak hours from the local housing and the industrial area located on school lane.
	10465	Object to the development of Velmore Farm because of the increase in traffic that will result from addition of 1070 more homes
	10472	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the extra traffic the development would bring to the local roads
	10473	The infrastructure is struggling around the Velmore Farm proposal such as the volume of traffic particularly on School Lane and Templars Way
	10478	Disagree with the Velmore Farm proposal as it would only increase the current problem the local area has around School Lane and Templars Way with congestion
	10495	The proposed development will worsen the issue of traffic congestion.
	10498	Oppose the Velmore Farm development due to existing traffic issues and this will only increase the problems
	10501	The road infrastructure needs redevelopment to ensure that traffic issues are not made worse by the proposed development.
	10504	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the current traffic in the area, more cars will make it worse
	10507	The road infrastructure cannot cope with an additional 1000-2000 cars a day using Templars Way.
	10515	Traffic is a concern around the Velmore Farm site, more cars will cause mayhem
	10524	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the development would increase the volume of traffic which is already heavily congested and can be from Templars Way down to Junction 5 of the motorway with no improvements made to the infrastructure
	10530	Oppose the Velmore Farm development as it will strain existing resources such as roads leading to increased congestion

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10533	The additional cars from the development will make access in and out of the development very difficult, causing commuters problems.
	10535	There is already considerable traffic on roads surrounding the proposed development, and congestion will increase with the additional cars.
	10538	There are already considerable traffic issues, along Templars Way and the road out of Knightswood and the industrial estate, and through Chandlers Ford, and this will increase with the new development.
	10542	There is already congestion along Templars Way and Castle Lane - adding 1000 houses with the main access off the roundabout will exacerbate this issue.
	10545	The traffic in the area is already congested and another 2000 cars would make the area unliveable.
	10552	The addition of potentially 2000 vehicles from the proposed development to already congested roads will cause problems for all residents.
	10579	Traffic is awful on Templars Way and Castle Lane and the proposed development will increase the congestion.
	10597	The proposed development will put significantly more traffic onto Templars Way and local roads which are already under strain.
	10598	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the increased risk of traffic particularly around Templars Way and Castle Lane
	10617	The proposed would create further traffic congestion on surrounding roads.
	10618	More traffic from the proposed development will lead to an increase in pollution.
	10623	Templars Way, Chestnut Avenue and Bournemouth Rd which serve ASDA, the business parks, Village Hotel and the M27, Castle Lane and Leigh Rd serving Eastleigh are too congested. This issue will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10624	The proposed development will make congested roads worse due to additional traffic.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10635	The local roads are used to access Stoneham Park, the offices off Stoney Croft Rise, the B&Q HQ building and Hampshire Corporate Park as well as the School Lane industrial park and any additional traffic will exacerbate traffic congestion on these routes
	10642	Object to the proposed development at Velmore Farm because the traffic will cause more delays and traffic jams
	10646	The development will lead to more congestion on the motorway routes
	10649	Templars Way and surrounding roads are clogged with traffic, traffic jams are caused when local motorways are closed and development at Velmore Farm will worsen this
	10652	Development at Velmore Farm will generate additional traffic, Asda roundabout and Castle Lane are already bad and there is no room to enlarge the road infrastructure. There will be knock-on effects at the motorway junctions for the M3/27 and Chandlers Ford and Eastleigh are already under considerable strain.
	10653	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the difficulty of turning into Templars Way from Montgomery Way at peak times
	10654	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the effect on the local road network which will be regularly gridlocked
	10683	Object to the preferred site of Velmore Farm for 1070 houses as the traffic impact of up to 2000 cars on Templars Way and other local roads will have a significant impact on the already busy roads
	10689	There will be a considerable impact on traffic as the current road infrastructure is not enough to cope with current congestion levels - 1,000-1,500 additional vehicles will exacerbate this.
	10706	Concerned and opposed to the proposal to build 1070 homes on Velmore farm because the development will result in an increase in the gridlock of traffic
	10710	The single feeder road (Knighthood Road) has become very busy with residential and commercial traffic as well as overflow traffic when there are problems on the motorway-this will be exacerbated by the development of 1070 houses

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10711	Currently it is difficult to get off Valley Park to go east at peak times and this will be exacerbated with the new development
	10726	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will increase traffic in the area without the infrastructure to manage it making daily travel more challenging. The roads are also a diversion route when there are issues on the motorways
	10735	The Velmore Farm development is concerning as it would worsen the traffic issues seen by the proposed access, the traffic lights at Castle Lane and Asda roundabout. The roads are also a diversion route when there are issues on the M3/27 which causes delays and gridlock. The traffic management needs to be fully re-assessed.
