

CHARLTON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN 2019 to 2029

Submission Draft

dated January 2020

 $\label{thm:conjunction} Under taken by the {\it Charlton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group in conjunction with Bluestone Planning LLP}$

Contents

ACKNOWLE	DGEMENTS

1.0	Foreword	5
2.0 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. 2.4.	Introduction & Background Methodology Overview of Land Use Issues Non-Land Use Issues Basic Conditions Consultation and Referendum	6
3.0 3.1.	Statutory Statement Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitat Regulations Assessment	10
4.0	About the Parish	11
4.1. 4.2.	Historic Development Current Situation	
5.0	The Context of this Plan's Policies	14
	Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan DPD - 2011 – 2029	
6.0	Key Findings	15
7.0	Vision and Objectives	16
8.0	Land Use Policies	18
8.1. 8.2. 8.3. 8.4.	Spatial Strategy HOUSING Housing Requirements Site Assessment Local Housing Needs	20
8.5. 8.6. 8.7. 8.8. 8.9. 8.10	NATURAL ENVIRONMENT Environmental Policy Landscape Character Setting of The Local Gap Important Views Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure Public Rights of Way	29
8.11	Nature Conservation	
8.12	Charlton Lakes	
8.13	Local Green Spaces	

8.14 Charlton Leisure Centre and Sports Ground

FLOODING AND DRAINAGE

8.15.	Flooding and Drainage Issues	
	BUILT ENVIRONMENT	50
8.16.	Design	
8.17.	Sustainable Construction and Infrastructure	
8.18.	Heritage	
8.19.	Site of Foxcott(e) Deserted Medieval Village	
	COMMUNITY	60
8.20.	Community Facilities	
	LOCAL BUSINESS AND EMPLOYMENT	64
8.21.	Local Shops and Businesses	
	TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS	67
8.22.	Access and Road Safety	
	—	
9.0	Development Delivery	69
	Appendices	69 72
	·	
	Appendices	
	Appendices Appendix 1 Neighbourhood Plan Evidence	
	Appendices Appendix 1 Neighbourhood Plan Evidence Base Appendix 2 Non-Land Use Community	
	Appendices Appendix 1 Neighbourhood Plan Evidence Base Appendix 2 Non-Land Use Community Aspirations Appendix 3 Key Infrastructure	

List of Neighbourhood Plan Policies

CNP1 Settlement Boundary/ Built up

Area CNP2 Land to the North of

Goch Way CNP3 Housing Mix

CNP4 Retirement and Nursing

CNP5 Landscape Character and

setting CNP6 Setting of the Local

Gap

CNP7 Important Views

CNP8 Maintaining and Enhancing Green Spaces and Green

Corridors CNP9 Public Rights of Way

CNP10 Biodiversity and Enhancement of The Natural

Environment CNP11 Charlton Lakes

CNP12 Local Green Space

CNP13 Flooding and

Drainage CNP14 Design

CNP15 Sustainable Construction and Future Infrastructure

Provision CNP16 The Historic Environment

CNP17 Community and Sports facilities – retention and

enhancement CNP18 Retention of local shops and

businesses

CNP19 Employment

CNP20 Access and Road Safety

CNP21 Delivery and Pre-Application engagement on major development proposals

Acknowledgements

The Charlton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group would like to thank the residents of the Parish of Charlton for their support in the neighbourhood plan process, local businesses who have provided invaluable feedback at key stages and the Test Valley Borough Council and Hampshire County Council for their support and guidance in developing the neighbourhood plan.

The Parish of Charlton Neighbourhood Plan (CNP) Steering Group would like to thank the community as a whole for their engagement in the Plan process.

Having been in preparation for 4 years now, with the area designation application having been submitted in March 2014, a great many Parish residents, businesses and organisations have contributed to the Plan process in the intervening period, whether directly or indirectly. As a consequence, we consider it appropriate to highlight some of those that have contributed for particular thanks.

The Steering Group would like to thank the Test Valley Borough Council for their invaluable support and guidance in developing the CNP since the Plan's inception. We would also like to thank Bluestone Planning as they have ensured that the Plan is compliant and the policies robust.

The Parish's community groups and businesses. have provided invaluable feedback on the Parish's community assets and how to support them, and this too will be used to frame future policies designed to support & sustain these assets in the years to come.

Finally, we would like to give our thanks to all the residents that have diligently participated in the surveys and consultation events we have conducted during the Plan process. I am sure that there were numerous occasions where residents thought 'do I really need to get involved' but we are grateful that you did, as these events were necessary to ensure that we had a Plan that represented our community views and not just the work of the Steering Group.

To all that have been involved in the process, thank you for your efforts, they have been much appreciated.

Chair, CNP Steering Group

Steering Group Members

Clive Ward, Barry Hodgson, Jacqueline Smith, Daniel Rawlingson, Mike Lower, Terry Milne

1. FOREWORD

On behalf of Charlton Parish Council, I am delighted to present the Charlton Parish Neighbourhood Plan (NP). This NP has been prepared for Submission consultation under Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (As Amended).

It has been produced by a Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group made up of local residents and Parish councillors with widespread consultation leading to the delivery of this NP.

Since 2015, public meetings and surveys have taken place to seek the views of local residents, businesses and other stakeholders who share an interest in our neighbourhood area. Everyone involved in developing the NP has listened carefully to all the feedback received through these consultations and has worked hard to ensure that the NP incorporates and reflects the views of the community.

The aim of preparing this NP is to preserve this area's rural feel for generations to come. We are proud of our village and our community spirit and wish to protect this for current and future parishioners.

The NP sets out a vision for the Parish up to 2031 and beyond that reflects the thoughts and feelings of local people with a real interest in the community. It sets objectives on key identified themes such as protecting the built and natural environment, housing and design, infrastructure, getting around the parish and community and wellbeing.

This Submission Draft NP is a great achievement and I would like to thank all those from the Steering Group in getting it to this first stage of formal consultation. I would also like to thank all those who live and work in the Parish who have played their part so far in shaping and supporting our NP. I would encourage everyone to respond to this consultation and give their views on this NP which will shape and manage change in the Parish for many years to come.

Jacqueline Smith

Chair of Charlton Parish Council

2. INTRODUCTION & BACKGROUND

2.1 The Localism Act 2011, supplemented by the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012, introduced Neighbourhood Planning into the spatial planning hierarchy of England, giving communities the right to influence future development at local level. Once approved at a referendum, a neighbourhood plan becomes a statutory part of the development plan for the area and will carry significant weight when determining how planning applications are decided.

Designation

2.2 On 20th March 2014 Charlton Parish Council made formal application to the local authority, Test Valley Borough Council (TVBC), to designate an area corresponding to the Parish boundary as a Neighbourhood Area. Approval for such a designation was granted on-8th August 2014 16th September 2016, at which point the area within the Parish boundary formally became The Parish of Charlton Neighbourhood Plan (CNP) Area - see Neighbourhood Plan Designation Area below. The parish boundary changed in May 2019 as part of a boundary review and the designated area was formally amended in January 2020.




FIG 1 - Neighbourhood Plan Designated Area - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

- 2.3 The Parish Council then set up the Charlton Parish Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (hereafter referred to as the Steering Group) and this group was charged with preparing the Neighbourhood Plan that would lead development in the parish through to 2029. The Steering Group was fully constituted, given clear terms of reference and comprised a balance of parish councillors and residents who volunteered for this important task. The Steering Group, working with outside advisers, held regular and frequent meetings and consulted with residents, with the initial objective of confirming a Vision Statement for the parish for the period of the Neighbourhood Plan.
- 2.4 The Vision Statement is set out in Section 7 of this plan. There were many considerations for the Steering Group in drawing the plan together, arguably the most important being housing. The Test Valley Adopted Local Plan 2011-2029 (TVBRLP) identifies some sites within Charlton that may be suitable for housing development. The Strategic Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (SHELAA) acknowledges these sites but records them as 'requiring a change in planning policy before development'. The policies in this plan (CNP):
 - a. support a modest increase in low density housing with an emphasis on young families and older persons to reflect housing requirements
 - b. retain the rural character of the village and protect existing landscape and natural features
 - c. allow easy access to village amenities
 - d. maintain the existing 'green gap' to the west that is so important to many residents both in Charlton and the neighbouring parish of the Pentons
 - e. offer local businesses the support they need to thrive
 - f. designate Local Green Spaces that have been identified as particularly special and significant to the parish's population, in order to preserve them for future generations
 - g. seek to protect and enhance important community assets so that they remain available to the community.
- 2.5 The CNP will form a significant part of the planning process be part of the development plan and -sets out the local planning policies for the parishPlan area over the next 15 years. When considering planning applications, all relevant planning authorities, but especially Test Valley Borough Council and Hampshire County Council, will need to take full account of these policies.

Methodology

- 2.6 The methodology used to develop the CNP, and supported throughout by stakeholder engagements and consultations, was as follows:
 - a. Identify land use planning issues.
 - b. Determine Vision and supporting Objectives.
 - c. Group the Objectives into topic areas and allocate to Focus Groups (FG).
 - FG gather evidence and draft policies to meet Objectives, and consider options for addressing each issue.
 - e. Draft Neighbourhood Plan to include all topic areas and draft policies.
 - f. SG review and rationalise policies to identify overlaps and gaps.

Comment [SH1]: This does not tally with the date range on the front cover of 2019 – 2029.

Overview of Land Use Issues

- 2.7 Through early community surveys the broad issues that were identified by residents of the Parish-Plan Area included: the design of any new development and compatibility with local character; the importance of protecting the heritage assets and green spaces in the Parish; the role that the setting of the settlement plays in defining the character and the gaps between the settlements; the scale of future development; accessibility within the Parish; the importance of public transport connectivity; availability of off-road parking and finally the maintenance and enhancement of the social and economic vitality of the Parish.
- 2.8 The results of this activity enabled the Steering Group to draw up a Vision and Objectives document that sought to represent the views being expressed. The veracity of the Vision and Objectives was tested and demonstrated by a survey that was the culmination of the preceding consultations see the separate Consultation Document that accompanies this Neighbourhood Plan. The completion of the Vision and Objectives survey paved the way for the plan-writing process to begin, the results of which are set out in the remainder of this Neighbourhood Plan.

Non-Land Use Issues

2.9 Neighbourhood Plans must only contain land use planning policies to be used in determining the outcome of applications for development. This often means that important issues of particular interest to the local community that do not relate directly to planning, cannot be addressed by Neighbourhood Plans. Nevertheless, the Steering Group is aware of the importance local residents attach to certain issues that fall outside of the scope of the CNP, and has sought to address these in this Neighbourhood Plan by including relevant 'Community Aspirations' with the aim of pursuing these by other means (see Appendix 2 to this Neighbourhood Plan).

Basic Conditions

- 2.10 In writing the CNP the Steering Group was aware that it needed to meet a number of Basic Conditions. These are defined in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended), namely:
 - a. Is it appropriate to make the CNP having regard to national policy and advice?
 - b. Is the CNP in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area?
 - c. Does the CNP contribute to the achievement of sustainable development?
 - d. Is the making of the CNP likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects?
 - e. Is the making of the CNP compatible with EU obligations?
- 2.11 In relation to this latter Basic Condition, several European directives are relevant including those that deal with the Strategic Environmental Assessment of plans, the conservation of natural habitats and the conservation of wild birds. Additional directives can also be relevant depending upon the issues that may arise as a result of the process. These might include the waste, air quality and water framework directives. Equality is a further facet of this which

the CNP seeks to deal with in the Equality Statement that supports this Plan. Further details are also contained in the Basic Conditions Statement that accompanies this CNP.

Consultation and Referendum

- 2. 12 The CNP must demonstrate that residents were properly consulted and given every opportunity to comment at each of the stages that the draft CNP has been through. To this end the Steering Group has produced a Consultation Statement that describes each of the consultation events and exercises that has been undertaken, both formally and informally, throughout the process, in order to engage people in the making of the CNP.
- 2.13 There have been a number of steps in the process of consultation with the community and key stakeholders to get to the current stage.




FIG 2 - Neighbourhood Plan Process Diagram

- 2. 14 Once the CNP has been examined and any modifications made, the final stage in this process of consultation with the local community will be a local referendum, to be conducted by the Borough Council. All residents of the Parish over 18 years of age will be entitled to vote in the referendum and each vote will carry equal weight.
- 2.15 If the CNP is approved by more than 50% of those voting in the referendum, it will then be 'made' and, together with the TVBC Local Plan 2029, it will become a key document in the planning process (i.e. it will form a part of the Development Plan) when assessing the suitability of any proposed new development within the ParishPlan Area.

Comment [SH2]: This is useful in the consultation document, but will not be needed in the final document

2.16 The reason this is so important is that UK planning legislation makes clear that every planning application or appeal that is submitted must be determined in accordance with the policies in the Development Plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

3. Statutory Statement

Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitat Regulations Assessment

- 3.1 On 29 April 19 TVBC undertook consultation on Screening Opinion for SA/SEA for the Charlton Neighbourhood Plan to clarify whether, under EU Directive 42/2001 and the 2004 Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations, a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the draft Neighbourhood Plan was required.
- 3.2 The Strategic Environmental Assessment screening opinion was received on 25th October 2019. It states that:

"Natural England, Environment Agency and Historic England, as the statutory consultation bodies for the Regulations, were consulted on this SEA screening request. The consultation started on 29th April 2019 for a five-week period, ending on 3rd June 2019.

Having reviewed the letter and associated report provided, the consultation response from Natural England indicates that having regard to the matters within their remit, the proposed Neighbourhood Plan would not be likely to have significant environmental effects.

The Council has had due regard to the statutory consultee responses and the criteria within Schedule 1 of the Regulations in coming to a view on whether the proposed Neighbourhood Plan is likely to have significant environmental effects. Based on the summary of the proposed Neighbourhood Plan (as provided within the supporting report), it is the Council's opinion that the Plan would not be likely to have significant environmental effects. On this basis, a Strategic Environmental Assessment would not be required for the proposed Charlton Neighbourhood Plan."

4. About the Parish

Historic Development

4.1 Charlton is a small village situated to the northwest of Andover. Whilst the built form of Charlton remained static as a linear form until the 1950s, Andover grew considerably. In particular the Portway Industrial Estate contributed to a creeping coalescence between the two settlements. During the 1960s, Charlton saw extensive residential development radiating out from its original north/south linear form. Development to the west, resulted in coalescence with the hamlet of Foxcotte. Foxcotte was a separate settlement to the northwest of Charlton, largely consisting of a chapel and farm buildings. The original chapel dating back to at least Norman times was replaced by a substantial Victorian chapel in the mid-19th century. This chapel was demolished in 1908 with the stonework being transported by traction engine to be used in the building of St Thomas' Church in the centre of Charlton village. The tower of the Victorian chapel was retained as a mortuary chapel and subsequently converted into a dwelling in the 1970s.





FIG 3 - 1896

FIG 4 - 1960

Current Situation

4.2 The parish today is a semi-rural area, with most of the population concentrated in the village itself which is situated to the south- east of the Parish. The remaining area is largely dominated by farmland with some sporadic housing in this location.




FIG 5 - The Parish of Charlton in wider context

Comment [SH3]: This does not show the correct parish boundary. All the maps above need the OS number added to them.




FIG 6 - Current aerial view of the parish (outlined in red with adjacent parishes outlined in blue. The May 2019 boundary re- alignment is also shown)

4.1.1 The profile for Charlton, produced by TVBC, sets out the following factors which are essential for understanding the current context and informing the future development of Charlton.

