

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN CONSULTATION

CHARLTON PARISH COUNCIL

Hampshire County Council in its role, as both a public landowner and service provider supports the principle of the document. The County Council offers the following comments, in the spirit of its duty to cooperate, in line with the Town Planning (Local Plan) Regulations and to further ensure the Neighbourhood Development Plan has been positively prepared, justified, and consistent with national policy.

If a comment is referenced as objection, this is only in order to effect a positive change to the draft policy/document.

| Policy/ document reference | Object/ support | Planning and Urban Design (PUD) comment | Planning and Design suggested amendment |
|---|-----------------|--|---|
| <p>Policy CNP7 Important Views</p> <p>Special attention should be made to preserving the following notable views as defined on the Viewpoint Assessment and listed below.</p> <p>Development should not introduce any prominent built form into the open landscape outside of the settlement boundary. There may be specific exceptions, such as large</p> | Object | <p>Hampshire County Council (HCC) in its role, as both a public landowner <i>and</i> service provider, supports the aspiration of Policy CNP7.</p> <p>Notwithstanding its support for the policy's intentions, the County Council is concerned that, as currently written, the order of the paragraphs in draft Policy CNP7 does not allow sufficient flexibility to facilitate change within the Plan period to contribute to achieving sustainable development in line with the NPPF.</p> <p>The County Council would be mindful to overcome its objection if the policy wording is amended to allowing flexibility in the wording. This would still seek to protect the important</p> | <p>Suggested amendment:</p> <p>Development should not introduce any prominent built form into the open landscape outside of the settlement boundary <u>unless they will not have an adverse impact on the identified views as set out below.</u></p> <p>There may be specific exceptions....</p> <p><i>[List of viewpoints]</i></p> |

Neighbourhood Development Plan response consultation stage

| | | | |
|--|----------------|--|---|
| <p>buildings for agricultural use (as defined in Section 336 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990), which may be considered acceptable if a need for the proposed development is adequately justified.</p> <p>Development proposals outside the settlement boundary will be expected to demonstrate that they will not have an adverse impact on the identified views as set out below.</p> <p>(see policy for list of views)</p> | | <p>views but in a way that would allow for appropriate changes during the Plan period.</p> | |
| <p>Policy CNP9 Public Rights of Way</p> <p>The creation of new permissive and public rights of way will be supported, particularly those set out in the supporting text to this policy. Development proposals are to be supported where they do</p> | <p>Comment</p> | <p>HCC in its role, as both a public landowner <i>and</i> service provider, supports the principle of Policy CNP9 but considers that the wording of Policy CNP9 should have regard to national policies set out in the Countryside Rights of Way Act in order to avoid the use of ambiguous terminology.</p> <p>The County Council recommends that draft Policy CNP9 removes the reference to ‘enjoyment of’, in order to align with the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000.</p> <p>Although the Countryside and Rights of Way</p> | <p><i>Suggested amendment:</i></p> <p>The creation of new permissive and public rights of way will be supported, particularly those set out in the supporting text to this policy. Development proposals are to be supported where they do not result in unacceptable harm to a public right of way or enjoyment of it.</p> <p>Where development affects a public right of way, the application will be expected to show how the development will impact the right of way and describe any mitigation measures</p> |

Neighbourhood Development Plan response consultation stage

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| <p>not result in unacceptable harm to a public right of way or enjoyment of it.</p> <p>Where development affects a public right of way, the application will be expected to show how the development will impact the right of way and describe any mitigation measures needed to address any adverse impacts on users of that right of way.</p> | | <p>Act 2000 does refer to ‘enjoyment’ in some parts, this is in relation to the diversion of, restriction of, improvement to, or specific designations such as Sites of Specific Scientific Interest (SSSI’s) or Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB’s) rather than in all cases.</p> | <p>needed to address any adverse impacts on users of that right of way.</p> |
|---|--|---|---|