	10786	Object to the Velmore Farm development as it will cause undue traffic issues
	10822	There is insufficient infrastructure to support the increased traffic the Velmore Farm development will cause
	10833	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the road from Templars Way and School Lane is always congested with large volumes of traffic
	10850	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the overburdened road network would not cope, Asda roundabout, Castle Lane and Templars Way are already congested and gridlocked at peak times, the area is also the only diversion route when issues occur on the M3/27 leading to traffic chaos
	10851	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal and the additional pressure it will put on the road network
	10863	Oppose Velmore Farm as the road network is overburdened and would not cope, Asda roundabout, Castle Lane and Templars Way are already congested and gridlocked. Further, it is the only diversion route when issues occur on the M3/27
	10865	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as Templars Way is heavy with traffic at peak times backed up from Asda roundabout to School Lane, this area also acts as a bypass when there are issues on the M3/27, more housing will increase this congestion

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10869	The proposed access to the Velmore Farm proposal will only increase traffic along Templars Way with thousands more vehicles on the road
	10882	Traffic queues have become worse and dangerous on Templars Way through to School Lane and from Castle Lane, which would become worse with the proposed development.
	10888	The development at Velmore Farm will have an impact of up to 2000+ vehicles onto Templars Way and this will lead to congestion at peak times
	10908	The Velmore Farm proposal is ill-considered as it will add to traffic congestion at peak times or when delays occur on the M3/27
	10928	Traffic along the route of the proposed development is already heavy and this will be put under further strain.
	10931	The additional traffic caused by the development will negatively impact an already busy Templars Way and the local area.
	10966	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the traffic congestion in the area
	10969	The Velmore Farm proposal should not go ahead due to the increased traffic, it is already a nightmare
	10989	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as there have been numerous crashes and near misses for residents trying to join Templars Way from Montgomery Way, what will be done?
	10997	Opposed to the Velmore Farm proposal as Templars Way, School Lane, Castle Lane and Knightwood Road will not be able to cope with the demands of additional traffic
	11004	The Velmore Farm proposal would lead to increased traffic, there are already queues with pressure on School Lane and Castle Lane
	11018	Traffic in Templars Way is very busy, School Lane and between the Asda roundabout and traffic lights at Castle Lane is often gridlocked, adding extra traffic from the access point would create more congestion
	11065	Against the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because the roads are already congested at peak times with school traffic, traffic from the industrial estate, B&Q, Aldi,

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		Asda and Castle Lane is already used as an alternative route when the motorway has issues.
	11085	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as traffic is high in Templars Way, School Lane and Castle Lane. Adding 2,000+ cars with one access point would be insufferable, this area is also often a diversion route for M27/3.
	11088	The scale of the proposed development will exacerbate existing issues such as traffic congestion.
	11126	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as there is already an impact on traffic due to accidents on the M3 and M27 being diverted
	11127	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as there is already an impact on traffic due to accidents on the M3 and M27 being diverted
	11143	Object to the proposed development at Velmore farm because it will have a huge impact on traffic in the area and the current road infrastructure cannot take additional traffic
	11158	Against the development at Velmore Farm off Templars Way because of the increase in traffic that will occur
	10147	If the Velmore Farm development went ahead the impact on local roads would be a disaster
	10148	Significant detrimental impact of up to 2000 more vehicles on local roads at peak times on many overstretched local roads.
	10422	Object to the Velmore farm proposal on account of the impact on traffic.
	10451	The proposal needs a transportation plan that seeks alternative access points, as at the moment, congestion occurs at the M27 Junction 5, North Baddesley and up towards Otterbourne.
	10459	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the roads are already at, or beyond capacity, traffic from School Lane along Templars Way is often at standstill.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10461	There is already a lot of traffic congestion with long queues on Castle Lane and Templars Way and on the ASDA roundabout at peak times, adding 1070 homes will exacerbate the problem
	10482	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in traffic on already busy roads
	10486	Object to the Velmore Farm development due to the increase in vehicles on local roads which are already over-used
	10488	The Velmore Farm proposal will exacerbate the traffic issues seen on Bournemouth Road, Templars Way and School Lane and load other routes locally.