4.3.1 <u>Demographic details</u>

- a. As of 2015 the estimated population was 1,901. This is estimated to reduce to 1,849 by 2020.
- b. The population is ageing which has implications for healthcare and other facilities/services
- c. 17.6% of the population is 0-19, lower than borough average (22.8%).
- d. 19.6% of the population is 20-39, lower than borough average (21.6%).
- e. 37.3% of the population is 40-64, higher than borough average (34.4%).
- f. 25.6% of the population is 65 and over, higher than borough average (21.2%). By 2020 this percentage is forecast to increase to 28.7%.
- g. In terms of deprivation¹ (as measured by the English Index of Multiple Deprivation 2015), Charlton is ranked 31,526 of the 32,844 ranked areas in the country (1 being most deprived). It falls into the top 10% of least deprived areas in the country and is one of the least deprived areas in Test Valley.

Comment [SH4]: This does not show the correct parish boundary. The OS number and copyright is too small and illegible.

¹ Deprivation covers: Income, employment, health, education, barriers to housing & services, crime and living environment.

4.3.2 Education

- a. The population is generally well educated but residents tend to be educated to a lower level than the average for the Test Valley.
- b. 81.3% of the population has at least one qualification (equivalent of 1 or more GCSEs) just below borough average of 81.6%.
- c. 26.1% of residents have a level 4/5 qualification (degree, higher degree, etc), this is lower than borough average of 30.5% indicating residents of Charlton are often educated to a lower level than other parts of the borough.
- d. 18.7% of the population has no qualification, which is higher than the average for the borough of 18.4%.

4.3.3 Employment

- a. 72.7% of Charlton residents are economically active (people who are both employed and actively seeking employment).
- b. 1.8% of economically active are unemployed, lower than Test Valley average (2.5%) and average for England and Wales (4.4%).
- c. 27.3% of population are economically inactive (retirees, students, long term sick etc.), higher than Test Valley average (26.6%), but lower than national average (30.3%).
- d. Most are employed in administrative and secretarial jobs (16.5%), higher than the Borough average and for England and Wales . Professional occupations are 15.6% of the population, which is lower than the 18.2% of the population within these roles.

4.3.4 Health

a. 46.4% of residents in Charlton said they felt that they were in very good health. This is lower than the average for both the Borough and the average for England. However, only 4.3% of the population rated their health as bad or very bad, which is lower than the Borough and England and Wales average.

4.3.5 Environment

- a. Charlton has slightly below the required amount of public open space. However, Charlton
 has a good quality environment and is ranked near the top of areas in the Borough in
 terms of quality of living environment.
- b. There is one small Site of Importance for Nature Conservation, Charlton Lakeside.
- c. Charlton has more than the required amount of parkland, but is under the requirement for other types of open space (i.e. children's play space, parkland, informal open space etc this does not include the leisure centre as the provision is for the entire Andover area).

5. The Context of this Plan's Policies

- 5.1 The Borough Council (TVBC) adopted its Local Plan Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan DPD—2011 2029 (TVBRLP) on 27th January 2016, and this contains planning policies and proposals that are helping to shape the strategy and policies of the CNP the paragraphs below refer.
- 5.2 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) published by the Government in 2018 is also an important guide in the preparation of local plans and neighbourhood plans, and the CNP must demonstrate that it is consistent with the provisions of the NPPF.
- 5.3 The CNP must be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the development plan as required by the 2012 Neighbourhood Plan Regulations. Putting this in a local context, TVBRLP contains the strategic policies with which the CNP should conform.

Test Valley Borough Revised Local Plan DPD - 2011 - 2029

- 5.4 TVBRLP was adopted by TVBC in January 2016 and while dDue regard has been given to all policies, those strategic policies considered most relevant to the CNP include the following:
 - a. Policy SD1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development
 - b. Policy COM1: Housing Provision 2011 2029
 - c. Policy COM2: Settlement Hierarchy
 - d. Policy COM7: Affordable Housing
 - e. Policy COM8: Rural Exception Affordable Housing
 - f. Policy COM14: Community Services & Facilities
 - g. Policy COM15: Infrastructure
 - h. Policy LE10: Retention of employment land and strategic employment sites
 - i. Policy E1: High Quality Development in the Borough
 - j. Policy E2: Protect, Conserve and Enhance the Landscape Character of the Borough
 - k. Policy E3: Local Gap
 - I. Policy E5: Biodiversity
 - m. Policy E6: Green Infrastructure
 - n. Policy E7: Water Management
 - o. Policy E9: Heritage
 - p. Policy LHW1: Public Open Space
 - q. Policy T1: Managing Movement
 - r. Policy T2: Parking Standard

6. Key Findings

- 6.1 The key points from a parish survey carried out in 2015 and from further engagements as part of the NP work are:
 - a. 91% of respondents consider Charlton to be a village.
 - b. 94% of respondents to the 2015 survey considered 100 to 250 new homes to be the maximum Charlton can absorb. Taking into account the subsequent Goch Way development in 2017, this figure has been revised down during more recent consultations to around 50 homes.
 - c. 84% of respondents would like the Green Gap around Charlton preserved.
 - d. 90% of respondents are concerned about excessive traffic speed with 87% concerned about heavy vehicles driving through the village and 80% worried about peak time congestion.
 - e. 42% say crossing roads in Charlton is safe and easy with 44% say crossing roads in Charlton is dangerous.
 - f. 85% of respondents have 1 or 2 motorised vehicles. Interest in Car Sharing is 4%.
 - g. 65% of respondents use the footpaths around and through Charlton frequently. More cycle paths are not considered necessary with current paths used weekly/occasionally.
 - h. 54%² of respondents would like a Village/ Community Hall.
 - The majority of responses consider the local shops, facilities and recycling centre to be sufficient for local needs.
- 6.2 This has led to a number of key themes for the NP:
 - a. Maintaining Charlton as a 'village'; not allowing it to become a 'suburb of Andover'.
 - Providing sufficient and affordable housing to meet local Charlton needs. To secure an appropriate range and mix of homes for specific groups in the community including older people, those with special needs, younger or first-time buyers.
 - c. Traffic management to improve safety and noise/pollution.
 - Influencing the maintenance and ongoing development of Charlton Leisure Park in conjunction with TVBC.
 - e. Retaining green spaces within the village, to provide for local recreation and promote biodiversity in wildlife habitats and not to develop all spaces preserve the fields and the views in north of the village.
 - f. Protecting and enhancing the trees and landscape; enhancing the bankside and accessibility of the tributaries of the River Anton at all points within the village.
 - g. Heritage acknowledge and preserve listed buildings and historic features such as the Ancient Burial Ground and Footpath 743 (aka the Coffin Route).
 - h. Scope and implement a Village/Community Hall.

 $^{^2}$ Support for a Community/Village Hall has strengthened in recent consultations and ~85% of respondents are in favour of Charlton having a hall to complement the Church facility.

7. Vision and Objectives

Visio

n

Charlton Village has a distinct sense of identity as a village community. It wants to preserve this while evolving in such a way that it meets the developing needs of its residents – young, old and

Vision and Objectives Adopted by Charlton Parish Council May 2018

Objectives

- 7.1 The Parish Council and this Neighbourhood Plan aim to achieve this vision by:
 - 1. A continuing programme of community consultation and engagement to enable residents' views to influence the evolution of the village.
 - 2. Developing and promoting policies that enhance the village environment its housing and commercial properties, its green spaces, and its network of footpaths and cycle paths and maintaining them in a well-kept and safe state.
 - 3. Encouraging the development and redevelopment of properties within the settlement/parish boundaryPlan Area which is sympathetic to the evolving character of the village.
 - 4. Ensuring that development and redevelopment is sustainable, respects the needs of residents and includes the appropriate infrastructure improvements to support any proposed changes (e.g. medical, roads, transport).
 - 5. Preserving the historic sites assets in the Plan Area (recognised by English-Heritage as of national importance) of Charlton Village with Foxcotte and the character of these sites, in particular the ancient burial ground.
 - 6. Resisting the urbanisation of the village environment
 - 6.7. and eEnhancement of the rural landscape.
 - 7-8. Promoting biodiversity in its wildlife habitats.
 - 8-9. Ensuring that Test Valley Borough Council and Hampshire County Council is apprised of the views of residents on matters not within the ambit of the Neighbourhood Plan itself, such as traffic, education and social policies.

Comment [SH5]: This does not need to be in the objective, but should be within a policy or the supporting text.

Environment and Sustainability Objectives

- 7.2. Within the timeframe of the Neighbourhood Plan has a limited plan period (although it is hoped that policies will roll forward with future revisions), however within that timeframe, many changes are expected to impact our lives. A number of emerging technologies look set to make considerable changes which would impact on air quality, levels of pollution, and create more opportunities for sustainable living.
- 7.3. Charlton rR esidents, as evidenced by the survey, appreciate the rural nature of the parishPlan Area, the lakes, and our natural resources. It is considered from survey and consultation feedback that most will sign up to supporting measures for a cleaner environment, and improved natural habitats.

Comment [SH6]: It is unclear as to the purpose of this section in relation to the objectives above.

- 7.4. Such aspirations can only be achieved if people are prepared to alter current unsustainable travel behaviours. Whilst many of these principles cannot be built into land use planning policies, it is intended that the objectives will be carried through where possible and remaining issues dealt with by community aspirations.
- 7.5. Energy Many public organisations and institutions across the country have invested in some form of district energy production. Such schemes can provide electricity and heating across a local geographic area, produce energy that is more sustainable and ecologically sound than that provided by the National Grid, and at a considerable cost saving. The Borough as a whole has significant amount of solar farm development for example and other renewable energy proposals are likely to come forward. It will be important to monitor developments in district energy provision and in a future iteration of the Neighbourhood Plan this might figure as a more immediate project.
- 7.6. Sustainability This is a cornerstone of both national and local planning policy and in a rural setting also provides one of the key yardsticks to measuring where development should and should not occur.
- 7.7. This Plan has considered this issue very carefully and the policies within it are designed to respond to the drive for more sustainable and also accessible housing development.
- 7.8. **Air Quality** Small changes can make significant gains in the improvement of air quality. Such measures can include white gates at the entrance to the village, as these are a cheap, easy, and effective way of reducing emissions and improving safety by promoting the reduction of vehicle speeds in and around the parish.
- 7.9. Electric vehicles will become commonplace over the next 15 years. Some studies predict fossil- fuelled vehicles will become a small minority in this timescale.
- 7.10. Self-driving electric cars will offer life-changing options, especially for people with limited mobility.
- 7.11. It is not the intention of the Parish Council to provide public charging points at this stage. But future reviews of the Plan will review the demand and potential locations within the parishPlan Area if needed.
- 7.12. In consideration of the above, the policies have been developed to incorporate and address the key areas of concern.

8. Land Use Policies

8.1. Spatial Strategy

- 8.1.1. The parish-Plan Area is adjacent to Andover and is shown in the Local Plan as an Andover ward. This leads to a conflict with residents as to the village identity. The residents feel strongly that the identity of Charlton as a village has been eroded over the years due to its proximity to Andover. There is concern that the village will be subsumed in the future and the village will be lost. Therefore, the community wishes to make a clear distinction between the built-up area of the village and Andover and determine a new settlement boundary.
- 8.1.2. The current Local Plan shows a settlement boundary with no delineation between settlements and records the open space around Charlton Lakes as being within the urban-settlement areaboundary. Further, the Local Plan pre-dates the housing development at Goch Way and therefore such a significant development should logically be included within the built-up areasettlement boundary for the village.
- 8.1.3. A revised settlement boundary has therefore been shown overlaid on the proposal map below (see area outlined in dashed red line). The Goch Way site has been shown washed over in yellow to indicate the built-up area. The Charlton Lakes area, Charlie's Lake and the sports ground playing fields remain within the settlement boundary.




FIG 7 - Map of revised settlement boundary overlaid onto Adopted Local Plan map

Comment [SH7]: There is no purpose to the revised settlement area as shown by the dotted line. This approach is not supported by the Borough Council as it serves no purpose and is confusing. The settlement boundary is established in the Local Plan, and the mechanism for separating the boundary would be through the Local Plan review.

Comment [SH8]: It would be helpful if the new allocated site CHA1 was shown on the map as an extension to the settlement boundary.
They key on the map has some layers that are not shown on the map.
The OS licence number needs to be added to the map.

- 8.1.4. The <u>Built-up AreaSettlement Area</u> Boundary and related policies provide the basis for development management decisions to:
 - a. define those areas within which planning permission will normally be granted for new development, subject to other planning policies. This does not preclude all types of development and allows development requiring a countryside location.
 - b. ensure new development is sustainable
 - c. enable the best use to be made of existing and future services
 - d. provide a useful tool to protect and preserve Charlton's important and sensitive setting by protecting the surrounding countryside from inappropriate development
- 8.1.5. Development which consolidates the built form of the village within the settlement boundary and is in accordance with all the relevant policies will be supported and encouraged. Whereas proposals for inappropriate development distributed in the countryside surrounding the village, and not in line with relevant policies will not be supported.

Policy text: 1. Within the settlement boundary as identified in-Figure 7, development will be permitted subject to other policies of the development plan. 2. Development in the plan area outside the settlement policy boundary will be permitted only if: a. it is allocated within the Adopted Local Plan b.a. there is a genuine and proven need for a countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Objectives 3 & 6	Policy title:	CNP1 Settlement Boundary/Built up Area
Figure 7, development will be permitted subject to other policies of the development plan. 2. Development in the plan area outside the settlement policy boundary will be permitted only if: a. it is allocated within the Adopted Local Plan b.a. there is a genuine and proven need for a countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
ether policies of the development plan. 2. Development in the plan area outside the settlement policy boundary will be permitted only if: a. it is allocated within the Adopted Local Plan b.a. there is a genuine and proven need for a countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. Justification from Evidence Base The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6	Policy text:	
2. Development in the plan area outside the settlement policy boundary will be permitted only if: a. it is allocated within the Adopted Local Plan b.a. there is a genuine and proven need for a countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
policy boundary will be permitted only if: a. it is allocated within the Adopted Local Plan b.a. there is a genuine and proven need for a countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council- 12680/neighbourhood- plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		other policies of the development plan.
A. it is allocated within the Adopted Local Plan b.a. there is a genuine and proven need for a countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. Justification from Evidence Base The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council- 12680/neighbourhood- plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		2. Development in the plan area outside the settlement
Justification from Evidence Base The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		policy boundary will be permitted only if:
Countryside location in accordance with countryside policies of the NPPF and the Development Plan or is allocated for residential development in accordance with the Local Plan. The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
Justification from Evidence Base The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
Justification from Evidence Base The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
Justification from Evidence Base The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council- 12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
from Evidence Base individual settlement boundary is proposed. It has been revised to take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		the Local Flan.
take into account of the new Goch Way development. Whilst this could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		The parish is to be considered separately to Andover and an
could be considered to be a minor conflict with the adopted Local Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
Plan. The emerging Local Plan 2036 will have the settlement boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6	Base	
boundary redrawn to include the new built up area. See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
See Housing Needs Assessment https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council- 12680/neighbourhood- plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		5 5
https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council- 12680/neighbourhood- plan/ Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		boundary redrawn to include the new built up area.
Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118, Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		12680/neighbourhood- plan/
Local Plan Policy References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		N
References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6	Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118,
References COM2 Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		Local Plan Policy
Policy Intention Objectives 3 & 6		
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Policy Intention	
	,	

Comment [SH9]: This policy repeats Local Plan Policy COM2 and is not required in this plan.