	10516	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as Templars Way already gets gridlocked, Asda roundabout regularly has accidents and Castle Lane or Bournemouth Road will be unable to cope with an increase in traffic
	10519	The are around the Velmore Farm proposal already experiences congestion of traffic
	10531	Object to the Velmore Farm development as roads cannot cope with the existing level of traffic
	10551	The impact of traffic would be detrimental from Valley Park up to Templars Way, and Bournemouth Road through to Winchester Road.
	10559	The construction of 1070 homes in the area will greatly add to the traffic problems experienced on Templars Way as a result of diverted traffic from the M3 and M27.
	10570	There are significant traffic problems down Leigh Rd, Bournemouth Rd and through Chandlers Ford, which will be exacerbated by the additional traffic from the proposed development due to the lack of access to the motorway from the development site.
	10571	The proposed development will greatly increase congestion along already busy roads, which will make travel impossible with only two access roads for the whole area.
	10576	Valley Park suffers from high traffic congestion and this issue would be exacerbated by more housing.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10578	The proposed development will exacerbate the issue of traffic congestion in the area.
	10588	Templars Way is already congested and Templars Way is a diversion route for accidents on the M3 and M27 which would be exacerbated by the 2000 additional vehicles from the proposed development.
	10594	There will be significant traffic implications from the proposed development, especially along Templars Way which already suffers from considerable congestion.
	10613	There are already considerable traffic issues on surrounding roads Knightwood Road and School Lane onto Templars Way, over the Asda roundabout down Chestnut Avenue and through Stoneham Way. These issues would be exacerbated by an additional 2000 vehicles from the proposed development.
	10630	The proposed development will lead to a significant increase in traffic.
	10635	Incidences on the M3 or M27push traffic off the motorway and through these local roads and conversely, any congestion in this area backs up to the M27junction 5, North Baddesley or up towards Otterbourne
	10637	Traffic is already a major issue at peak times and there is no upgrade to the road infrastructure and any additional traffic will increase congestion on the roads including the Asda roundabout and roads leading to the motorway and Chandlers Ford and Eastleigh that already have traffic congestion problems
	10638	Serious concerns about the proposal for development at Velmore Farm because the increase in traffic will increase the risk of serious accidents
	10639	Opposed to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because The roads surrounding Velmore Farm are already heavily used and congested at peak times
	10645	The increased traffic from the new development will increase congestion
	10653	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the additional strain on roads

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10674	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the existing traffic congestion, safety in the area is a concern
	10678	Strongly oppose Velmore Farm due to the increase in traffic on the already congested conditions along Templars Way raising safety concerns
	10691	Consistent problems of traffic through Chandlers Ford as a result of the M3/M27 junction and expensive public transport, which will be exacerbated by additional traffic from the proposed development.
	10713	The traffic in the area is already congested at peak times and this will be exacerbated if the proposed development goes ahead
	10715	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as traffic is already horrendous and congested, further cars is unthinkable
	10736	Development at Velmore Farm will increase the traffic, smell of diesel and noise already seen. Most journeys are made through Templars Way and Castle Lane an extra 2000 cars onto these roads and School Lane can't be sustainable
	10739	The access to Velmore Farm off the roundabout has an already overloaded road (Templars Way), an additional 2000 cars will entail a overcrowded single lane road with large traffic problems unless the access is changed or carriageway widened
	10758	Significant concerns that current form of plan does not contain required infrastructure in respect of local traffic.
	10867	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the road network would not cope, Asda roundabout, Castle Lane and Templars Way are already congested and gridlocked at peak times, the area is also the only diversion route when issues occur on the M3/27
	10874	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the heavy traffic in the area such as on Templars Way and Castle Lane, this will only increase
	10888	Agree with Valley Park Parish Council's comments about traffic impacts including request that Traffic assessments be conducted on all local highways taking into account extra vehicles from the velour farm development, the development at Hoe Lane in North

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		Baddesley, the Whitenap development in Romsey and the development at Chestnut Avenue in Eastleigh
	10904	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact of an extra 2000+ cars on Templars Way and other local roads will be detrimental, the area becomes gridlocked and is the main diversion route for the motorways
	10934	Castle Lane would be affected by the proposed development through disruption to traffic. Previous planning applications have been rejected on this basis.
	10939	The new development will see an increase in traffic due Templars Way/School Lane and Castle Lane being roads that already suffer from high volume traffic, and adding one entrance into such a large development off Templars Way roundabout would increase this.
	10968	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the significant traffic issues
	10984	Concerned that it is already dangerous and difficult to pull out of Montgomery Way onto Templars Way, this proposal will only make it more difficult
	10994	The area along Templars Way up towards the Hampshire Business Park and Asda already have significant delays
	11007	Traffic is a massive issue around the Velmore Farm proposal, at times cars can be at standstill
	11054	Opposed to the development of Velmore Farm as there will be traffic issues at peak times - roads are already busy and Chandlers ford is used as a diversion when there are issues on the motorway -have the extra vehicles been modelled into this situation?
	11068	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because the area is already congested
	11100	Templar Way is a busy traffic route, often impacting schools, shops, businesses etc. The congestion will only become worse with the impact of the added volume of traffic from 1,070 homes on top of other developments in the area.
	11105	The traffic will worsen with Templars Way as the sole access point for the proposed development.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	11139	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as traffic on Templars Way queues from the Asda roundabout to the North Baddesley lights, this road will be unable to cope with more cars
	10148	Request that Traffic Impact Assessments are carried out on all local highways, considering extra vehicles from this proposal along with other schemes including Hoe Lane development, Whitenap development, Chestnut Avenue developments and Ampfield Meadow Care Complex development.
	10440	Oppose the proposal at Velmore Farm on account of traffic congestion
	10449	Opposed to the development at Velmore Farm because of the amount of extra traffic especially since the Stoneham site development
	10475	Object to the development of Velmore farm because the increase in traffic will exacerbate already existing traffic congestion
	10479	The proposed development will generate additional peak time traffic, and worsen rush hour traffic at the Asda roundabout.
	10480	The proposed development will generate additional peak time traffic, and worsen rush hour traffic at the Asda roundabout.
	10481	The proposed development will cause significant traffic problems.
	10489	The Velmore Farm development would have significant traffic implications, the roundabout at Templars Way and School Lane is already constantly busy. Castle Lane and Templars Way are often at standstill as they are alternative routes when the M27/3 are busy.
	10494	This development would result in an extra 2000 vehicles trying to enter an overloaded Templars Way/School Lane junction
	10520	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will likely create significant traffic issues
	10546	Areas such as Templars Way, Asda roundabout and connecting roads to the M3 and M27 are already congested, and would need restructuring to accommodate more vehicles.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10547	The traffic around the Velmore Farm proposal is gridlock at peak times, it can queue from School Lane along Templars Way to junction 5 of the M27
	10559	A further 2000 vehicles on the road will greatly exacerbate the traffic problems experienced regularly in the area from Templars Way to the Asda roundabout.
	10563	The proposed development will cause more congestion on the roads in the local area.
	10590	The traffic down Templars Way and down to the M27 will become further congested as Templars Way is the sole proposed access to the proposed development.
	10601	The proposed development will have an adverse impact on existing traffic along Templars Way/School Lane and Castle Lane.
	10616	Templars Way and local roads will be severely impacted by an additional 2000 vehicles on the roads, especially as Templars Way and Castle Lane are diversion roads for the M3 and M27
	10636	The recent development at Stoneham has already had an effect on traffic and adding this development will exacerbate the problem
	10639	Opposed to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because The queues along Castle Lane and Templars Way cannot support further traffic from the new developments and the extra traffic from the proposed commercial development
	10650	Templars Way is already congested at peak periods
	10657	Castle Lane should be widened to ease the increased traffic flow from the Velmore Farm proposal
	10658	Castle Lane should be widened to ease the increased traffic flow from the Velmore Farm proposal
	10666	It seems there has been no independent impact assessment on the roads around Velmore Farm, traffic builds up along Templars Way. Will the plan allow for alternative routes into Southampton and Eastleigh?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10685	The proposed development will lead to an increase in traffic, having a negative impact on residents.
	10745	There is congestion with traffic around Velmore Farm
	10746	The roads around Velmore Farm experience congestion, traffic is seen at Asda roundabout, Templars Way, School Lane and backs up from the traffic lights at North Baddesley tailing back halfway to the lights at Raglan Close
	10784	Traffic is already high, this proposal is certain to cause congestion without undesirable road enhancements
	10804	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it will have a detrimental impact on local roads which are highly congested at peak times, daily queues form on Templars Way, Castle Lane and School Lane and impacted frequently when accidents occur on the M3/27
	10805	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm development as the increased traffic along Templars Way will cause huge problems with much larger tailbacks at peak times
	10855	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as traffic is already very busy at peak times, development will increase the volume and put pressure on highway maintenance
	10872	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the access on Templars Way will make an already busy road ridiculously congested, especially at rush hour
	10884	Traffic is already very congested between School Lane and M27/Eastleigh, from Templars Way to Bournemouth and turning right from Montgomery Way onto Templars Way. It would become impossible with the increased traffic.