HOUSING

8.2 Housing Requirements

- 8.2.1. The housing requirement for the Borough contained in the TVBRLP (2011-2029) is a minimum of 10,584 homes. The Local Plan does not provide a specific housing needs figure for Charlton. However, the Settlement Hierarchy set out in Policy COM2 classifies Charlton as a key service centre³, and given this categorisation Charlton might be expected to support strategic development allocations. The policy allows for strategic allocations, windfalls, replacement dwellings and community-led developments. The Local Plan does not set out any strategic allocations for Charlton.
- 8.2.2. A Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) was commissioned and produced by AECOM. The report uses a four-step approach in accordance with the latest National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and Planning Practice Guidance (PPG). This sets out that the indicative figure for a neighbourhood area "should take into account factors such as the latest evidence of local housing need, the population of the neighbourhood area and the most recently available planning strategy of the planning authority." This results in a housing needs figure of 16 dwellings per annum or 292 dwellings over the Neighbourhood Plan period.
- 8.2.3. From this, the past completions between 2011 and 2017 need to be deducted, which with the Goch Way site and other developments would be reduced to 200 dwellings in the ParishPlan Area over the remaining Local Plan period. Clearly, as a settlement with only 853 dwellings, a 34% increase in housing would be significant change within this short Plan period. This is in stark contrast to the majority of villages of this size, where a 5-10% increase is more normal.
- 8.2.4. AECOM also recommended that the parish discuss the contents and conclusions of their report with TVBC with a view to agreeing and formulating draft housing policies. It acknowledges that a final figure should include the views of TVBC, local residents, relevant stakeholders, site constraints and capacity (as identified in the SHLAA/SHELAA see below for more details). Further consideration has been given to the figure in view of this recommendation.
- 8.2.5. The community wishes to remain a village and not be part of Andover. Charlton's proximity to Andover drives its classification as a Key Service Centre in the Local Plan. But this is illusory and the settlement itself is very much characterised as a rural village with only limited facilities in the village centre.
- 8.2.6. In community consultation events and the Parish survey, residents generally support positive development within the ParishPlan Area. The original survey concluded that 100 to 250 new homes should be the maximum over the next 20 years. More recent public engagements, have taken the Goch Way development (which is near completion) into account to leave a remaining figure of around 50 new homes over the period of the Plan.

8.3. Site Assessment

8.3.1. An assessment has been undertaken of each of the potential development sites across the ParishPlan Area and is included within the evidence base documents. The Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) was originally published in July 2014 to provide information on potential housing sites submitted by landowners and their agents in relation to their availability, suitability,

Comment [SH10]: It would appear that the NP is ignoring the evidence from the AECOM study.

³ However, the settlement hierarchy also shows Charlton as a rural village.	

- and achievability. This has now been superseded by Strategic Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (SHELAA), with the latest data as of February 2018.
- 8.3.2. The sites as shown in the SHLAA and subsequent SHELAA are not housing allocations, merely an assessment of sites as submitted by landowners and developers. The documents provide an assessment of constraints, mitigation measures, capacity/density, availability and timescale, should development be a future option.
- 8.3.3. The map below highlights that all undeveloped parcels of land (with one partial exception) within the parishPlan Area have either been put forward for consideration either in the SHLAA or SHELAA. On a purely unconstrained basis, this would amount to a total capacity of 409 dwellings. The revised SHELAA reduces this capacity to 322. The one exception relates to the land on and to the west of the Scheduled Ancient Monument site and was discounted on that basis. SHLAA sites shown in grey have now been developed for housing or other uses.




FIG 8 - Map of all sites considered in the site assessment - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

- 8.3.4. A site assessment has therefore been undertaken to provide more details to make an appropriate judgement. The view taken by residents was that all sites as originally shown in the SHELAA should be reconsidered as this represents the majority of land within the ParishPlan Area. The larger SHLAA sites 6 and 7 have also been subdivided as they spanned different parcels of land separated by roads.
- 8.3.5. It should also be noted that whereas the original SHLAA looked at the Goch Way site (SHLAA 19), it did not take into account that the entirety of the site has not been 'built out' and that an area of land to the north remains undeveloped. In this regard, this site was added separately to the assessment for review.

- 8.3.6. Each of the sites were assessed in turn using detailed criteria which can be found in the site assessment document. The sites were numbered CHA1 to 7 (see map above). Evaluation criteria are set out in the assessment document. From the assessment it was clear that a number of sites could be discounted immediately on the grounds of sustainability and landscape impact. This left three remaining sites for further detailed analysis. Of these, it was apparent that site CHA1 was the most appropriate for development, particularly given the previous Inspector's comments. It is considered that opportunity exists for approximately 50 dwellings to be built.
- 8.3.7. In addition to the 92 dwellings (85 Goch Way and 7 others) already constructed, this would total 142 dwellings over the Plan period. This represents approximately a 17% increase in dwellings during the Plan period and is consistent with the wishes of residents.

Recommendation

8.3.8. The SGPlan_recommends the allocatesion of Site CHA1, to provide approximately 50 dwellings. It is expected that any future developer will respond to the criteria as identified in policy CNP2. In particular, the site layout should be optimised to minimise the visual impact of the development from the open countryside to the north. It is envisaged that the emphasis will be on homes to meet the local needs; to allow people wishing to downsize, provide adaptable dwellings with private, manageable outdoor amenity space. To encourage starter home development, which has a local identity. A high-quality design and appearance is essential and it is expected that the findings of the Character Appraisal as set out in policy CNP14 below will be respected in any proposed housing scheme.

Comment [SH11]: How will this be achieved?

Comment [SH12]: Is this the definition In Annex 2 of the NPPF or does it mean smaller homes for first time buyers and downsizers?




FIG 9 - Detailed view of site CHA1 to the north of the current Peake Way (Goch Way) development

8.3.9. The site is to be developed as low density with houses that are very high quality, pitched mostly atwith mostly 1/2/3 bedrooms (see more details on housing mix) with greater attention paid to 'green' aspects such as renewable energy and better than industry standard insulation. The latter are important aspects as more support will be given to proposals which have a greater emphasis on sustainable construction and energy efficiency.

Policy title:	CNP2 Land to the North of Goch Way
Policy text:	The land shown on Figure 9 outlined in red and annotated as CHA1, is allocated for the residential development for approximately 50 new dwellings.

Comment [SH13]: Planning are unable to require anything over and above Building Regulations and whilst this can be encouraged, it can not be required and enforced.

	Development in this location should unless otherwise		
	justified, respect the following principles:		
	a. The housing layout should seek to minimise the visual		
	impact for the residents of existing adjoining dwellings		
	and to protect privacy;		
	b. Building and landscape design to draw on references		
	from the natural and historic local environment as set		
	out in section 8.0 below and the Character Appraisal		
	Zones 1 and 2 and Landscape Character Area 9A;		
	c. Provide sufficient on-plot parking for residents of		
	the new development to minimise on-street		
	parking;		
	d. Provide safe access to the site with particular attention		
	to integrating the site into the wider Public Right of		
	Way network.		
	e. Provide an appropriate access which minimises traffic		
	through the village;		
	f. Provide the required facilities and infrastructure:		
	g. To provide a lower density development appropriate to		
	this edge of countryside location;		
	h. No new dwellings above 2 storeys in height unless		
	sufficiently justified in masterplanning and landscape		
	visual impact terms;		
	i. Allow for a sufficient landscape buffer to minimise		
	impact on the wider landscape;		
	j. Provide a range and mix of new homes (including		
	starter homes and adaptable housing for those wishing		
	to downsize) to meet the housing needs set out in the		
	Neighbourhood Plan Housing Mix policy:		
	k. Development must not adversely intrude into important		
	views as identified in Policy CNP7;		
	consideration has been given to renewable energy,		
	water efficiency and the impact of climate change with		
	regard to sustainable design (in conjunction with		
	Policy CNP15), including siting and orientation to		
	optimise passive solar gain (where appropriate) and		
	the use of high quality, thermally efficient building		
	materials;		
	m. Maximise opportunities to enhance biodiversity in line		
	with policy CNP 10, with particular consideration given		
	to the provision of bat and bird boxes, wildlife corridors,		
	e.g. all gardens built with interconnections for		
1	hedgehogs and connected to surrounding areas.		
Justification	See Site Assessment Document		
from Evidence	https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton- parish-council- 12680/neighbourhood-plan/		
Base	12000/110/gribbourificou piurir		
<u> </u>			
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118,		
	Local Plan Policy		
	References COM2		

Development in this location should unless atherwise

Comment [SH14]: This is covered in policy CNP14 on design, and does not need repeating in the policy. Wording could be added to the supporting text to refer to the design policy.

Comment [SH15]: This could be moved to the supporting text and further references to the policies that would apply, eg, CNP14

Comment [SH16]: Any new development would need to satisfy these criteria, and therefore do not need repeating in the policy.

Comment [SH17]: What does 'Lower Density' mean?

Comment [SH18]: Any new development would need to satisfy this criteria, through the design and access statement, and therefore does not need repeating in the policy.

Comment [SH19]: This is covered in Policy CNP3 and therefore doesn't need repeating in the policy.

Comment [SH20]: As written Policy CNP7 would not apply to this development, as it would be within the settlement boundary and CNP7 concerns development outside the settlement boundary. See suggested changes to CNP7. If these changes are accepted, that this text will not need to be repeated in the policy, as the plan is read as a whole.

Comment [SH21]: This criteria will require applicants to 'consider' renewable energy etc. This will be easy for applicants to demonstrate, but will not necessarily ensure that they will be implemented. This could be moved to the supporting text with signposting to the other relevant Plan policies. There could also be a tension between siting and orienting development to optimise solar gain and policy CNP14 on design, which states that development should be 'in keeping with the character of the area' Building Regulations now address the issue of passive solar gain, which they refer to as 'limiting the effects of solar gain in summer'. Appendix P of the regulations includes methods for calculating solar gain, and indicates some of the solutions we can use to minimise some of the less welcome effects. Therefore this is covered by building regulations and does not need to be repeated in the policy.

Comment [SH22]: This could be moved to the supporting text as it is signposting to CNP10.

Policy Intention (link	Objectives 3 & 6
to	
objectives)	

8.3.10. In addition to allocating land for approximately 50 dwellings, further appropriate windfall sites within the built-up area are likely tomay come forward during the Plan period.

8.4 Local Housing Needs

- 8.4.1. The CNP seeks to protect and enhance the character of Charlton-the village and avoid pressure on local infrastructure. Where housing proposals come forward it is essential that additional housing meets local needs with a locally appropriate mix of housing types.
- 8.4.2. AECOM were instructed to undertake a Housing Needs Assessment, which was carried out to inform the Plan. The recommendations were to: "discuss the contents and conclusions with TVBC with a view to agreeing and formulating draft housing policies, in particular the appropriate approach to identifying the level of need for new housing in the NP area."
- 8.4.3. The output from the AECOM Assessment provided a high-level overview, but there were additional aspects which required further assessment. Firstly, it was considered that the data considered by AECOM did not accord with the newly reflected ward boundary changes and secondly, that due to the Parish being associated with CharltonAndover, independent data for the parish was not readily available. With this in mind, further-dDiscussions were held with Qofficers at TVBC and it was recommended that an additional, more detailed survey of the parish should be conducted to provide a more specific basis by which to agree an appropriate level of future development within Charlton Parish (rather than the entire Andover area). The result of this survey, the views gathered in the original Parish Survey, the Evidence Base Review, in additional to the AECOM report have been gathered together with the following conclusion.
- 8.4.4. The results showed that the majority of the <a href="https://housing.com/housing.co
- 8.4.5. Residents anticipated remaining within Charlton in the future and although a significant number were unsure of future needs, this depended upon how the village developed (or not) in the future.

¹ The evidence behind the chosen housing mix is discussed in more detail in both the AECOM Housing Needs Assessment in addition to the Charlton Supplementary Housing Survey which are included in the evidence base.

8.4.6. The majority of residents supported housing on brownfield sites or further infill development, but did not wish to see sites which extended the current extent of the villagesettlement boundary. Where any new development is to take place, the highest priority was stated to be for homes for single persons, couples and small families (up to 3 bed). There was demand for all types of houses: terraced through to detached as well as bungalows. There was no strong desire for 3 storey dwellings nor for flats or apartments.

Comment [SH23]: Does this mean 'need'

8.4.7. The policies and evidence behind the chosen housing mix is discussed in more detail in both the AECOM Housing Needs Assessment in addition to the Charlton Supplementary Housing Survey which are included in the evidence base.

Comment [SH24]: This would benefit from being in a footnote. See Footnote 1 above for possible location.

8.4.8.8.4.7. The strategic mix of housing put forward by Test Valley Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2014 (SHMA14) is that 55% of housing across Test Valley should be two or fewer bedrooms. The AECOM report considered both this and the demographic profile of Charlton and recommended it appropriate that 40% of homes should be two or fewer bedrooms in the ParishPlan Area. The supplementary parish survey concluded that there is a greater need for 2 and 3 bed properties including housing for older people. Providing this mix of housing could also help to reverse the trend of younger adults, couples and young families leaving the area, or being unable to move into it due to affordability pressures. Providing homes for people to downsize or retire into will also free up housing elsewhere in the chain. It is therefore considered that given the evidence, that 2 and 3 bed dwellings, which focus on the needs of those looking to enter the housing market, young families and those looking to downsize/ require retirement accommodation should be given the greatest priority in any mix. It is considered appropriate that at given the evidence as stated, at least 80% of any major housing development should cater for such housing or adequately demonstrate why this is not appropriate.

Comment [SH25]: What is the evidence for this percentage?

Policy title:	CNP3 Housing Mix
Policy text:	To respond to the local need for smaller dwellings and to
	achieve a balanced community and maintain a choice of
	dwellings in the Parish, new housing development should
	favour provide a an appropriate mix of dwellings of 3
	bedrooms or less to help address the current imbalance of
	stock. or as identified in the future.
	Proposals for housing development will be supported,
	provided they accord with other statutory, local and
	Neighbourhood Plan policies and make provision for:
	a. A mix of dwelling sizes and types on development sites of
	5 or more dwellings; and
	b.a. A mix that reflects the requirements set out in the
	Charlton Parish Housing Needs Assessment (as amended), or more up to date evidence of needs adopted
	by the Borough Council.
Justification	See AECOM Housing Needs Assessment and the supplementary parish
from Evidence	survey
Base	https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
	Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-
	council- 12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118,
	Least Dies Delies
	Local Plan Policy
Delias Intentio	References COM2
Policy Intention	Objectives 3 & 6
(link to objectives)	
objectives)	

Comment [SH26]: What is the justification for 5 or more dwellings – if smaller homes are needed then in order to rebalance the housing stock, all new homes should be for 3 bedrooms or less.

Comment [SH27]: The policy should be more specific than this, so that it is clear what is expected from new development.

- From the affordability analysis undertaken, it is apparent that only a quarter of residents are able to access sale dwellings without subsidy. This means the majority of households must choose from alternative tenures, either Private Rent, or an Affordable Housing (AH) tenure. Given the majority of dwellings in the Neighbourhood-Plan Area (NA) are privately owned, this suggests a significant misalignment with community need. Notwithstanding the AECOM findings, the supplementary parish survey did not highlight any need for private rental properties.
- _It is notable however, that rents for entry-level Private Rented Sector (PRS) dwellings remain higher than median household incomes, indicating the majority of households are likely to require some form of subsidised housing. It is therefore appropriate that the accent is placed on the provision of AH. As shown in the Affordable Housing Model, a target of 40% would make substantial in-roads into the overall need for AH over the Plan period. Affordable housing provision will be calculated through the Local Plan policy, COM7.

8.4.11.8.4.10. As stated above, Charlton's population is ageing, with the proportion of adults 45-64 rising. The housing needs Assessment in addition to the supplementary parish survey highlights that issue is particularly significant for the ParishPlan Area. This threatens the vitality of the community and places extra, and different, demands on local health, transport and housing. Housing for an aging population needs to be carefully considered.

Policy title: CNP4 Retirement and Nursing Homes Policy text: Development for new build, redevelopment or change of use (where required) involving residential care or nursing homes within Class C2 of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 (as amended) will be permitted within the settlement boundary if it is compliant with other development plan policies and provided: a. it is accessible to facilities such as shops, medical services, places of worship, public open space and other community facilities b. it is accessible to staff and visitors by public transport, walking or cycling c. it is located where residents can enjoy a visually interesting outlook and the site has level access to a garden or sitting out area d. it does not detract from the character and landscape of the area the design and construction of the development provides for wheelchair access it incorporates measures to optimise energy efficiency. Justification The housing needs survey highlights there are a significant from Evidence proportion of residents in Charlton who are in the upper age Base categories. In this regard, it would be prudent to consider the future potential need for increased nursing and retirement home provision. https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/ng plan/ Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parishcouncil- 12680/neighbourhood-plan/ 31

Comment [SH28]: Added for clarity.