	10888	The impact that the Velmore farm development will have on traffic at Templers Way, Castle Lane, Knightwood Road, Baddesley Road, Bournemouth and Chilworth roads needs to be fully assessed
	10904	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as how will the area cope with an extra 2000 cars and has not taken account the building of 300 homes at Hoe Lane and 1,100 homes at Whitenap?

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10977	It is already difficult to get out of Montgomery Way onto Templars Way, the addition of 2000 cars will make this nigh impossible
	10983	Against the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact of additional vehicles including on School Lane which is overloaded at busy weekday times
	11000	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as it would generate additional traffic at peak times, the Asda roundabout would be worse
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impediments to road access which could exacerbate traffic congestion, existing traffic numbers during peak teams should be considered
	11042	The Velmore Farm proposal would lead to a large increase in traffic with more congestion, there are queues at the roundabout in all directions
	11056 Chandler's Ford Parish Council	Were any development on Velmore Farm to be progressed we ask the following issue be addressed; the area experiences significant congestion at peak times, improvement to local transport infrastructure should be included as an integral part of any development
	11087	The turn from Templars Way onto Montgomery Way is very difficult to make due to traffic congestion.
	11092	Templar Way is a busy traffic route, often impacting schools, shops, businesses etc. The congestion will only become worse with the impact of the added volume of traffic from 1,070 homes on top of other developments in the area.
	11132	The plan should be reconsidered and priority given to mitigation of traffic congestion, safeguarding access to local health services and comprehensive infrastructure improvements that address current deficiencies and anticipated challenges
	11158	The speed of traffic in School Lane on the residential end is supposed to be 30mph but a lot of vehicles are going faster than that causing a danger to pedestrians and cyclists
	10148	Particular concerns would include; Templars Way, Asda roundabout and Chestnut Avenue to M27; School Lane (including accounting for traffic associated with School Lane Industrial Estate); Castle Lane to North Baddesley; Hiltingbury Road leading to M3; Bournemouth Road and Chilworth Road to Southampton.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10199	The Velmore Farm proposal would increase the traffic as all would be exiting into Templars Way/Castle Lane which are very busy at peak times
	10439	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the impact of additional traffic on Templers Way and the roundabout near ASDA
	10453	The proposal at Velmore farm will generate additional peak time traffic and traffic at Asda roundabout will be worse. There is no traffic mitigation obligation mentioned in the Local Plan.
	10479, 10480	Additional traffic from the proposed development will impact access routes to the motorway junctions for the M3 and M27.
	10487	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal on the grounds of the increase in road traffic and congestion
	10489	The Templars Way and School Lane junction is often crossed by families and children due to the nearby school/nursery, cars drive at speed round the bend from the roundabout which would become more dangerous with more traffic
	10513	Adding thousands more cars to already congested roads e.g. Templars Way, Castle Lane, Bournemouth Rd, Asda roundabout and Baddesley traffic lights, would cause further traffic problems and congestion.
	10578	There is consistent traffic from Asda through to North Baddesley due to the traffic light system - increased traffic from the proposed development will exacerbate this issue.
	10582	The proposed development will lead to a vast increase in traffic on roads such as Templars Way already struggling with congestion.
	10587	The proposed development will significantly increase the amount of traffic and compound issues along Templars Way and Chestnut Avenue from the M27 and Chandlers Ford Industrial Estate. Traffic also heavy up Knightwood Rd, Hiltingbury Rd & Bournemouth Rd joining the M3.
	10625	The impact of increased traffic from the proposed development has not been considered with the current traffic issues at peak times on Castle Lane, Templars Way, School Lane

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		and the ASDA roundabout, and when surrounding roads are used as diversions for the M3/M27.
	10626	The traffic on Templars Way will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10687	Considerably increased traffic movements on already busy roads such as Knighthood Rd and access roads such as School Lane will have a negative impact on existing and future residents.
	10746	The roads around Velmore Farm are a detour route for the M3 traffic
	10753	The proposed development will increase traffic on the roads by 2000 cars and roads such as Castle Lane and the junction at Botley Rd cannot cope with this amount of vehicles.
	10823	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as it would create extra traffic in an already busy area which becomes gridlocked at any incident locally or on the M3/27
	10849	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increased volume of traffic, Castle Lane and Templars Way can back up when traffic is diverted from the nearby motorways
	10866	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as road infrastructure is already unable to cope with the congestion
	10873	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the increase in traffic
	10887	The proposed development would cause further congestion on overburdened roads that would lead into the proposed development, and would also overburden the only diversionary route for when the M3/M27 has problems.