Comment [SH29]: There is not locally distinctive element to this policy. Development within the settlement boundary, including for residential care and nursing homes would be covered by COM2, therefore this policy could be

The policy title refers to 'retirement' homes, but the policy only refers to residential care.

Comment [SH30]: Given the level of care that individuals require in a residential care or nursing home, they will not be able to access these facilities, therefore this shouldn't be a requirement of the policy

Comment [SH31]: If this type of development is within the settlement boundary, then it should be accessible by these modes, and does not require repeating

Comment [SH32]: These would be addressed through CNP14 on Design and do not need to be repeated here.

Comment [SH33]: Nursing homes need to comply with part M of the building regulations on accessible dwellings and therefore does not need to be repeated in the policy.

Comment [SH34]: Energy efficiency is covered by building regulations and does not need to be repeated in the

Comment [SH35]: The policy title refers to 'retirement' homes, but the policy only refers to residential care.

Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 77, 78, 79, 84, 118,
	Local Plan Policy References COM2
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 3 & 6

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

8.5 Environmental Policy

- 8.5.1. The NPPF states that planning policies should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment. This is carried through into the Local Plan and set out in the following policies
 - Policy E2: Protect, Conserve and Enhance the Landscape Character of the Borough
 - Policy E5: Biodiversity
 - Policy E6: Green Infrastructure
 - Policy E7: Water Management

8.6 Landscape Character

- 8.6.1. The Charlton Test Valley Landscape Character Assessment ppraisal sets out full details of the landscape character in and around the Parish Plan Area, with the key valued characteristics, detractors and issues to inform the Neighbourhood Plan. In summary, Charlton covers 236 hectares (583 acres) in north Test Valley, in close proximity to Andover Town Centre. The majority of the area is located within the landscape character area LCT9A North Andover Plateau. A gentle sloping plateau falling from around 125m above sea level in the north to around 80m in the south on the edge of Andover. Across the plateau a series of dry gravel river valleys run in a predominantly southerly direction linking to the River Anton, which eventually flows into the River Test south of Andover.
- 8.6.2. The dry valleys and gentle ridges have created a complex land use and farming pattern, with predominantly larger arable fields found on the higher ground and a mosaic of small and medium field sites located within the valleys. The larger arable fields lack hedgerows, but groupings of these fields are often enclosed by shelter beds, creating large uncomplicated open areas. Fields associated with the settlements are well hedged and irregular in size with a mix of arable and pasture. The edge of Charlton is strongly defined by fields meeting areas of built development, many of which have footpaths or rights of way across them. These are well used by residents either for rambling or dog walking. The development to the countryside could have a visual impact and urbanise the immediate landscape quality to the detriment of views, which are considered important to the residents.

Comment [SH36]: A map showing the landscape character appraisal areas would be helpful here.




FIG 10 - Landscape character of the ParishPlan Area - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

- 8.6.3. There are important patches of ancient semi-natural woodland and the hedgerow structure provides some linkages between areas of woodland. The woodlands are mostly small copses, game spinneys and shelter belts.
- 8.6.4. The area to the south of parishPlan Area contains a number of open spaces centred around the tributaries of the River Anton and the lakes leading off it and is situated in Landscape Character Area 5I. The wetland area by Carter's Meadow is formed by the runoff from Charlton Lakes and consists of an area of marshland interspersed with small streams. Charlie's Lake is a 3-acre privately-owned fishing lake run as a commercial business for the coarse fishing community (see Figure 17).

Policy title:	CNP5 Landscape Character and setting	
Policy text:	Charlton's landscape, countryside and rural areas will be protected against inappropriate development. Development will	

Comment [SH37]: This map appears to be showing the land use of the land and the quality of the agricultural land in the plan area, and not the Landscape character not a landscape assessment. The map is not referred to in the text. In the key, there is no colour showing if there is any priority habitat. This could be removed and replaced with the map showing the Landscape Character Area. TVBC can help with the mapping in the final document if required.

Comment [SH38]: This policy in not locally distinctive and repeats Local Plan policy E2, therefore this policy could be deleted.

only be permitted:	
which supports small scale economic growth provided it conserves and enhances the landscape, countryside and rural areas.	
2. where it protects conserves or enhances:	
a. key views of importance as set out in the Charlton Character Assessment and details in CNP7 below	
b. the green spaces identified in document Charlton Local Green Spaces Assessment	Comment [SH39]: These do not need
c. the landscape setting of Charlton taking account of other policies and findings within the Neighbourhood Plan, the Charlton Character Appraisal, TVBC Landscape Assessment/ Landscape Visual Impact Assessment and other relevant documents as set out in the Local Plan	repeating here as the issues are addressed in CNP5 and CNP12
 d. local landscape features such as trees (including individual trees, groups of trees and woodlands), hedgerows, watercourses and water bodies that contribute to locally distinctive landscapes, amenity and biodiversity or have important historical value 	Comment [SH40]: This repeats policy

Justification from Evidence Base	Further evidence is found within the Character Appraisal pages 8-16 https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
	The Test Valley Landscape Character Assessment https://www.testvalley.gov.uk/planning-and- building/planningpolicy/evidence-base/evidence-base-environment
	Specifically to Charlton, it sets out that:
	"the edge of Andover has begun to occupy the southern side of the Anton Valley. The character of this area is of an urban edge contained by a belt of mature vegetation. However, the edge of Andover is on a higher part of the valley than the Pentons, which are much closer to the bottom of the valley floor. The effect of the higher elevation means that although quite well screened, the tops of the industrial buildings at the edge of Andover are clearly visible above the vegetation from Foxcotte Lane, as you exit Penton Mewsey.
	Any further encroachment along the valley sides will only exacerbate this relationship. The straightness of the Anton Valley provides long views along it. There are some hedgerows, but little in the way of extensive blocks of vegetation to break up those views. Neither variation in local topography or large areas of vegetation is present to provide natural screening.
	In view of the elongated and simple linear form of the landscape features within this part of the character area, combined with its overall openness, visual separation is dependent on maintaining the undeveloped character of the farmland between Andover and these villages. There is very little in the way of significant belts of vegetation or variation in topography to provide enclosure or natural screening."
	It is clear from the above that character of the area is such that with long distance views across large open fields with little vegetation to screen, any development could have significant landscape impact.
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 118, 127, 170
	Local Plan Policy References E2

Comment [SH41]: This text would benefit from being in the supporting text to signpost the reader to the LCA.

Policy	Objectives 2 & 6
Intention (link	
to objectives)	




FIG 11- The Local Gap Between Penton Mewsey and Charlton – Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

8.7 Setting of the Local Gap

- 8.7.1. The land within the TVBRLP Local Gap designation (as shown on the map above), performs an important role in defining the settlement character of the area and separating settlements at risk of coalescence. The importance is on maintaining their physical and visual separation. The policy follows the evidence set out in the Policy E3 Topic paper which sets out that the "capacity of gaps should be strengthened wherever possible".
- 8.7.2. The Local Gaps designated around Andover were based on Policy CC6 of the South East-Plan 2009, which recognised the importance of retaining the distinctiveness of settlements. Therefore, any development which is likely to undermine the function of the gap would be considered unacceptable. Whilst the 2009 policy is now out of date, the 2016 TVBRLP was undertaken on up to date Landscape evidence. In this regard, tThe NP evidence base containing the Character Appraisal and the Viewpoint Assessment, both highlight the quality of the land to the north and northeast of the Local Gap. It is important that the land is retained for both its high-quality landscape value (as evidenced by the views) as well as the contribution to the setting of the Local Gap and Penton Mewsey Conservation Area, Lodge and Park beyond.
- 8.7.3. The Local Gap does not include the high ground up to running track, sports pitches and playing fields around Charlton Sports and Leisure Centre on the edge of Andover as this area is Public Open Space and has been included within the settlement boundary.

Comment [SH42]: This does need repeating in the plan.

	CNP6 Setting of the Local Gap
Policy text:	1. The village lies adjacent to the Local Gap between Andover and The Pentons as set out in Adopted Local Plan Policy E3. Any development (not including permitted development) to the west/ south west of the village such as major housing development, taller buildings/ structures or large scale agricultural/ employment development (major development), will only be permitted where: a. it would not contribute towards diminishing the physical separation and/or visual separation; and b. it would not individually or cumulatively with other existing or proposed development compromise the integrity of the gap. 2. A Landscape and Visual Appraisal should accompany any development proposal as defined in 1. above and show no reduction in the openness of, or detriment to the views to and from, this local gap.
Justification from Evidence Base	3. Proposals will be supported for agricultural and forestry- related development, playing fields, agricultural allotments, and other open land uses that might benefit local communities and help preserve the separation between settlements and the retention of their individual identities See landscape character evidence https://www.testvalley.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planningpolicy/evidence-base/evidence-base-environment, justification to Policy CNP5 and Topic Paper E3 Local Gaps 2014

8.8 Important Views

- 8.8.1. The policy seeks to protect, and where possible enhance, those views and vistas that are important to the community and which help to define the character and setting of Charlton within the ParishPlan Area and the rural areas to the north.
- 8.8.2. Despite earlier development, Charlton retains a rural feel and the countryside is visible and accessible via numerous footpaths. Open spaces and long views are

Comment [SH43]: This repeats Local Plan policy E3 and therefore does not need repeating in this plan.

important features, particularly from the edge of the built-up area and the northern part of the ParishPlan Area. These views are wide ranging and significant. They are highly valued for their visual impact in providing a setting for the village, as well as the setting of designated heritage assets in Penton Mewsey. To the south they are key in separating it from Andover beyond, while green areas around the village provide a soft transition from the built environment to the agricultural fields. The rural and agricultural environment surrounding the village is an important feature of the character of the area and highly valued by local residents.

- 8.8.3. The policy seeks to inform any ambition to build outside the existing settlement area that would adversely impact on protect. the high-quality landscape setting and sense of place of the village. Planning applications will be expected to address the interaction between the built-up area within the settlement boundary, the surrounding countryside and the key views and vistas (including those which may impact on designated heritage assets).
- 8.8.4. Specific views have been identified as part of a community assessment (see evidence base) and are https://example.com/highlighted-shown on the plan below.




FIG 12 - Important viewpoints plan - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

Policy title:	CNP7 Important Views
Policy text:	Special attention should be made to preserving protect and enhance the following notable important views as defined on the Viewpoint Assessment and listed below.
	Development should not introduce any prominent built form into the open landscape outside of the settlement boundary. There may be specific exceptions, such as large buildings for agricultural use (as defined in Section 336 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990), which may be considered acceptable if a need for the proposed development is adequately justified.

Comment [SH44]: This is not required to be repeated in the policy

Development proposals eutside the settlement boundary will be expected to demonstrate that they will not have an adverse impact on the identified views as set out below. 1. Along Foxcotte Lane looking west into CHA6b 2. Along Foxcotte Lane looking east into CHA3 3. Along Foxcotte Lane looking east into CHA7a 4. Along Foxcotte Lane looking west into CHA6b 5. Along Footpath 742 looking north into CHA6b 6. Along Footpath 742 looking south into CHA6b 8. From roundabout south into CHA6b 9. From roundabout south into CHA7a 10. Along Hatherden Road looking east into CHA7b 11. Along Hatherden Road looking east into CHA2 12. Along Hatherden Road looking east into CHA7a 13. Along Footpath 726 looking north into CHA7b 14. Along Footpath 727 looking north into CHA7b 15. Along Footpath 759 looking west into CHA2 16. Along Footpath 758 looking south/east into CHA7b 22. Along Foxcotte Road looking south into Charlton Leisure Centre 23. Looking north from the informal path between Foxcotte Lane and Richborough Drive 24. Looking north from footpath 726 25. Looking north along informal lakeside path 26. Looking north from the lakeside footbridge across Charlton Lakes 27. Looking east from the lakeside path across Charlton Lakes (fishing) 29. Looking northwest from the lakeside path across Charlton Lakes (fishing) 28. Looking southwest from the lakeside path across Charlton Lakes (recreation)

Comment [SH45]: The policy should also apply to development inside the settlement boundary as these could have an impact on some of the views.

Comment [SH46]: Photographs showing the views would be helpful here.

See Important Viewpoints Analysis in evidence base

30. Looking east across the Green

Justification

Base

from Evidence

plan/

	Further evidence is found within the Character Appraisal pages 8-16 https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 127, 151, 170
	Local Plan Policy References E2, E3
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objective 6

8.9 Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure

- 8.9.1. All Where required proposals for development should demonstrate how they will ensure a net gain in biodiversity. Important areas of green infrastructure should be protected and enhanced and where possible linked to other green infrastructure areas. New cycle and footpath routes should incorporate high quality green infrastructure.
- 8.9.2. The Plan area supports a range of priority habitats, so defined because they are regarded as the most threatened and thereby require conservation action. These include Floodplain Grazing Marsh and Deciduous/Broadleaf Woodland. The Charlton Lakes area has also been identified as having priority habitat status. Most of the ancient semi-natural woodland is dominated by ash with some oak (the presence of oak indicates more acidic pockets of soil). Ash is the most common tree species with field maple and yew. Other trees found in low frequencies include lime, hornbeam and elm. The shrub layers are generally composed of hazel, elder, blackthorn, dogwood, spindle and privet.
- 8.9.3. It is important that the existing network of green spaces and corridors stemming from the winterbourne feeders that flow through Charlton are maintained and strengthened where possible.
- 8.9.4. In relation to hedgerows, woodland and trees, the community have expressed the following objectives:
 - a. To restore lost and fragmented hedgerows on former hedgerow lines.
 - b. To maintain existing intricate pattern of hedgerows.
 - To seek opportunities to mitigate impact from existing and future development through new hedgerow planting.
 - d. To prevent damage to or loss of woodland habitats including the loss of ancient trees, woodlands, amenity trees or hedgerows through inappropriate development unless the benefit of the development at that location clearly outweigh the loss.

Policy title:	CNP8 Maintaining and Enhancing Green Spaces and Green
	Corridors
Policy text:	New development will be supported where proposals:
	 incorporate public and private green amenity spaces using high quality landscaping to balance gardens and community spaces;
	 conserve existing natural and green, corridors and creating new ones, using landscape features and habitats, such that the development has a net positive impact on the environment;

Comment [SH47]: Not all development will need to demonstrate a net gain. 'Where required' would be more appropriate wording

Comment [SH48]: The supporting text could signpost to the Local Plan Policies rather than repeating them in this policy.

Comment [SH49]: This is partially covered in CNP14 on design in 2, bullet 2. This text could be incorporated into that bullet.

Comment [SH50]: This repeats Local Plan Policy E6 and is therefore not required.

	 improving and adding trees, wetlands, grassland, parks, woods, orchards and allotments; link the new development to the natural areas of Charlton, the Lakes and the surrounding countryside, providing access for people and wildlife and improving access to nature for both existing and new residents;
	 do not have a significant adverse impact on priority habitats or result in the loss of ancient trees, woodlands, amenity trees or hedgerows. Any significant adverse impact in this regard will not be permitted unless the need for, and the benefit of the development at that location clearly outweigh the loss.
Justification from Evidence Base	See Local Green Space Assessment, Character Appraisal, Evidence Base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ and Landscape Character plan on page 23.
Policy Supported Policy	NPPF paragraphs 170, 174, 176, 177 Local Plan Policy References E6 Objectives 2.8.7
Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2 & 7

8.10 Public Rights of Way

- 8.10.1. Charlton has a good selection of footpaths criss-crossing the village and into the wider ParishPlan Area beyond. Charlton Lakes and the bridleway past the Salto centre are featured in the lakes cycle route for TVBC. The fF ootpath 743, designated the 'Coffin Route' passes across the ancient burial ground at Foxcotte and into Charlton village. It is a key heritage feature and must be maintained.
- 8.10.2. The objective is to work with Hampshire County Council, Test Valley Borough Council and land owners in the upkeep of all footpaths within the willage-boundariesPlan Area.
- 8.10.3. The proposal for a new footpath from the church to the cemetery and the new crematorium on the Hatherden Road was eonsideredput forward, but it was considered that this would not be achievable without creating a new urban feature alongside the rural road.
- 8.10.4. The bridleway from the Salto Centre towards Charlton Park should also be enhanced to improve safety for pedestrians. At present the mix of pedestrians, cycles and vehicles creates problems and a better solution would be welcomed.

Comment [SH51]: This is covered in CNP9

Comment [SH52]: This repeats Local Plan Policy E5 and is therefore not required.




FIG 13 - Public Rights of Way within the ParishPlan Area - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS

Licence No 100051806 (Please note that this does not include informal routes)

Comment [SH53]: It would be helpful if the number of each footpath was shown on the map.

Policy title:	CNP9 Public Rights of Way
Policy text:	The creation of new permissive and public rights of way will be supported., particularly those set out in the supporting text to this policy. Development proposals are to be supported where they do not result in unacceptable harm to a public right of way or enjoyment of it.
	Where development affects a public right of way, the application will be expected to show how the development will impact the right of way and describe any mitigation measures needed to address any adverse impacts on users of that right of way.

Comment [SH54]: This is covered in Policy T1d) of the Local Plan and does not need repeating here.

Justification from Evidence Base	See public rights of way assessment in the Evidence Base Review p57 https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/	
	Permissive or public rights of way will be affected by development where the right of way:	
Policy Supported		
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2 & 4	

Comment [SH55]: This should be moved to the supporting text for clarity.

8.11 Nature Conservation

- 8.11.1. There is one SINC in Charlton ParishPlan Area, Charlton Lakeside, which is located in the south-eastern corner of the ward. There are other nearby SINCs which are also located adjacent to the parishPlan Area boundary around the lakes. The River Anton is one of Andover's important natural features. It is a chalk stream fed by water of exceptionally high quality and supports a rich and diverse array of wildlife. There are a number of issues however affecting the river, which through management and historic use, have had a serious effect on its wildlife and amenity value.
- 8.11.2. Test Valley Borough Council, Environment Agency, Hampshire County Council and Hampshire and IOW Wildlife Trust have formed a partnership to improve the river corridor for wildlife and people.
- 8.11.3. A strategy has been produced which identifies the key issues and puts forward some actions which are aimed at improving the river and its environment.
- 8.11.4. The River Anton Strategy has 4 broad objectives:
 - To improve the river and its value for its wildlife
 - To improve public access along the river
 - To involve the community in projects to improve the river
 - To ensure the full potential of the River Anton as a chalk river habitat is realised and secured for the future
- $8.11.5.\, The \, area \, around \, Charlton \, Lakes \, as \, shown \, on \, the \, Strategy \, Map \, is \, identified \, as \, Zone \, 1.$

8.11.6. Further ecological surveys of the lakes have also been undertaken on behalf of TVBC and found a number of enhancement opportunities for protected and non-protected species including grass snakes, common birds, kingfishers, dunnock, song thrush, hobby, red kite, bats, hedgehogs and otters (in the long term).




FIG 14 - Map of Strategy Area Reproduced from River Anton Enhancement Strategy 2008-2013

Comment [SH56]: OS license needs adding to the map

Policy title:	CNP10 Biodiversity and Enhancement of The Natural Environment	
Policy text:	All Where required, development shall deliver a biodiversity net gain for the parishPlan Area.	Comment [SH57]: Not all development will need to demonstrate a net gain. 'Where required' would be more appropriate wording
	In achieving this requirement, development proposals which comply with one or more of the following principles will be supported:	Comment [SH58]: What is the evidence to support this approach?
	i. Avoid the unnecessary loss of mature and veteran trees, hedgerows, orchards or other form of wildlife corridor and biodiversity concentration. Any loss shall be mitigated on site or in an approved alternative location in accordance with a planting scheme which shall accompany the application for planning permission:	Comment [SH59]: This is addressed

Comment [SH59]: This is addressed in Local Plan Policy E5 and does not need repeating here.

	ii. Include measures to provide wildlife corridors in order to maintain, retain and secure connectivity of the wider network;
	iii. Where the loss of scrubland is unavoidable, the proposals shall retain sufficient areas of vegetation on the site linked to adjacent habitats, wildlife corridors or hotspots to allow wildlife to pass around or through the site;
	iv. two or more of the following: Hedgehog corridors, Owl boxes; bat boxes; and bird boxes (particularly suited to their use by swifts, swallows and house martins) should be installed as an integral part of any new or replacement dwellings
	v. Culverted watercourses shall be re-opened where feasible and linked to wetland creation;
	vi. Surface water run-off shall be managed through an appropriate sustainable drainage system in accordance with the Surface Water Drainage Hierarchy.
	2. New trees and hedgerows planted as part of new development in the parishPlan Area must reinforce and reflect local biodiversity. Trees not to be retained as a result of the development are to be replaced at a ratio of at least 2:1 unless satisfactorily justified.
	3. Development proposals which where appropriate, demonstrate how they have taken account of the key issues raised in The River Anton Strategy will be given support where they comply with other policies in the Neighbourhood-Plan.
Justification from Evidence Base	See Biodiversity Evidence/ River Anton Enhancement Strategy 2008-2013 https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/ Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 149, 170, 174, 175
	Local Plan Policy References E5
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2 & 7

Comment [SH60]: Is there scrubland in the plan area?

Comment [SH61]: What is the evidence for this? Also if an applicant put up an owl box and a bat box, but these species were not present, it would meet the tests of this policy, but would not necessarily deliver any net gain.

Comment [SH62]: Are there any culverted watercourses in the plan area?

Comment [SH63]: Is this explained in the plan? This could be included in a Glossary of terms.

Comment [SH64]: What is the evidence for this requirement?

8.12 CHARLTON LAKES





FIG 15 & 16 - View of Charlton Lakes

- 8.12.1. Charlton Lakes is a public open space located in the centre of <u>Charlton-the</u> Parish. It is managed by TVBC. The site is dominated by two large lakes fed by a tributary of the River Anton. The lower (south eastern) lake is used for angling, with the upper (north western) lake located adjacent to a café, boat house and playground.
- 8.12.2. At Charlton Lakes itself the natural chalk has largely disappeared as a result of historical landfill operations and gravel extraction which created the two small lakes. The lakes are highly valued for fishing and recreation as well as for their wildlife.
- 8.12.3. Water fowl can be seen feeding on the lake all year round, with many species of mammal using the site including pipistrelle bats, water vole and otters.
- 8.12.4. The lakes contain small tree-covered islands dominated by willow trees, particularly crack willow, with hawthorn, blackthorn and dogwood. The banks around the lake are dominated by a mosaic of scrub and ruderal vegetation interspersed with broadleaved trees.
- 8.12.5. Charlton Lakes form the start of the River Anton Way a scenic 3.8 mile walk along the tributaries of the River Anton from Charlton Lakes in the North, via the town centre to Rooksbury Mill Local Nature Reserve in the South. The route runs alongside Charlie's or Peril Lake to the east, before heading on to Anton Lakes, which is outside of the Plan area.
- 8.12.6. Charlie's or Peril Lake is a private fishing lake with no direct public access, although there is a public right of way to the south as mentioned above. Although a private lake, it offers wetland habitats as well as a significant level of planting, which are considered beneficial in biodiversity terms.
- 8.12.7. The Lakes are highly valued by residents and attract numerous visitors from outside of the ParishPlan Area for their recreational value. It is important that a balance is struck between appropriate recreational provision and development which would adversely impact on biodiversity or the quiet recreational enjoyment that is currently experienced by users.

Comment [SH65]: This could be shown on the footpath map and referred to here.




FIG 17 - Aerial view of Charlton Lakes - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

Policy title:	CNP11 Chariton Lakes	
Policy text:	Development in the Charlton Lakes area (including the former Peril Lake), as shown above will be supported (subject to	 -{
	complying with other relevant Neighbourhood Plan Policies) which:	U
	directly promotes nature conservation and/or quiet recreation:	 _
	2. does not lead to an intensification of their use, which	
	would be detrimental to biodiversity or quiet recreational enjoyment;	7
	3. reduces the dominance of highway infrastructure where the A343 adjoins the lakes;	_
	maintains and further enhances the network of open spaces and public rights of way;	
	encourages native waterside planting appropriate to the area;	
	6. avoids detriment to the wider landscape within which the site sits, and be accompanied by any natural	
	screening	 1
	7. provides site-specific flood risk assessment for all development in Flood Zone 2 and 3 and for appropriate mitigation and management measures to be	
	implemented:	
	preserves the important views in and around the lakes as listed in CNP7:	
	avoids detriment to the integrity of the local ecology by incorporating appropriate measures in development	7
	proposals; and	Ĺ
	10. Contributes to the aims and objectives of the	
	49	U

Comment [SH66]: What is the actual boundary of this area?

Comment [SH67]: What is the evidence for this, and how is 'quiet' defined?

Comment [SH68]: Most development could lead to an intensification of use – how would this be measured?

Comment [SH69]: This might not be in the gift of the applicant.

Comment [SH70]: This is addressed in CPN9 and does not need repeating here.

Comment [SH71]: This is covered in Local Plan policy E2 and therefore does not need repeating here.

Comment [SH72]: A flood risk assessment will need to be submitted with any applications within FZ 2 or 3, and so does not need repeating here. This is also addressed in Local Plan policy E7

Comment [SH73]: This repeats policy CNP7

Comment [SH74]: This repeats the local Plan policy E5 and policy CNP10

	River Anton Enhancement Strategy 2008-2013 (as amended).
Justification from Evidence Base	See River Anton Enhancement Strategy 2008-2013 https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
	Evidence base Review and Character Appraisal
	https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 149, 155, 157- 162, 170, 174, 175
	Local Plan Policy
	References E2, E5, E6,
	LHW1
Policy Intention (link	Objectives 2, 6 & 7
to objectives)	Charlton Lakes is managed by TVBC. Charlton Parish Council should become a key stakeholder in the future management and development of the Lakes.

Comment [SH75]: This is not a land use planning matter, but would be better suited in a community aspirations section of the plan.

8.13 LOCAL GREEN SPACES⁴

- 8.13.1. The Plan area contains a variety of areas that are either publicly accessible, managed for a degree of public access or in private ownership but accessible to the public. Whilst the parishPlan Area has a variety of different types of green space, the amount within the urban-built up area is low and therefore of great importance.
- 8.13.2. A Local Green Spaces (LGS) Report was published by the Parish in 2015. This work has formed the basis for the future assessment. In addition to the sites previously considered, during public consultation in July 18, residents were asked to put forward sites for consideration as Local Green Spaces (LGS). Further sites from the original report were identified which also included those owned by the Parish Council, TVBC and HCC.
- 8.13.3. Following identification of sites which were deemed as acceptable during assessment, letters were sent out to the landowners involved. Although not mandatory to gain landowner agreement to LGS designation, the SG decided only to include sites which had landowner agreement. For each landowner-agreed LGS, a more detailed assessment was carried out (see Local Green Space Assessment details). Only those sites which met the assessment criteria are included in the list below.
- 8.13.4. Once designated, the LGS is subject to the <u>same similar development restrictions</u>
 <u>policies</u> as <u>a those for</u> Green Belt <u>designation</u>, in that new <u>development is ruled out</u>
 other than in special circumstances. Such circumstances are extremely rare and the
 exceptional nature of the proposal would need to

Comment [SH76]: This is dealt with in the NPPF and does not need to be repeated here.

⁴ 'Green spaces' are described as being 'important to the health and happiness of local communities' in NPPF (paragraphs 99, 100 and 101). The objectives require the Plan to identify local green spaces (LGS) and in accordance with the NPPF, these have been assessed for special protection based on where the green area is demonstrably special to the community and holds a particular local significance. For example,

because of its beauty, historic significance, recreational value (including as a playing field), tranquillity or richness of its wildlife; and where the green area concerned is local in character and is not an extensive tract of land.

demonstrate significant social and/or economic benefits to the wider community, to prove that the proposal outweighs the harm to the LGS caused by the inappropriate development.

8.13.5. It is the responsibility of the Applicant to demonstrate such benefits and all other relevant policies of the Local Plan will still need to be complied with. In such circumstances the local knowledge of the Parish Council and parishioners is considered key to decision making.

Comment [SH77]: This is dealt with in the NPPF and does not need to be repeated here.

Policy title:	CNP12 Local Green Space
Policy text:	This Plan designates the Local Green Spaces listed here and shown on the Map below: will be protected and enhanced for their biodiversity and, as appropriate, for public access and recreational use. Development that would have an intrusive impact on the character and openness of these spaces will not be permitted unless it can be demonstrated it is ancillary and of benefit to the green space, or very special circumstances can be demonstrated to allow development. Improvements to recreation facilities will be regarded as acceptable subject to compliance with design policies and their benefit outweighs any impact on the openness of such areas.
	 a. Armstrong Rise Play Area b. Woodland alongside Enham Lane c. Land in front of the Church and extending southwards (across from Enham Lane junction) d. Land to the front of Carter's Meadow – both sides of the road* e. Land in front of 111 & 112, Charlton Village/ Brook Cottage etc* f. Land to the south of Foxcotte Tower g. Land off Hengest Cl h. Land at end of Richborough Drive i. Play area at Charlton Lakes j. Land surrounding Charlton Lakes k. Play area at Peake Way (Goch Way) l. Land to the south of Carter's Meadow m. Open space at Peake Way * It should be noted that areas 'd' and 'e' were historically Charlton's village green and form the historic river crossing area. There will be a presumption against all development on Local Green Space except in very special circumstances. Such circumstances would include exemptions for appropriate formal recreation facilities, which may be acceptable if equivalent recreation green space can be provided in an alternative location.

Comment [SH78]: This is dealt with in the NPPF and does not need to be repeated here.

Comment [SH79]: This is dealt with in the NPPF and does not need repeating here. The supporting text includes signposting to the NPPF and this is sufficient.

Justification from Evidence Base	Further detailed plans and assessment is set out in the Local Green Space Assessment document https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs Local Plan Policy
	References E6, LHW1
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2 & 6





Local Green Space Assessment

Amstrong Rise Play Area

b. Woodland alongside foliam Lane
c. Land in Front of the Church and
extending southwards (arcoss fron
foliam Lane) sunction)
Land to the Front of Carter's Meadow
— both sides of the fload?

Liand to the Front of Carter's Meadow
— Willage (Mook Citage - Inventide *)
Land to the south of Forsotte Tower

E. Land off Riengest CI

I. Land to the south of Cartonie Lakes

Land at end of Richborough Drive

I. Play area of Carthenia Lakes

L. Play area of Carthenia Lakes

L. Land to the south of Cartonie Lakes

FIG 18 & 19 - View of play area and paths at Charlton Lakes

FIG 20 - Overview of designated Local Green Spaces (see LGS for detailed mapping of each site) - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

Comment [SH80]: The detailed mapping should be in this document, as it is allocating the sites.