	10890	The proposed development would mean an additional 2000 vehicles onto already gridlocked roads such as Templars Way and Castle Lane, and when there is an issue on the M3 or M27.
	10898	The proposed development will greatly increase traffic density and congestion.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10911	The access points from the proposed development to the wider road network (Castle Lane to North Baddesley, School Lane to Selwood's Junction and along Templar's Way/Chestnut Avenue) are prone to traffic and this would be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10964	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the traffic in the area which can be brought to standstill when there are issues on the M27/3
	10974	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as without the correct infrastructure it will be impossible to drive in the area
	11000	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no traffic mitigation obligation mentioned in the plan
	11061	The proposal to build on Velmore farm will create additional traffic on the local roads that are already full
	11069	Opposed to the development of Velmore farm because it will exacerbate traffic delays at peak times and adversely impact the local road system
	11083	The plan needs to properly asses the impact on traffic of the additional homes especially at peak times, and take into account the 110 houses at Chestnut avenue in Eastleigh, the site for 300 homes at Hoe Lane and the future 1100 homes at Whitenap
	10148	Particular concerns include Knightwood Road leading to Baddesley Road - there are major amounts of pedestrians constantly crossing the road and its design encourages speeding (there is little or no enforcement of speeding).
	10433	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of traffic congestion at Templers Way, Castle Lane and Bournemouth road, ASDA roundabout and Baddesley traffic lights and Hiltingbury route out of Valley Park.
	10453	There will be knock-on effects at the motorway junctions for M3 and M27 and the routes to and from them.
	10479	The additional traffic will cause a significant increase in air quality and pollution.
	10480	The additional traffic will cause a significant increase in air quality and pollution.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10508	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact to the traffic along Templars Way and Castle Lane
	10537	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as Templars Way and Castle Lane are already congested and the development could result in 2000 more vehicles in the area
	10562	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to existing traffic seen along Templars Way and Castle Lane
	10634	The Asda car park is already congested with existing customers and vehicles and the development will make it worse
	10804	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the employment site will add massively to traffic volumes
	10824	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as traffic on Templars Way is always busy, surrounding roads would become gridlocked by the increase in traffic even more so in rush hour and when problems on nearby motorways occur triggering diversions
	10843	The roads around the Velmore Farm site are already very busy and when issues occur on the motorways traffic diverts along Templars Way/Castle Lane. Has an extra 1000+ cars been properly modelled for peak flows?
	10848	Strongly object the Velmore Farm proposal as Castle Lane and Knightwood Road are already very busy, adding at least 3000 cars will have a significant adverse impact on road condition and congestion
	10869	I agree with Valley Park Parish Councils response such as the issues on traffic impacts
	11000	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there will be knock-on effects for the M3/M27, Chandlers Ford and Eastleigh are already under considerable strain
	11136	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the increase in population would create traffic concerns on already heavily used roads
	10148	Particular concerns include on Baddesley Road - the Flexford Railway Bridge is historic and not built for the size, weight and number of vehicles using it, without adding to this.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10435	Object to the development of 1070 homes at Velmore Farm because of the traffic congestion on castle Lane to North Baddesley, more cars from this development will cause delays an accidents
	10506	Object to the main access point of the Velmore Farm proposal being Templars Way due to congestion here and along School Lane
	10534	Strongly oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as the road infrastructure is already heavily burdened, the increase in traffic would exacerbate the congestion and lead to potential safety hazards
	10629	The proposed development will put an additional 3000 vehicles on the local roads, having an adverse impact on the road condition and congestion.
	10631	Adjoining boroughs to the proposed development will feel the negative impact on traffic the strongest.
	10634	The new residents will need regular access to the M27 and M3 motorways and this will put further strain on traffic congestion already existing on Templars Way
	10677	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the impact on road traffic would be unacceptable given they are already stretched
	10702	The proposed development will lead to a significant increase in traffic.
	10707	Object to this development as the impact of extra traffic will be chaotic especially at busy times of 7:30am-9am and 4:30 to 6pm
	10709	The local roads already get gridlocked especially when there are problems on the local motorways resulting in delays lasting over half an hour
	10978	The Velmore Farm proposal would change the nature of the area with increased traffic density and congestion
	11048	There is already considerable traffic from Castle Lane, Templars Way and Eastleigh Airport - an additional 2000 cars is going to exacerbate this.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10148	Valley Park, through to North Baddesley, is the diversion route from M27 and M3. When there are accidents, traffic is diverted onto Templars Wat, Castle Lane and School Lane. This causes chaos and total gridlock - the proposal will exacerbate this.