8.14 Charlton Leisure Centre and Sports Ground

8.14.1 The sports ground/playing fields, although meeting many of the criteria for an LGS, has not been designated as such due to the existing protection provided by planning policy and agreements governing its use. This protection reinforces the Sport England playing fields policy.

http://www.sportengland.org/playingfieldspolicy/

FLOODING AND DRAINAGE

8.15 Flooding and Drainage Issues

8.15.1. Flooding in the Plan area has had a significant impact in the past and it is the aim, in future, to minimise the likelihood of flooding and drainage overflow problems by all practical means; particularly to prevent new developments from exacerbating the situation. To ensure that where local areas are known to flood (through residents' observations / evidence), but are not recorded on the Environment Agency flood maps, this evidence will be gathered by the Parish Council and passed to the County Council as the Lead Flood Authority. The maps below show the currently documented flood zones and areas prone to surface water flooding. As can be seen, whilst the majority of areas at risk of fluvial flooding are in close proximity to the river; surface water flooding can occur along Hatherden Lane, Enham Lane, St Thomas' Close and the land to the north.




FIG 21 - Map of flood Zones © Environment Agency copyright and / or database rights 2018. All rights reserved. © Crown Copyright and database right 2018. Ordnance Survey licence number 100024198.

Comment [SH81]: Is there any land in FZ3 benefiting from flood defences?



FIG 22 - Risk of Surface Water Flooding © Environment Agency copyright and / or database rights 2018. All rights reserved. © Crown Copyright and database right 2018. Ordnance Survey licence number 100024198.

- 8.15.2. The NPPF states that inappropriate development in areas at risk of flooding should be avoided by directing development away from areas at highest risk, but where development is necessary, making it safe without increasing flood risk elsewhere.
- 8.15.3. Plans should apply a sequential, risk-based approach to the location of development to avoid flood risk to people and property and manage any residual risk, taking account of the impacts of climate change.

Policy title:	CNP13 Flooding and Drainage
Policy text:	Development will be supported where it is demonstrated that surface water drainage will not add to the existing site runoff or cause any adverse impact to neighbouring properties and the surrounding environment.
	Unless demonstrated to be inappropriate sites should incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), with runoff rates no greater than greenfield sites.
	SuDS where possible should be designed so as to contribute towards the landscaping and biodiversity of the sites and provision should be made for their future maintenance.

Comment [SH82]: This is deal with in the NPPF and does not need repeating here.

Justification	Development should incorporate sustainable urban drainage
from Evidence	principles where possible and adequate flood protection and
Base	mitigation measures. This is particularly important within and
	adjacent to the centre of the village where it is located in Flood
	Zones 2 and 3 and other areas identified as having problems with
	surface water drainage issues.
	https://flood-warning-information.service.gov.uk/long-term-
	flood- risk/map?easting=435025&northing=147124
	Evidence base Review
	https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-
Daliay Cupported	NDDE paragrapha 157, 165
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 157- 165
	Local Plan Policy
	Local Plan Policy
	References E7
Policy	Objectives 2 & 4
Intention (link	
to objectives)	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

BUILT ENVIRONMENT

8.16 Design

- 8.16.1. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) places good design, the enhancement of local distinctiveness, landscape character and conservation of the historic environment at the heart of sustainable development and good planning in rural areas. This policy adds the locally distinctive detail to national and regional guidance.
- 8.16.2. It is important that all new development contributes to 'sense of place' and for residents to relate to the area in which they live. It has been identified by residents that it is key that the village retains it rural identity by promoting high quality and appropriate design.
- 8.16.3. The Character Assessment covers the entire parishPlan Area and is subdivided into different character types. Descriptions of each character area are shown below and are set out in full within the Character Assessment.
 - 1. Original Historic Core of the village medium to higher density
 - 2. Mixed historic area and modern infill low density
 - 3. Modern low density predominantly single storey
 - 4. Carters Meadow purpose-built retirement dwellings high density
 - 5. Late 20th Century family homes 2 storey medium lower density
 - 6. 1960s/70s medium density family housing 2 storey
 - 7. 1960s low density family housing predominantly open plan frontages 2 storey
 - 8. 1960s/70s high density family/ affordable housing 2 storey
 - 9. Goch Way/ Peake Way development currently under construction
 - 10. Foxcotte historic rural hamlet




FIG 23 - Charlton Character Areas - Full detail in Character Assessment - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

- 8.16.4. Building quality and materials should be consistent with the context of the ParishPlan Area and should be appropriate to and sympathetic with the different Character Areas detailed in the Character Assessment⁵. This does not preclude high quality modern designs, but such design should be sympathetic to its surroundings and will require written justification to accompany any application, to demonstrate how it complements and enhances the character and context of adjoining development and surrounding areas.
- 8.16.5. Any major housing sites should not be generic in their appearance and should draw their influences from the historic development within Charlton Parish. High quality design with attention to detail will be encouraged and supported. Such details that are in evidence in the village include:
 - Flint walls with red brick bands
 - Brick quoins
 - High brick plinths
 - · First floor brick bands
 - Brickwork in English and Flemish bonds

Comment [SH83]: How is this defined? Sites of 10 or more dwellings?

⁵ Paragraph 122 to 123 of the NPPF states that local planning authorities should support development that makes efficient use of land. In particular, the criterion states the importance of 'maintaining an area's prevailing character and setting'.

Hipped, tiled and slate roofs

8.16.6. These are highlighted from Parish properties and views below:





- Red brick quoins and banding are highlighted along with hipped slate and thatched roofs
- Painted timber windows are prominent, although there are a number of low profile frame
 modern alternatives, which are often be considered as being high quality. Such features
 should be appropriate to the age and style of the dwelling.





 $\textit{FIGS 24, 25, 26 \& 27 - examples of decorative flint and brickwork, fenestration, roofing \textit{materials} \textit{ and boundary treatment}}$



FIGS 28 & 29 - examples of fenestration, detailing, walls and roof styles and materials

- There are some examples of 2.5 storey dwellings, but these are prominent feature buildings and not representative of the majority.
- Even on later buildings, the use of quoins and banding is still undertaken and provides visual interest
- Boundary walls are also a prominent feature in the village and should be retained and proposed in new development where appropriate.







FIGS 30, 31 & 32 - examples of fenestration, detailing, walls and roof styles and materials

8.16.7. The TVBRLP sets out in paragraph 5.30 that: 'The Council has not specified individual densities for particular sites or areas. The density of individual proposals should be informed by the character of the site and its surroundings and be sympathetic to it.'

8.16.8. Charlton Parish Council has The Plan sets out its ewn-approach to housing density to reflect local circumstances. Density of development in Charlton should be appropriate to a village environment and reflective of that in each Character Area.

Comment [SH84]: What does this mean in practice?

8.16.9. Charlton's historic past is evidenced in its scheduled monuments and listed buildings. To support these key assets, and the objectives set to protect and enhance them., we havedevised the policies below. 8.16.10.8.16.9.

Comment [SH85]: This policy should apply to all development in the area. Comment [SH86]: 'In keeping with is
Comment [SH86]: 'In keeping with is
a nebulous term and 'to reflect or in sympathy with' would be more
appropriate.
Comment [SH87]: It would be helpfu
if this was appended to the document as an annex.
Comment [SH88]: This may not
require consent and therefore this element of the policy would not apply.
Signification and policy would not apply.

Policy Intention (link	Objectives 2, 3, 5 & 6
to objectives)	

8.17 Sustainable Construction and Infrastructure

- 8.17.1 Latest technologies in design, construction and sustainability are at the centre of Charlton's future construction requirements within the Neighbourhood Plan period.
- 8.17.2 Reducing Energy consumption is planned to be a front-facing expectation in respect of all future developments in the village. All future builds of 5 or more homes will be expected to be built to a high standard and promote sustainability.
- 8.17.3 Developers must demonstrate how they have addressed the principles of minimising energy use and overall carbon impact during construction and in occupation/use. Any proposal that can demonstrate that it exceeds Building Regulations will be looked upon favourably.
- 8.17.4 Proposals will also be supported that achieve excellent regard to:
 - a) renewable energy technologies;
 - b) sustainable transport (including electric car charging)
 - c) communications;
 - d) landscape; and
 - e) biodiversity.
- 8.17.5 According to the Environment Agency's Final Classification (2013), the Parish falls within an area of 'serious water stress'. Parish Councils are encouraged through policy provision in Neighbourhood Plans, to consider opting for higher water efficiency standards in new development. This would contribute to the mitigation of climate change through sustainable design as envisaged by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Paragraph 146 which states that 'plans should take a proactive approach to mitigating and adapting to climate change, taking into account the long- term implications for [...], water supply...'
- 8.17.6 This approach aligns with the Southern Water 'Target 100' programme to reduce average per capita water consumption to 100 litres per person per day by 2040^x.
- 8.17.7 Building Regulations Approved Document G, requirement G2 Water Efficiency part (2)(a) has an optional requirement in part (2)(b) for 110 l/p/d if required by the local authority and is required in the Local Plan.
- 8.17.8 Although there are no current plans, over the life of the Neighbourhood Plan, it may be that new or improved infrastructure is required either to serve new development and/or to meet stricter environmental standards. It is important to have policy provision in the Neighbourhood Plan which seeks to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is in place to meet these requirements.
 - The National Planning Practice Guidance states that 'Adequate water and wastewater infrastructure is needed to support sustainable development'.
- 8.17.9 Although the Parish Council is not the planning authority in relation to water or wastewater development proposals, to ensure consistency with the NPPF and to facilitate sustainable development support for essential infrastructure within all levels of the planning system appropriate infrastructure provision must be considered.

Comment [SH89]: This is covered in building regulations and the planning system can not require that developments achieve 'excellent'

Policy title:	CNP15 Sustainable Construction and Future Infrastructure Provision
Policy text:	1. All new developments must meet water efficiency standards of 110 litres per person per day (or lower), 1, 2. and any new developments of in excess of 5 new dwellings must secure at least 10% of their total regulated
	energy from decentralised and renewable or low carbon sources. Where this is not possible, applicants must adequately demonstrate why this is not achievable.
	2.3. New and improved utility infrastructure including waste water will be encouraged and supported in order to meet the identified needs of the community subject to other policies in the plan
Justification	See evidence on Low Carbon
from Evidence	Planning Evidence base Review
Base	https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 149, 150, 151
	Local Plan Policy References <u>COM15</u> <u>E</u> 4, E7
Policy Intention (link to	Objectives 2, 4 & 7

Comment [SH90]: This is addressed in Local Plan Policy E7 and therefore does not need to be repeated here.

Comment [SH91]: What is the evidence to support this? Planning policies are unable to insist on this without the evidence to support the policy.

Comment [SH92]: This is addressed in Local Plan Policy COM15 and therefore does not need to be repeated here.

8.18 Heritage

- 8.18.1. National and local policies require that there are positive strategies in place, which seek to ensure the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. These should give consideration to enhancing the significance of heritage assets and ensuring they have viable uses, that account is taken of the wider benefits of these assets and the desirability of new development also helping to contribute to local character and distinctiveness.
- 8.18.2. Charlton has nine listed buildings and a significant Scheduled Ancient Monument Foxcotte Deserted Medieval Village. The full detailed list of buildings is set out in Character Assessment/ Evidence Base Review with a summary of the listed buildings. The designated heritage assets are shown in on the plan belowfigure 33. Whilst many buildings are well preserved and maintained, some have been subject to the introduction of unsympathetic extensions and use of inappropriate materials over time such as concrete tiles and UPVC windows. Where permission is required, the removal of such additions as well as those within their setting is to be supported.

Comment [SH93]: This does not need to be repeated in the plan

Comment [SH94]: What is the evidence for this on listed buildings?

^{*}https://www.southernwater.co.uk/the-news-room/the-media-centre/2019/february/target-100our-bold-new-initiative




FIG 33 - Designated Heritage Assets - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

8.19 Site of Foxcott(e) Deserted Medieval Village

8.19.1 [The Parish hosts the deserted medieval village of Foxcott(e) which is a Scheduled Ancient Monument. NPPF (paragraph 189) states that 'Where a site on which development is proposed includes, or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and where necessary, a field evaluation'. Local Plan policy E9 provides detailed protection for Charlton's heritage assets, and the policy below adds to those details.

Comment [SH95]: This map would benefit from being clearer. TVBC can help with the maps in the final document if required.

Comment [SH96]: This repeats part of paragraph 8.18.2 and the NPPF, and does not need to be repeated in the plan.



FIG 34 - Extent of Foxcotte Medieval Village Scheduled Ancient Monument - Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright 2019 OS Licence No 100051806

Policy title:	CNP16 The Historic Environment
Policy text:	1Subject to other development plan policies, new development proposals will be supported where they include appropriate measures to safeguard the designated heritage assets of the Plan area. Furthermore, support will be given to development proposals which remove inappropriate and unsympathetic materials from such assets and their setting.
	2Proposals should provide proportionate evidence to highlight that they have been well designed to ensure the continued enjoyment of

	Charlton's historic context and character with reference to the Charlton Character Appraisal.
	3Support will be given to development proposals which enhance or better reveal the former village green area/ river crossing as identified in the Character Appraisal and set out as designated green space areas 'D' and 'E'.
	 4Proposals should where relevant, demonstrate that they have: avoided or minimised harm to the significance of an asset:
	 conserved the setting of the asset or an aspect which is considered to contribute to the significance of the asset;
	 provided an appropriate response in terms of repair and conservation; and
	 provided appropriate means to understand and enhance the enjoyment of the asset.
	5 Applications for development should review the Historic Environment Record for the area and where any heritage assets might be affected should submit a statement describing their significance and specifying measures to safeguard them. Where the proposed site has potential to contain archaeological deposits, particularly in close proximity to the site of Foxcotte Deserted Medieval Village, a desk-based assessment, and where necessary a field evaluation, should be carried out. The County Archaeological Service should be consulted on the method of assessment and on any mitigation required.
Justification from Evidence Base	See Designated Heritage Assets within the Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
	Further evidence is found within the Character Appraisal https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 184- 202
	Local Plan Policy References E9
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 5

Comment [SH97]: This is addressed in Local Plan Policy E9 and therefore does not need to be repeated here.

Comment [SH98]: This is addressed in Local supporting text in paragraph 7.75 and therefore does not need to be repeated here.

COMMUNITY

8.208.19 Community Facilities

8.20.1.8.19.1. The Parish survey and public consultation events have resulted in a number of clear concerns and requirements for the future needs of Charlton. These include:

- 8.20.2.8.19.2. Whilst some of these issues are not land use planning related, they are community aspirations, where this is the case, such issues are highlighted separately in the community aspirations appendix A2.
- 8.20.3.8.19.3. Charlton has slightly below the required amount of public open space however, the figure does not include the Charlton Sport and Leisure Centre formal recreation provision as it is an Andover-wide provision.
- 8.20.4.8.19.4. The Ppublic open space requirement based on a 2011 estimated population amounts to 5.45 hectares. Whilst Charlton has more than the required amount of parkland it is shorthas a defecit of other types of open space including children's play space. Charlton Sport and Leisure Centre and Foxcotte and Charlton Lakeside are significant provisions within the ward that serve a larger area. As such, the formal recreation provisions are included within provision for Andover as a whole rather than the ward of Charlton.



FIG 35 - Leisure Facilities within the Parish (see list below) - © copyright Google Maps 2019

Comment [SH99]: What is the evidence for this?



FIG 36 - Leisure Facilities within the ParishPlan Area (see list below) - © copyright Google Maps 2019

<u>8.19.5.</u> Any new development will need to be assessed against its impact on current facilities or the ability to expand them in the future.

8.19.6. Community Facilities

8.20.5.8.19.7. The current list of services and facilities within the parishPlan Area include:

- a. Food Store(s); (1) Tesco and (2) Convenience Store
- b. Leisure Facilities
 - (1) Charlton Sport and Leisure Centre including; sports pitches, BMX track and Pitch and Putt Golf.
 - (2) Sports Academy, tennis and netball courts
 - (3) Salto Health Club, ICan and Gymnastics Centre
 - (4) Recreation Ground with pavilion
 - (5) Astro turf
 - (6) Skate park
 - (7) Provision for Children & Teenagers
 - (8) Rugby club
 - (9) Football and social club
- c. Public House Royal Oak
- d. Religious Buildings
- e. Shared Community Facilities Church Hall

Comment [SH100]: This should be reworded into a policy and added to CNP18 identifying the facilities in the plan area. This will assist TVBC implement policy COM14





Convenience Stores





Church and Church Hall

Sports and Leisure



Sports pitches

Policy title:	CNP17 Community and Sports facilities – retention and enhancement
Policy text:	Proposals for a new community hall in the village will be
	supported, provided the proposals can demonstrate the site is
	suited to this purpose

Comment [SH101]: Where is the evidence of the need for a new community hall?

	in terms of access, car parking and design, and will not lead to a loss of amenity for local residents. New developments must provide or contribute towards facilities, including the enhancement of existing facilities, for formal and informal sport and recreation to meet adopted standards for the increased population generated by the development.
Justification from Evidence Base	See Parish Survey Evidence https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
	Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 28, 83, 92 Local Plan Policy References COM14, COM15
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2 & 4

Comment [SH102]: This is addressed in Local Plan Policy COM15 and therefore does not need to be repeated here. Contributions towards these facilities would normally be through the community infrastructure levy that all new residential development has to

LOCAL BUSINESS AND EMPLOYMENT

8.218.20 Local Shops and Businesses

8.21.1.8.20.1. Charlton is within reasonable (30 minutes walking) distance to Andover railway station with its easy commute to Salisbury or Basingstoke and only just over an hour to London. The village is in easy reach of the Portway and Walworth Industrial estates and only 5 minutes-drive from the largest employer in the Andover area (the Army Headquarters). Most villagers of employment age do not seek-work in the village, although several people do work from their own home. In terms of more formal employment in the village there are several smaller businesses or franchises:

- a. A busy public house, the Royal Oak.
- b. Salto Gym and iCan complex.
- c. Two shops ((i)Tesco (with a Post Office embedded) and a (ii) convenience store).
- d. The Charlton Leisure facility principally sub-contracted by TVBC (including fishing and leisure lake, café, pitch and putt, crazy golf).
- e. Charlie's Lake and café (private fishing).
- f. Truly Scrumptious, a beauty treatments centre located in a converted barn on the Foxcotte Road.
- g. An outstanding playschool (using the Church building).
- h. Andover Sports Centre in the north of the plan area (including rugby, football, archery).
- i. A crematorium on the Hatherden Road.
- j. Pressure Care Management medical equipment for the aged and less able.

- Britax Child car seats & nursery products manufacturers. (Located on theedge of the Portway Industrial Estate and not seen by the villagers as part of the village).
- Body complex Sports Therapy
- m. Foxcotte Veterinary Practice



 $\textit{FIG 37 - Local Businesses within the } \underline{\textit{Parish}\underline{\textit{Plan Area}}} \ (\text{see list in paragraph 8.59}) \ - \ \textcircled{o} \ \text{copyright Google Maps 2019}$

<u>8.21.2.8.20.2.</u> With the proximity of the industrial estates and the easy access to commuting there is no need for <u>greater-further</u> employment opportunities to be based in the village.

8.21.3.8.20.3. Shops, public houses, cafes and restaurants and other retail businesses in the village provide services which are valued immensely by the community and help with the sustainability of the village. There is a strong feeling in the village that any reduction in these facilities would represent a significant loss to the community. Where the change of use of a public house is sought it is recommended that a public house use the CAMRA Public House Viability Test.

Policy title:	CNP18 Retention of local shops and businesses
	-

Comment [SH103]: The policy should be rewritten to identify the community facilities, shops and local businesses that Local Plan policies LE10 and COM15 would cover.

Policy text:	Local shops and businesses are vital to the economic and social life of the ParishPlan Area. Planning applications for change of use of such shops and businesses to other uses will be resisted, unless it can be demonstrated that the use is no longer viable.
	Proposals for new development which involve buildings on the Register of Assets of Community Value held and updated by TVBC, will be supported only if the proposal does not result in the loss of, or have an adverse effect on, the asset or facility concerned, unless: a. satisfactory alternative facilities are provided or b. unless it can be clearly proven that such assets are no longer required; or c. unless they will provide sufficient community benefit to outweigh the loss of the existing facility, meeting evidence of a local need.
Justification from Evidence Base	See Parish Survey https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF paragraphs 80, 81, 82, 83 Local Plan Policy References COM14 LE10
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2 & 4

Comment [SH104]: This is addressed in Local Plan Policy COM14 and therefore does not need to be repeated here

Comment [SH105]: .
The policy also covers Assets of Community Value – are there any in the Plan area? Being on the ACV register only delays the sale of the property. If the asset is also a shop or community facility listed in the policy, then Local Plan Policy COM14 will apply and therefore this text does not need repeating.

Policy title:	CNP19 Employment
Policy text:	Development to provide workspace for existing or new small-scale businesses will be supported where it: a. Is compliant with the other policies in the Neighbourhood-Plan; and b. Is not on agricultural land (except where it forms part of conversion of existing buildings), greenfield sites or land defined as 'back-land' except the use of ancillary residential buildings used by the householder as their workplace; and c. Does not involve the loss of a residential dwelling; and d. Would not unacceptably impact the amenity of neighbouring properties or other Parishioners by way of noise, vibration, light or smell. e. Would not lead to a harmful increase in traffic (particularly HGV or other commercial traffic) on narrow Parish roads and lanes. Commercial activities that require 24 hour operation/lighting will not be supported unless it can be demonstrated that there will be no adverse impact.
Justification from Evidence Base	See Parish Survey/ Business Survey and Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	
	Local Plan Policy References COM2, LE16, LE17, T1 and E8
Policy Intention (link to objective	Objectives 2 & 4

TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS

8.228.21 Access and Road Safety

- 8.22.1.8.21.1. Any new developments will bring more people, more vehicles and additional vehicle movements (including deliveries and visitors) to the village and have the potential to exacerbate the existing road safety issues. Policies must ensure that any new development does not adversely affect the safety of walkers, cyclists and drivers while respecting and protecting the historic fabric of the village.
- 8.22.2.8.21.2. Development should minimise traffic through the village, particularly along Hatherden Road. It should be located such that it is conveniently and safely connected with the village and surrounding countryside for pedestrians and cyclists.
- The aim is to reduce the volume and speed of traffic transiting the Village. This may include further traffic calming measures but this will need to be balanced against the potential increase in noise levels and the associated urban appearance.
- _There have also been long term discussions relating to a by-pass for the village. Any future proposals in this regard, would be judged individually on its own merits with Hampshire County Council.

Comment [SH106]: This policy as written is in conflict with Local Plan policies COM2. LE17 and LE17. COM2 allows for development within the settlement boundary, and Charlton is identified as a Key service centre in the hierarchy. This allows for Strategic Employment sites and small scale employment development.
Paragraph 5.48 of the supporting text also states that 'The Council will adopt a flexible approach to the development of new employment sites or the expansion of existing employment uses in settlements provided that the impact on the area and nearby residents is acceptable when judged against the relevant planning policies' Applications for development on existing employment sites would have to satisfy policy LE17, and for the reuse of buildings in the countryside, Policy LE16 would been to be complied with. Policy E8 deals with pollution in the Borough and the supporting text includes guidance on Noise and vibration, light and air pollution. Policy T1 also deals with traffic issues and does not need repeating in the policy. Therefore this policy is in conflict with

the Local Plan and does not add any locally distinctive criteria, and should therefore be deleted from the plan.

Policy title:	CNP20 Access and Road Safety
Policy text:	New developments should connect to, and where possible, improve the walking and cycling network.
	2. Where appropriate required, traffic calming, new junction arrangements and dedicated cycle and pedestrian paths should be provided.
	3. Where development requires planning consent appropriate,
	evidence should be provided to demonstrate that there would be no adverse impact on: a. Traffic flow and speeds in the village b. Locations where the existing road system is constrained including Hatherden Road, Foxcotte Road and Foxcotte Lane.
	4. New development will be supported where it makes provision for the use of sustainable modes of transport for local journeys, complies with other development plan policies, the objectives of the Hampshire County Council Local Transport Plan and/ or the Hampshire County Council Walking and Cycling Strategies as appropriate, and can be satisfactorily accommodated within the

Comment [SH107]: The issues raised in Criteria 1, 2, 4 and 5 are already addressed in Local Plan Policy T1 and does not need to be repeated in this plan.

Comment [SH108]: It would not be reasonable for all development requiring planning permission, eg for a conservatory or a fence, therefore the word 'appropriate' would be better suited.

	existing highways network or through the provision of appropriate new highway infrastructure.
	5. Proposals which include mitigation to improve road safety will be supported, but should minimise impacts such as undue noise or the introduction of urbanising features.
Justification from Evidence Base	Most recently, the results of the Neighbourhood Plan survey completed in August 2015 showed that of 605 questionnaires returned 90% were concerned with traffic speed and 68% said traffic calming measures would assist.
	A speed watch report carried out on behalf of Charlton Parish Council for one week in June 2017 showed that there were 29000 vehicle movements per week along Hatherden Road in one direction.
	Hampshire County Council undertook a traffic feasibility study in July 2015 for calming measures in Charlton Village. This showed that various enhancements for both visual and physical narrowing could be made. The Parish Council has implemented a traffic calming scheme through the Community Funded Initiative. During a public meeting held for the Neighbourhood Plan, residents requested that more traffic calming measures are implemented. https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
	Evidence base Review https://www.hugofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/
Policy Supported	NPPF References 102 - 111
	Local Plan Policy References T1, T2
Policy Intention (link to objectives)	Objectives 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6

9. Development Delivery

9.1.1. To encourage potential applicants for development to work with the residents of Charlton via the Parish Council, in preparing their proposals, Policy 21 sets out details via which developers will be expected to effectively engage with the local community.

Policy title:	CNP21 Delivery and Pre-Application engagement on major development proposals
Policy text:	In order to ensure a positive and structured Pre-Application engagement, potential applicants bringing forward a significant development proposal are encouraged to follow the Pre-Application Protocol (see Appendix 5).
	Significant development proposals are defined as being: on a site allocated in the Plan or any application for
	• more than 10 new homes, or
	 an employment site likely to have 5 or more employees
Justification from Evidence Base	NPPF/ NPPG guidance on process and expectations on pre- application discussions:
Dase	"The value of pre-application engagement
	How can pre-application engagement improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the planning application system?
	Pre-application engagement by prospective applicants offers significant potential to improve both the efficiency and effectiveness of the planning application system and improve the quality of planning applications and their likelihood of success. This can be achieved by: • providing an understanding of the relevant planning policies and other material considerations associated with a proposed development • working collaboratively and openly with interested parties at an early stage to identify, understand and seek to resolve issues associated with a proposed development, including, where relevant, the need to deliver improvements in infrastructure and affordable housing • discussing the possible mitigation of the impact of a proposed development, including any planning conditions • identifying the information required to accompany a formal planning application, thus reducing the likelihood of delays at the validation stage. The information requested must be reasonable (more information can be found in Making an application). • putting in place a Planning Performance Agreement where this would help with managing the process and agreeing any dedicated resources for progressing the application

Comment [SH109]: This is covered in the Councils Statement of Community Involvement and the pre application advice note and is a matter for the council to lead. Therefore this does not need repeating in this plan.

The approach to pre-application engagement needs to be tailored to the nature of the proposed development and the issues to be addressed.

Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 20-001-20190315

Revision date: 15 03 2019

What role do statutory consultees have at the pre-application stage?

The National Planning Policy Framework is clear that statutory consultees have an important role to play at the pre-application stage. In order for their role to be effective and positive, statutory consultees will need to take an early, pro-active approach and provide advice in a timely manner.

Where different statutory consultees share an interest in a particular development, they are encouraged to engage with each other at an early stage and be pro-active in seeking to resolve any issues together.

Local planning authorities also have a role to play in encouraging statutory consultees to be as co-ordinated as possible. Where they think it would be beneficial local planning authorities should encourage applicants to engage with statutory and non-statutory consultees before submitting their applications.

Where charges are levied by statutory consultees for preapplication advice, they are encouraged to make information available online on the charges, the services to be delivered and the response times that apply. Further information about the role of statutory consultees.

Paragraph: 008 Reference ID: 20-008-20190315

Revision date: 15 03 2019

Local people at the pre-application stage

Is pre-application community consultation compulsory? Pre-application engagement with the community is encouraged where it will add value to the process and the outcome.

The prospective applicant at the pre-application stage

What should a prospective applicant expect from the local planning authority at the pre-application stage?

A prospective applicant should expect a clear, timely, and authoritative, view on the merits of a proposed development – as well as clear advice on consultation requirements and the information to be submitted with a formal planning application.

Paragraph: 010 Reference ID: 20-010-20150326

Revision date: 26 03 2015"

Policy Supported NPPF paragraphs 39 - 46

Policy Intention (link to objectives)

Objectives 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6

The above policy, together with the protocol, facilitates a structured approach whereby Charlton Parish Council will provide a means to enable effective involvement of the local community in any development application. See Appendix 5 for more details.

10. Appendices

Appendix 1 Neighbourhood Plan Evidence
Base Appendix 2 Non-Land Use
Community Aspirations Appendix 3 Key
Infrastructure Requirements Appendix 4
Monitoring and Review
Appendix 5 Pre-Application Protocol

Appendix 1 Neighbourhood Plan Evidence Base

- Parish Survey Results (within consultation statement)
- Housing Needs Survey AECOM and Parish
- Charlton Character Appraisal
- Heritage See Evidence Base Review and Character Appraisal
- Landscape see Charlton Character Appraisal and Test Valley Landscape Character Assessment
- Demographics See Evidence Base Review
- Business and Economic Survey See Evidence Base Review
- Public Rights of Way Survey see Charlton Character Appraisal
- Community Facilities and Services

 See Evidence Base Review
- Local Green Spaces Assessment
- Important Views Assessment
- Site Assessment
- Biodiversity Evidence/ River Anton Enhancement Strategy 2008-2013
- TVBC Topic Paper E3 Local Gaps 2014
- Low Carbon Planning

All documentation is available on the website at https://www.huqofox.com/community/charlton-parish-council-12680/neighbourhood-plan/

Appendix 2 Community Aspirations

The list below contains non land use planning aspirations currently considered to be important to the parish. It is intended that these aspirations are brought to fruition where possible. Should applications come forward which are relevant to such aspirations, support will be given to those proposals which have taken these issues into consideration.

- Support and enhance local small and micro businesses; including the need for superfast broadband
- · Commission a Community Hall
- Enhance accessibility to Charlton Lakes
- Maintain and enhance beauty and habitat of riverbank through Charlton
- Maintain and enhance heritage sites burial ground, coffin route
- Sympathetically maintain and enhance Charlton Lakeside
- · Improve Off Road parking
- Tackle speeding and inappropriate traffic through Charlton
- Maintain current bus routes

Economic and employment

Objective: Support and enhance local small and micro businesses; including to support continuous improvements in the provision of high-speed broadband (or future equivalent) and communications infrastructure to support home working, providing that the siting and appearance of the proposed apparatus seek to minimise impact on the visual amenity, character or appearance of the surrounding area.

Action: Broadband infrastructure - The Parish Council will monitor to ensure the continuous improvement of the provision of high-speed broadband or future equivalent within the village

Community Services and Facilities

Objective: Commission a Community Hall

Action: Consider options relating to funding for a new hall and how its provision can be achieved

Landscape/ Natural Environment/ Biodiversity

Objective: Maintain and enhance beauty and habitat of riverbank through Charlton

Objective: Sympathetically maintain and enhance Charlton Lakeside

Objective: Enhance access to Charlton Lakes

Objective: Create wild flower borders, and develop ways to reduce carbon footprint and enhance biodiversity, particularly within designated Green Spaces.

Heritage

Objective: Maintain and enhance heritage sites such as the deserted medieval village and the coffin route

Movement, road safety and parking

Objective: Enhance accessibility to Charlton

Lakes Objective: To retain the bus service as

exists.