	10469	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the increase in traffic will be a danger to pedestrians
	10479, 10480	There will be significant increases in noise pollution at peak traffic times.
	10509	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning as the traffic generated will bring the area to a standstill at peak times
	10583	The current traffic problems at peak times will get even worse with the increased traffic which would result from the proposed development.
	10589	The Bournemouth Rd and Hursley Rd roundabout already faces heavy congestion, an issue which would be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10612	Significant detrimental impact of 2000 or more vehicles onto Templars Way and all local roads, particularly at peak times. Homes being built at Hoe Lane and planned for Whitenap will greatly increase traffic movements on Templars Way and Castle Lane before adding the proposed allocation.
	10634	Channelling traffic flow via major roads such as A27 in Chilworth or Winchester Road, nr Village Hotel will exacerbate the traffic congestion on templars Way (map provided)
	10650	Velmore Farm as planned is unsustainable in transport terms and inconsistent with net zero, as such it will need to be abandoned or radically redesigned
	10699	Already congested roads will be further congested by an additional 2000 vehicles on the roads.
	10700, 10701	The main access point to the proposed development at Templars Way and School Lane, as well as surrounding roads, are significantly congested and issue would be exacerbated by additional traffic on the roads.
	10734	The roads around the School Lane roundabout are already very busy, often backed up with stationary traffic. It is difficult to turn into and out of Montgomery Way due to the volume of

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		traffic on Templars Way, this has become a dangerous junction with several accidents.  Traffic control measures allowing safe access will need to be considered.
	10847	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as additional traffic will have a severe negative impact on Templars Way, School Lane and Castle Lane it is already heavy at peak times and even more when there has been an accident on the M3/27
	10967	The Velmore Farm proposal is concerning due to the traffic which will become even more congested
	11055	Templars way is already heavily used for taking both lorry and car traffic to the industrial estate -there is no provision or review of the impact of additional traffic from this proposed development or other in progress developments
	11062	The roads around the Velmore Farm proposal are regularly congested and used as a diversion which can cause gridlock, adding 2000+ cars will negatively impact this
	11114	Theres already a lot of traffic on Castle Lane and more homes will exacerbate the situation
	10599	The proposed development would exacerbate traffic issues, especially with the proposed access at already congested Templars Way. The surrounding roads between Valley Park and the M27 i.e. Templars Way, ASDA roundabout, Chestnut Avenue, Stoneham Lane are also gridlocked.
	10612	Traffic impacts will need to be assessed and taken into account - this will need to include accounting for existing and planned developments as well as the allocation, and consider Templars Way, Castle Lane and all local roads.
	10836	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal as infrastructure is already extremely stretched such as, extensive traffic build up at peak times
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there are no indications in the plan to improve traffic flow or infrastructure in the area
	10861	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the impact in traffic, it would overwhelm the already congested roads. Templars Way and Castle Lane are used as a diversion route when issues occur on the M3/27

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10559	There is already considerable congestion at schools for pick up and drop off times - this issue will be exacerbated by the proposed development.
	10634	Due to existing high volumes of traffic, the residential roads ( Castle Lane, Montgomery Way, Calshot Drive)-marked in yellow on map provided-are usually used mainly by commuters as a 'rat-run' to avoid queuing via the main trunk roads (Bournemouth Road & Templars Way)-marked in pink on map provided. An additional 1070 dwellings will compound extensively- What measures will be put in place to avoid this happening?
	10668	The Velmore Farm proposal is disappointing as an additional 2000+ cars will have a major impact at peak times and when incidents occur on the M3/27, it can take up to 15 minutes to travel approximately 1000m. The increase in traffic and associated building works will impact the safety of pedestrians
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as the roads are already at capacity at peak times and queues are commonplace on School Lane, Templars Way, Asda roundabout, towards Eastleigh and the M27 through Valley Park to North Baddesley. There is heavy congestion when there are issues on the M3/27.
	10858	Object to Velmore Farm as it would overstretch traffic junctions
	10939	Heavy loads and lorries passing residential areas will be detrimental.
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	The development at Velmore Farm will have an impact of up to 2000+ vehicles onto Templars Way and this will lead to congestion at peak times
	10636	Templars Way and Castle Lane are already gridlocked at peak times because of local and through traffic to Southampton or Romsey related to the junctions with the M27 and M3.