Charlton Village is served by 2 bus routes; the No 3 bus route travels from the bus station to Charlton via Artists Way. The current service is every weekday and Saturday with approximately 16 services per day. The No 10 service runs from the Bus Station, via Weyhill Road (Beech Hurst), the Railway Station, the Hospital, and has a local stop outside the Tesco store in Charlton. It continues via Goch Way to service the Saxon Fields estate, before retracing its route via Charlton Village. Residents will work closely with Hampshire County Council to maintain the bus services currently available.

Action: Retention of the bus service

The Parish Council will work with the relevant transport company to retain the bus service in its current form, both its regularity and route.

Traffic Calming

Objective: Improve Off Road parking

Objective: Tackle speeding and inappropriate traffic through Charlton. To undertake a programme of traffic calming and management both generally and specifically for the village centre and along Hatherden and Foxcotte roads.

Action: Traffic measures

The Parish Council, by working with Hampshire County Council and Test Valley Borough Council, would welcome the following measures which are considered necessary given developments in Charlton:

 List of potential traffic calming measures including visual narrowing measures, crossing points both formal and informal and build-outs where possible

Historic England's publication 'Streets for All' describes traffic management projects and this advice will be used in future plans for Charlton's traffic/parking issues.

Appendix 3 Infrastructure Requirements

Comment [SH110]: This is addressed in the Local plan in policy COM15 and does not need repeating in this plan

Section 106 Agreements

Section 106 financial contributions are no longer the preferred method for site specific mitigation and have been largely superseded by the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).

They still remain a mechanism to make a development proposal acceptable in planning terms, that would not otherwise be acceptable. They are usually now focused on site specific mitigation of the impact of development. They are more commonly used to secure affordable housing and to restrict or secure the development or use of the land in any specified way.

A planning obligation can only be obtained where they meet three statutory tests. They must be:

- a. necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
- b. directly related to the development; and
- c. fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development

Infrastructure and developer contributions

In order for the community of the Parish to continue and flourish in the future, it is necessary toensure that an appropriate level of infrastructure is maintained commensurate with the needs of the community. This is achieved through the use of Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) or legalobligations where appropriate.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

The CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended) enable local authorities to raise funding for new-infrastructure by levying a charge on new development within their area.

The Council adopted a revised Regulation 123 list on the 30th November 2016, which sets out what the Council will spend CIL monies on. This is separate to the proportion of CIL passed to the parish council, where it is for the Parish to utilise the available funding.

Parishes with an adopted or 'made' Neighbourhood Plan are entitled to 25% of CIL-receipts from development within their parish.

Regulation 59C of the 2013 amendment to the CIL regulations outlines how the local council canspend the Neighbourhood Portion. It sets out that a local council must use CIL receipts passed to it to support the development of the local council's area, or any part of that area, by funding—

(a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or

(b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.

Parish Councils are able to bid for Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) funding each year. The bid must be for infrastructure items found on TVBC's Regulation 123 list such as highway infrastructure, public

transport, education, sports and recreation, community facilities, etc. The infrastructure types or projects that TVBC may fund, in whole or in part through CIL are in excess of £10,000. Whereas bids for projects that are not included on the Regulation 123 list are dealt with separately.

Where development is consistent with the other policies in this Neighbourhood Plan, active-support will be given where it secures the provision or funding of infrastructure that mitigates the effects of the development, with particular regard to provision of a community hall, school-capacity, sustainable transport measures, healthcare provision, sewerage treatment capacity, water supply, public open space and utility connections.

Appendix 4 Monitoring and Review

Implementation of the CNP will be ongoing. Responsibility for determining planning applications rests with Test Valley Borough Council.

The following monitoring plan will be adopted by Charlton Parish Council.

- a. Twelve-month review. The CNP will be reviewed by the Parish Council and the reconvened Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group or their representatives, one year after its adoption following the community referendum. The purpose of the review will be primarily to assess the extent to which the Neighbourhood Plan objectives have been implemented in practice and the contribution of the polices and projects contained within it towards meeting those objectives; and secondly to rectify any errors and omissions.
- b. Review following the adoption of the emerging Test Valley Local Plan 2036. The spatial distribution of growth in and around Andover will ultimately be determined through the emerging Local Plan. The key elements of the CNP will be assessed and where necessary amended once the emerging Local Plan has been adopted. Plainly the scale and nature of the review will be determined by the eventual outcome of the Local Plan. Key elements of any assessment are likely to include future housing provision in the Plan area and the extent and policy wording of the Local Gap policies.
- c. Five-year review. CNP will be reviewed every five years thereafter. Review of the policies will be led by Charlton Parish Council. The purpose of the review will be primarily to assess the extent to which the CNP objectives have been implemented in practice and the contribution of the polices and projects contained within it towards meeting those objectives; and secondly to rectify any errors and omissions.

Appendix 5 Pre-Application Protocol

Comment [SH111]: This is covered in the Councils Statement of Community Involvement and the pre application advice note and is a matter for the council to lead. Therefore this does not need repeating in this plan.

Applying the Pre-Application Community Involvement Protocol for-

Charlton Parish: Guidance Notes

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The aim of the Charlton Pre-Application Involvement Protocol is to enable open, agreed and well-structured working between communities, applicants/developers, local authorities and elected members in advance of planning applications, thereby helping to deliver better quality development for all.
- 4.2 The Protocol has been kept deliberately short. The guidance notes drafted to-assist all parties elaborate some of the key points about how Charlton will use and apply the Protocol.
- 1.3 The guidance notes apply as Charlton Parish Council has formally adopted the Protocol. If any applicant refuses to use the Protocol this could weigh against them at determination stage, i.e. to reverse the NPPF statement, their project could be 'looked on less favourably'.
- 1.4 There may be occasions where full use of the Protocol is either not possible or inappropriate. Partial use can still be of value if this enables key points to be discussed and agreed.
- 1.5 The introduction of this Protocol is not intended to suggest completely new practice but to act as a guide of best practise for all.

2. Elaboration of Principles

- 2.1 Applicant Leadership but Shared Responsibility
- 2.1.1 It is the applicant's role to lead, drive, manage and mainly fund preapplication involvement to an agreed standard (see below).
- 2.1.2 Ensuring a positive and professional relationship also depends on contributionsfrom the other key parties, especially the Charlton community.
- 2.1.3 For large projects a 'Consultation Steering Group' can be of value, with representation from the applicant, Charlton and, if relevant, Test Valley-Borough Council.

- 2.1.4 Charlton Parish Council will make available to each applicant, an Addendum-of-local information and contacts to supplement the Protocol. (See Section 3.1)
- 2.1.5 Charlton Parish Council will also assist the applicant with finding venues forevents and with their promotion. If appropriate, cost coverage for such tasks canbe agreed with the applicant.
- 2.1.6 Charlton Parish Council should make available any relevant information about-their strategic plans (e.g. relevant policies), standards (e.g. for parking) and procedures (e.g. key Committee dates).
- 2.2 Openness and Transparency
- 2.2.1 This Protocol is designed to build trust between all parties to deliver an agreed outcome.
- 2.2.2 As far as possible, all aspects of the process should be open and transparent for all, so regular sharing and regular feedback, especially to the community, is crucial. Where appropriate, this will be done on behalf of all parties rather than one (e.g. a jointly produced leaflet or newsletter).
- 2.2.3 Closed session meetings, e.g. between applicant and Charlton Parish Council or between applicant and TVBC, may be needed on occasion but should becarefully recorded and the minutes agreed between all parties before being openly available and included in the summary report (see below).
- 2.2.4 There can, however, be issues of genuine commercial confidentiality for an applicant, (e.g. on an option for a site or if bidding for one), or Charlton Parishor residents where they hold land or access to it. Though commercially confidential, key final outcomes should be shared openly.
- 2.3 Agreed Community
- 2.3.1 The 'community' is not just those living next door to a site or with direct views of it (or any future development). It includes all those whose lives, work or responsibilities could be noticeably affected by it. Because there is no precise definition for this, Charlton Parish Council will take the broadest view.
- 2.3.2 It can for example include:
 - those potentially affected by traffic or noise or visual impact some way away from a site:
 - some external event, e.g. Natural England if there is a wildlife impact, or the Environment Agency etc.
- 2.3.3 It is important to secure an informal written agreement to any definition, while-recognising that some aspects of it can, and perhaps should, change as a project evolves.
- 2.4 Agreed Scope
- 2.4.1 It is noted that for some projects there can be many aspects up for discussion, for others the list can be very short. See Section 5.2 for a possible long list.

- 2.4.2 Where appropriate, definitions of the scope for change will be agreed in writing at the outset between applicant and Charlton Parish Council. It is noted that aspects of the scope for community input can change as a project evolves.
- 2.5 Agreed and Delivered Process
- 2.5.1 It is noted that there needs to be mutual recognition that any agreed-consultation process can change as work proceeds. See examples in Section 5.
- 2.5.2 Charlton Parish Council recognises that the key to success is to discuss, agreeand record the process to be followed, be that long or short, small or complexaccording to the situation.
- 2.5.3 The process will include opportunities for discussion and dialogue betweenparties. Although some element of 'presentation' is necessary at some stage, the aim will be to avoid the late introduction of essentially fixed and detailedproposals; as consulting early on principles and initial ideas is crucial.
- 2.5.4 A report, appropriate to the proposed development will be made of allconsultation by the applicant and agreement recorded.
- 2.5.5 If Charlton Parish Council does not support the applicant's report, and cannot negotiate to secure agreement of it, it can submit its own report based on its own thorough records.
- 2.5.6 All of this is without prejudice to the eventual judgement of TVBC on the merits of any application.

3. Roles for Key Parties

3.1 Applicant

- 3.1.1 Charlton Parish Council recognises that there are different types of applicants (large or national companies, a local landowner or a single home owner) and that the protocol applies equally to them all.
- 3.1.2 The key role and responsibilities of the applicant is to make contact with Charlton Parish Council and TVBC, the planning authority, as early as possible while respecting any commercial constraints. Charlton Parish Council particularly values meeting the applicant at the earliest opportunity.
- 3.1.3 If lobbying of elected members is done, it will be recorded by both parties with agreed notes.
- 3.1.4 The applicant should see and read material supplied by both TVBC and Charlton Parish Council.

3.1.5 Charlton requests that the applicant's Consultants/architects should not produce detailed drawings before open discussion of the design principles with Charlton Parish Council.

3.2 Charlton Parish Council

- 3.2.1 If approached by a potential applicant, the Council's first task is to check that they have or shortly will contact Test Valley Borough Council (TVBC).
- 3.2.2 If a Planning Performance Agreement (PPA) is to be used, the community-involvement procedures of the Protocol should be included within that and-ideally that should be done jointly with TVBC.
- 3.2.3 The TVBC basic planning information contains specifically relevant policiesand supplementary information plus links to others. This also includesinformation from others, notably the County Highways Department, togetherwith links to them.
- 3.2.4 After an initial applicant contact, all Councillors will be made aware, briefedon the proposed procedure and their roles clarified.
- 3.2.5 After an initial applicant contact, local people will be made aware of the application and the use of the Protocol.
- 3.2.6 Potential applicants will be made aware of the Protocol.
- 3.2.7 All in the agreed 'community' will be made aware about the potential proposals, the agreed process and the timescale for their epportunity to contribute.
- 3.2.8 Charlton Parish Council will avoid any active role in local campaigns for oragainst a particular development or aspects of it. Any Councillors who consider that they must attach themselves to any campaign will make that clear and play no part in managing the Protocol processes.

3.3 The Media

3.3.1 It is noted that inappropriate media involvement can damage even a fully agreed involvement process. Therefore, the Council will seek that all communications with the press are prepared jointly between the Council and applicant.

4. Unallocated Sites and Very Small Projects

4.1 There are two basic forms of 'unallocated' site. Some small and very small siteswill never have been identified and proposed through the Local or-Neighbourhood Plan and are most usually termed

'windfall'. (NB. Windfall sites are not included in any specific calculations about housing or employment land needs for specific villages/towns; they are additional.) The others are usually larger and purely speculative, targeting areas of land either looked at previously and dismissed or completely new sites.

- 4.2 As a matter of principle for all such sites, it will stand Charlton in good stead to at least offer, at the outset, to use the Protocol and develop some community involvement. If the potential application proves to be fundamentally unacceptable (check with the planning authority) or the applicant is clearly uncooperative, a judgement can then be made about how to work with the applicant or whether to do so at all.
- 4.3 It is of course likely that, for many windfall projects, the first anybody hears is when an application is made. Assembling wider community feedback rather than just stating an objection from Charlton Parish Council should now carry greater-weight with the Protocol in place given its reference to the NPPF-encouragement of involvement. Over time local applicants should grow to realise the impact of the Protocol and the implications of not undertaking-consultation, in which case normal Protocol procedures would apply.
- 4.4 For larger, speculative projects it is very likely that the applicant would not enter-into any consultation process precisely because the site is outside the plan. Ingeneral, it is not anticipated that the same extent of involvement would take place for such sites, although applicants are still encouraged to contact the Charlton-Parish Council for their views and their possible involvement. The Council, onbehalf of the community, then will make a judgement about providing support asoutlined earlier, e.g. on venues for events etc. Planned involvement of the wider-community may then take place (which is valuable to generate robust evidence-about local views) but (a) it would be led entirely by the applicant and (b) Charlton Parish Council almost certainly will submit its own report on that and any of their own involvement work (e.g. a public meeting) when the application is made.
- 4.5 For unallocated sites as above, any decision by Charlton Parish Council tonot engage with an applicant cannot be used against the Council by the applicant.
- 4.6 It should be noted that the largest percentage of applications considered by Charlton Parish Council includes apparently minor issues, e.g. small extensions, garages, changes to trees etc. Formally invoking the Protocol may be disproportionate for such proposals and the Council will use its discretion whether they wish to require the use of the Protocol on such projects or perhaps simply use its existence to encourage applicants to make contact and share-omerging proposals with themselves and immediate neighbours.

5. What is Open to Change?

- 5.1 There is not endless scope for a community to influence an application, even if involvement starts very early; this is all a matter for discussion, and negotiation. However, here are some that Charlton Parish Council will bear in mind:
 - If a site is allocated in the Local/Neighbourhood Plan, that plan may well-dictate basic uses, numbers, sizes, even general locations and access-points. These factors are not absolutely fixed but good evidence would be needed to change them (not least because a developer will have based any financial calculations on those factors).

- Charlton Parish Council also has other policies that need to be noted, although again there is scope to vary if good local information is produced. As above, these will be made available to the applicant if needed.
- If a site is unallocated, any list of uses, numbers etc. that a developer proposes is their idea only; it has no planning status other than where itpotentially meets policy.
- Once the PNP is accepted by TVBC, the community will have more influence as the Plan embodies a Design Statement to guide an applicant's design.
- 5.2 Some of the aspects that are most likely to be open for discussion at preapplication stage are as follows, in no particular order and not applicable onevery occasion:
 - Landscaping
 - Overall character
 - Building design
 - Design of aspects such as paths, landscape, signs, street lamps
 - Choice of materials
 - Housing densities
 - Parking standards
 - Vehicular traffic impacts off-site, including safety
 - Vehicular traffic impacts on-site, including safety
 - Public transport links
 - Footpath and cycle routes around, on and across a site
 - Provision of amenities such as open space, play areas, meeting rooms etc.
 - Lighting
 - Wildlife and ecological impacts
 - Noise and nuisance
 - · Sensitivity to historic buildings and conservation-
 - Known archaeological features
 - Signage
 - Views in and out
 - Overshadowing and loss of light
 - Flooding/surface runoff

5.31.1 Construction period impacts (e.g. site traffic through the neighbourhoodand site working times) are not a factor for pre-application agreement inthemselves but can be raised during pre-application discussions. It is possible tobegin to plan ahead and ensure best practice, for example by preparing for alocal monitoring group or contact person if site management problems arise atconstruction stage.