	10845	Strongly object to the Velmore Farm proposal as there is no evidence the plan is taking into account the additional traffic from the developments at Hoe Lane and Whitenap
	11091	The impact of 2000+ vehicles onto Templars Way and local roads will have a significant detrimental impact, and the proposed 300 home development at Hoe Lane in North

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		Baddesley and the future development of 1,100 homes at Whitenap, Romsey would have to be considered in the Traffic Impact Assessment on the impact it would have on residents of Valley Park.
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	Request that Traffic assessments be conducted on all local highways taking into account extra vehicles from the Velmore Farm development, the development at Hoe Lane in North Baddesley, the Whitenap development in Romsey and the development at Chestnut Avenue in Eastleigh
	10175	Object to the proposal for development at Velmore farm because of traffic volumes and congestion as currently Templars Way cannot cope with existing traffic levels and traffic is often backed up from the corner of Castle Lane.
	10510	There will be more traffic and parking congestion as most residents on the proposed development will use cars, causing an additional 2000 cars on the roads.
	10988	The development at Velmore Farm will have an impact of up to 2000+ vehicles onto Templars Way and this will lead to congestion at peak times
	11091	The traffic from the proposed development would have a noted impact on surrounding roads e.g. Castle Lane, Knightwood Road, Baddesley Road and Templars Way and Castle Lane is a diversion for traffic from the M3 and M27.
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	The impact that the Velmore Farm development will have on traffic at Templars Way, Castle Lane, Knightwood Road, Baddesley Road, Bournemouth and Chilworth roads needs to be fully assessed
	10986	The development at Velmore Farm will have an impact of up to 2000+ vehicles onto Templars Way and this will lead to congestion at peak times
	10988	Valley Park Parish Council request that traffic assessments be conducted on all local highways taking into account extra vehicles from the developments at Velmore Farm, Hoe Lane in North Baddesley, Whitenap in Romsey and Chestnut Avenue in Eastleigh
	10004 Valley Park Parish Council	Templars Way and Castle Lane are both diversion routes for traffic from the M3 and M27 in the event of accidents.

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
	10901	Castle Lane, Templars Way, School Lane and Knightwood Road are already congested with traffic especially at peak times and the increase in cars from the Velmore Farm development will exacerbate traffic problems
	10986	Valley Park Parish Council request that Traffic assessments be conducted on all local highways taking into account extra vehicles from the Velmore Farm development, the development at Hoe Lane in North Baddesley, the Whitenap development in Romsey and the development at Chestnut Avenue in Eastleigh
	10988	The impact that the Velmore Farm development will have on traffic at Templers Way, Castle Lane, Knightwood Road, Baddesley Road, Bournemouth and Chilworth roads needs to be fully assessed
	10901	Increased traffic from residents of Velmore Farm will increase emissions and reduce the ability of commuters to travel efficiently
	10986	The impact that the Velmore farm development will have on traffic at Templers Way, Castle Lane, Knightwood Road, Baddesley Road, Bournemouth and Chilworth roads needs to be fully assessed
	10988	Templars Way and castle Lane are both diversion routes for traffic from the M3 and M27 in the event of accidents.
	10986	Templars Way and Castle Lane are both diversion routes for traffic from the M3 and M27 in the event of accidents.
	10659	The local roads cannot cope with the existing volume of traffic and Castle Lane is an accident hot spot and has wear and tear that will be worsened with building and construction traffic being used to access the new site
	10798 Barratt David Wilson Homes	Intend to discuss potential traffic calming measures with Hampshire County Council as the relevant highways authority in relation to Castle Lane
	10455	The proposed area of development has a number of business parks adjacent to it around the Asda roundabout at the north east corner and along school lane at the northwest corner

Matter	Respondent ID	Comment
		of the site that contribute to the congestion of traffic and traffic from the new development will make it worse.
	10455	Chandlers Ford already experiences traffic congestion frequently as it is used as a diversion when there are issues on the M3 and M27 motorways and traffic from the new development will make it worse.
Trees	11054	Opposed to the development of Velmore Farm as There seems to be no account of the (potentially) ancient and veteran trees along the path up to Chilworth Arms, the northern section will be right on the boundary of the site.
	11017	Tree management has been ignored, showing that resources are already stretched and will be further stretched by the proposed development.
	11085	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the demolition of mature trees
	11002	Object to the Velmore Farm proposal due to the effects on the health and preservation of trees, possibly contravening TPOs
Unsustainable location	10861	Oppose the Velmore Farm proposal due to the unsustainable location, if TVBC are serious about delivering a net carbon future and its commitments to minimise greenhouse gas emissions this site should not go ahead

